## SENATE

# Monday, January 23, 1939

(Legislative day of Tuesday, January 17, 1939)

The Senate met in executive session at 12 o'clock meridian, on the expiration of the recess.

#### THE JOURNAL

On request of Mr. Barkley, and by unanimous consent, the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of the calendar day Friday, January 20, 1939, was dispensed with, and the Journal was approved.

#### MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages in writing from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Latta, one of his secretaries.

#### CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. LEWIS. Mr. President, at this point I suggest the absence of a quorum, and ask for a roll call.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The Chief Clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Adams	Donaney	King	Reed
Andrews	Downey	La Follette	Reynolds
Ashurst	Ellender	Lee	Russell
Austin	Frazier	Lewis	Schwartz
Bailey	George	Lodge	Schwellenbach
Bankhead	Gerry	Logan	Sheppard
Barbour	Gibson	Lucas	Shipstead
Barkley	Gillette	Lundeen	Smathers
Bilbo	Glass	McCarran	Smith
Bone	Green	McKellar	Stewart
Borah	Guffey	McNary	Taft
Bridges	Gurney	Maloney	Thomas, Okla.
Bulow	Hale	Mead	Thomas, Utah
Burke	Harrison	Miller	Tobey
Byrd	Hatch	Minton	Townsend
Byrnes	Hayden	Murray	Truman
Capper	Herring	Neely	Tydings
Caraway	Hill	Norris	Vandenberg
Clark, Idaho	Holman	Nye	Van Nuys
Clark, Mo.	Holt	O'Mahoney	Wagner
Connally	Hughes	Pepper	Wheeler
Danaher	Johnson, Calif.	Pittman	White
Danialica	Johnson, Colo.	Radcliffe	Wiley

Mr. LEWIS. I announce that the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Overton] is absent from the Senate because of illness.

The Senator from Michigan [Mr. Brown] and the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. Chavez] are detained on important public business.

The Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Walsh] is absent attending a conference of Governors of the New England States on the flood-control problem.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Ninety-two Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

WORK RELIEF AND RELIEF—REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS—VIEWS OF MINORITY

As in legislative session,

Under authority of the order of the Senate of the 20th instant, Mr. Adams, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 83) making an additional appropriation for work relief and relief for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, reported it on January 21, 1939, with amendments, and submitted a report (No. 4) thereon.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, out of order, I ask unanimous consent—

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair understands from the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Barkley] that at the end of the executive session he hopes to have the Senate resume legislative session for the purpose of the presentation of petitions, the introduction of bills and resolutions, and to have a message read from the President, otherwise the Chair would submit the message of the President at this time. If Senators desire to go into legislative session to introduce resolutions and bills, and so forth, the Chair, of course, will entertain such a motion.

Mr. McKELLAR. No.

Mr. McNARY. I would object to going into legislative session. I think we should clean up the Executive Calendar.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, let me make a statement. On last Friday unanimous consent was given the Committee on Appropriations to make a report on the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 83) making an additional appropriation for work relief and relief for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939. I do not know whether or not the majority report has been printed, but I desire to file minority views; and, inasmuch as the majority report is now in the Printing Office, I desire to ask unanimous consent that the minority views may be printed at once.

Mr. McNARY. I think that consent was given by the Senate on Friday, although, of course, it may be renewed today.

Mr. McKELLAR. I ask unanimous consent, on behalf of the minority, to have printed the views of the minority.

Mr. BARKLEY. I think it would be assumed that the consent given the committee to make a report would carry with it the right to file minority views as well as the majority report.

Mr. KING. There is no doubt of that.

Mr. McKELLAR. If that be so, that is all I desire.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Under the general rules, the committee report is one thing and the minority views another.

Mr. BARKLEY. In view of the desire to have these documents printed together, I think that there might be an exception made, and if necessary, that the Senator from Tennessee might be permitted to file the minority views.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection, as in legislative session, to the Senator from Tennessee filing the views of the minority?

Mr. BORAH. Mr. President, I do not desire to object, of course, but I desire to ask if the hearings have been printed

Mr. McKELLAR. They have been. Mr. BORAH. And are they available? Mr. McKELLAR. Yes; they are available.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from Tennessee? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

(Mr. McKellar, as a member of the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the views of the minority of that committee to accompany the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 83) making an additional appropriation for work relief and relief for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, which were ordered to be printed as pt. 2 of Rept. No. 4.)

NOMINATION OF HARRY L. HOPKINS TO BE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Harry L. Hopkins to be Secretary of Commerce? [Putting the question.]

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I rise not for the purpose of delivering a speech or making any unnecessary remarks, but because I am sure there are some Senators who desire to address the Senate on the subject of the pending nomination; and, therefore, I did not want the Vice President to proceed too rapidly.

The VICE PRESIDENT. It is the duty of the Chair to put the question that is pending before the Senate if no Senator seems to care to address the Senate.

Mr. McNARY. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will call the roll.

The Chief Clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

answered of	dien names.	
Adams	Clark, Idaho	Harrison
Andrews	Clark, Mo.	Hatch
Ashurst	Connally	Hayden
Austin	Danaher	Herring
Bailey	Davis	Hill
Bankhead	Donahey	Holman
Barbour	Downey	Holt
Barkley	Ellender	Hughes
Bilbo	Frazier	Johnson,
Bone	George	Johnson,
Borah	Gerry	King
Bridges	Gibson	La Follett
Bulow	Gillette	Lee
Burke	Glass	Lewis
Byrd	Green	Lodge
Byrnes	Guffey	Logan
Capper	Gurney	Lucas
Caraway	Hale	Lundeen

arrison	
atch	
layden	
erring	
[11]	
olman	
folt	
lughes	
ohnson, Cali	
ohnson, Colo	).
ling	
a Follette	
ee	
ewis	
odge	
ogan	17 1 4

McCarran

McKellar

McNary Maloney Mead

Miller

Minton Murray

Neely Norris

Pepper

Pittman Radcliffe

Reynolds Russell

Reed

Nye O'Mahoney Schwartz Schwellenbach Smith Tobey Townsend Van Nuvs Stewart Wagner Sheppard Shipstead Truman Tydings Wheeler White Taft Shipstead Smathers Thomas, Okla. Thomas, Utah Vandenherg Wiley

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Ninety-two Senators having answered to their names, there is a quorum present.

Mr. BYRNES. Mr. President, I do not intend to consume any of the time of the Senate with reference to the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins. However, I do wish to insert in the RECORD a statement made before the committee by Mr. William Averell Harriman, chairman of the Business Advisory Council of the Department of Commerce.

Mr. Harriman appeared before the committee asking the privilege of making a statement endorsing Mr. Hopkins for the office of Secretary of Commerce and recommending the confirmation by the Senate of his appointment. He did not act officially in behalf of the council, but stated that because of his position as chairman of the council he desired to make the statement which I ask to insert in the RECORD. I ask also to have his statement followed by a list of the membership of the Business Advisory Council. These gentlemen belong to both political parties. The character of the membership will be some indication to the Senate of the kind of man Mr. Harriman is and should be of interest in connection with the statement he made in favor of the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the matter referred to by the Senator from South Carolina will be printed in the RECORD.

The statement of Mr. Harriman is as follows:

STATEMENT OF WILLIAM AVERELL HARRIMAN, CHAIRMAN OF THE BUSI-NESS ADVISORY COUNCIL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, NEW YORK CITY

The CHAIRMAN. Give us your name. Mr. HARRIMAN. William Averell Harriman.

Mr. HARRIMAN. William Averell Harriman.
The Chairman. Your address?
Mr. Harriman. 59 Wall Street.
The Chairman. Your occupation?
Mr. Harriman. I am a partner of Brown Bros., Harriman & Co.,
private bankers, and chairman of the board of the Union Pacific Railroad Co.

The CHAIRMAN. You wish to make a statement? Proceed.

Mr. Harriman. In addition to those activities I am at the present time chairman of the Business Advisory Council for the Depart-ment of Commerce, and as such I am very much interested in this appointment.

I have asked you for the privilege of appearing before you gentlemen to endorse Mr. Hopkins for this position, and to express my faith in him in this position, and my belief in his ability, and my expectation that he will fill the position with competence and

I have known Mr. Hopkins since the spring of 1933. I came to the conclusion that he was well equipped to handle this position prior to my discussing the policies that he intends to pursue in that position.

I noticed in the newspapers that there were rumors about the possibility of his appointment. I gave the matter very serious consideration, and came to the decision that I have stated prior to discussing them with him.

It has been my privilege to spend hours with him since his appointment, and it is my conviction that he has a real grasp of the problems. He has appeared before you very modestly and said that he does not know much about these problems, but from my dis-cussion I have had with him I believe he does understand the

His experience in dealing with the unemployment situation has brought him in contact with a great many businesses, and he has been here studying in his own way the things that have caused unemployment. I find that he has a grasp of them. And when he makes up him mind what he wants to do it is my belief that he will move in directions that are sound and in the interest of the stimulation of business.

The CHAIRMAN. Let us get what you mean by that. What do you mean by that. What do you mean by the directions that are sound? Let us get some idea of your meaning.

Mr. Harriman. Mr. Hopkins' views or my views?

The CHAIRMAN. No; you said that you believed that he would move in directions that are sound. You have some ground for that statement. I should like to know what you think are sound directions. directions.

Mr. HARRIMAN. I will be glad to generalize on that question. think one of the difficulties of the present situation has been the lack of understanding of objectives between business and government. In connection with that the council is on record to the President a year ago indicating the directions in which the Council felt that the administration and business could move for the advantage of the country. The council has been advising the Secretary of Commerce since the spring of 1933. It has expressed its independent point of view on many occasions to the Secretary and to the President. The President has released some of those reports, and they are a matter of record. Now, those generally indicate that there are some fronts in which a closer understanding of objective and method between government and business would be helpful for the economy, and I believe Mr. Hopkins has a grasp of those problems. What he is going to do I do not know, because in my talks with him I suppose most of the time he was asking me

Mr. BYRNES. Mr. President, I ask that the clerk read the list of the membership of the Business Advisory Council, which I send to the desk. It will consume but a minute.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Without objection, the

clerk will read as requested.

The Legislative Clerk read as follows:

BUSINESS ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

W. A. Harriman, chairman, Charles A. Cannon, vice chairman. Clarence Francis, vice chairman. De Lancey Kountze, vice chairman. Prentiss L. Coonley, assistant to the chairman. Walter White, assistant to the chairman. E. Willard Jensen, executive secretary.

MEMBERS

F. B. Adams, chairman of the executive committee, Air Reduction Co., 60 East Forty-second Street, New York, N. Y.
William L. Batt, president, S. K. F. Industries, Inc., Front Street
and Erie Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.
John D. Biggers, president, Libbey-Owens-Ford Glass Co., Toledo,

Ohio.

James F. Brownlee, president, Frankfort Distilleries, Inc., Louisville, Ky.
Charles A. Cannon, president, Cannon Mills Co., Kannapolis, N. C.

W. Dale Clark, president, The Omaha National Bank, Omaha, Nebr

William L. Clayton, chairman of board, Anderson, Clayton & Co., Cotton Exchange Building, Houston, Tex.
W. Howard Cox, president, The Union Central Life Insurance Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.
William H. Danforth, chairman of the board, Ralston Purina Co.,

St. Louis, Mo.

R. R. Deupree, president, the Procter & Gamble Co., Cincinnati,

William C. Dickman, president, American Locomotive Co., 30

Church Street, New York, N. Y.

Gano Dunn, president, J. G. White Engineering Corporation, 80

Broad Street, New York, N. Y.

Robert G. Elbert, 599 Madison Avenue, New York, N. Y.

W. Y. Elliott, department of government, Harvard University,

Cambridge, Mass.

John H. Fahey, Chairman, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, First
Street and Indiana Avenue NW., Washington, D. C.

T. Austin Finch, president, Thomasville, Chair Co., Thomasville,

N. C.

Robert V. Fleming, president, the Riggs National Bank, Washington, D. C.
James F. Fogarty, president, the North American Co., 60 Broadway,
New York, N. Y.
M. B. Folson, treasurer, Eastman Kodak Co., 343 State Street,

Rochester, N. Y.

Rochester, N. Y.
Clarence Francis, president, General Foods Corporation, 250 Park
Avenue, New York, N. Y.
H. B. Friele, vice president, the Nakat Packing Corporation,
Dexter Horton Building, Seattle, Wash.
A. P. Greensfelder, president, Fruin-Colnon Contracting Co.,
Merchants-Laclede Building, St. Louis, Mo.
Rolland J. Hamilton, president, American Radiator Co., 40 West
Fortieth Street, New York, N. Y.
Henry I. Harriman, division of metropolitan planning New England Power Building, 441 Stuart Street, Boston, Mass.
W. A. Harriman (chairman of the council), chairman of the
board, Union Pacific Railroad Co., New York, N. Y.
Henry H. Heimann, executive manager, National Association of
Credit Men, 1 Park Avenue, New York, N. Y.
Charles R. Hook, president, the American Rolling Mill Co., Middle-Charles R. Hook, president, the American Rolling Mill Co., Middle-

town Ohio. William A. Julian, the Treasurer of the United States, Washing-

ton, D. C.
H. P. Kendall, president, the Kendall Co., 140 Federal Street, Boston, Mass.

Fred I. Kent, treasurer, National Industrial Conference Board, 100 Broadway, New York, N. Y.

De Lancey Kountze, chairman of the board, Devoe & Reynolds Co., Inc., 1 West Forty-seventh Street, New York, N. Y.

Morris E. Leeds, president, Leeds & Northrup Co., 4901 Stenton Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.

C. K. Leith, department of geology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.

Paul W. Litchfield, president, the Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 1144 East Market Street, Akron, Ohio.

Earl M. McGowin, vice president, W. T. Smith Lumber Co., Inc., Chapman, Ala

George H. Mead, president, Mead Corporation, Dayton, Ohio. George H. Mead, president, Mead Corporation, Dayton, Ohio.
D. M. Nelson, vice president, Sears, Roebuck & Co., Chicago, Ill.
J. C. Nichols, president, J. C. Nichols Investment Co., 310 Ward
Parkway, County Club Plaza, Kansas City, Mo.
George A. Sloan, 60 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
E. T. Stannard, president, Kennecott Copper Corporation, 120
Broadway, New York, N. Y.
E. R. Stettinius, Jr., chairman, United States Steel Corporation,
71 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
E. D. Douglas Stewart vice president, Quaker Oats Co., 141 West

71 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
R. Douglas Stewart, vice president, Quaker Oats Co., 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.
Gerard Swope, president, General Electric Co., 570 Lexington Avenue, New York, N. Y.
Walter C. Teagle, chairman of the board, Standard Oil Co.
(N. J.), 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, N. Y.
J. T. Trippe, president, Pan American Airways System, Chrysler Building, New York, N. Y.
Thomas J. Watson, president, International Business Machines Corporation, 590 Madison Avenue, New York, N. Y.
Sidney J. Weinberg, partner, Goldman, Sachs & Co., 30 Pine Street, New York, N. Y.
Samuel P. Wetherill, president, Wetherill Engineering Co., Inc., 1402 Morris Building, Philadelphia, Pa.
W. H. Wheeler, Jr., vice president, Pitney-Bowes Postage Meter Co., Stamford, Conn.

Co., Stamford, Conn.

A. D. Whiteside, president Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., 290 Broadway,

ew York, N. Y. H. Hyer Whiting, 1201 Hobart Building, San Francisco, Calif. S. Clay Williams, chairman of the board, R. J. Reynolds Tobacco

Co., Winston-Salem, N. C.
R. W. Woodruff, president, the Coca-Cola Co., 101 West Tenth Street, Wilmington, Del.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, I desire to make an inquiry of the Senator from South Carolina. Because of the confusion in the Chamber, I was unable to hear all that was said. I am sure the Senator is not suggesting, merely because Mr. Harriman appeared as a personal witness before the committee, that that carries with it the implication that the entire advisory council is joining Mr. Harriman in recommending the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination.

Mr. BYRNES. Mr. President, the Senator from Michigan states that he did not hear all that I said. If he had been able to hear it, he would have heard me say that Mr. Harriman did not say he was speaking in behalf of the council as a result of any action taken by them. He said that he was speaking because, as chairman of the Business Advisory Council, he was interested in this question. I had the list of the membership read because the membership which has just been read elected Mr. Harriman as its chairman.

Mr. VANDENBERG. This the membership created by Mr. Roper while he was Secretary?

Mr. BYRNES. Originally the council was created by the Secretary. According to my information, members have been added by the council. I may say to the Senator from Michigan also that I happen to know that on the day Mr. Harriman appeared three members of the council came to Washington voluntarily for the purpose of testifying. I know that one of them, Gen. Robert G. Elbert, of the council, asked about making a statement. Mr. Donald Comer also desired to make a statement. They were advised by friends that the committee did not think it necessary to go into any exhaustive hearing along that line.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Is this the same group which threatened two or three times during the past year or two to resign because of its dissatisfaction with the general trend of affairs?

Mr. BYRNES. I never heard of the group doing that. If they were a group who wanted to resign because of general dissatisfaction, I cannot understand why they did not resign. If they expressed that view and thereafter did not resign, I think they must have concluded that they had been misinformed by the Senator from Michigan or some other person and changed their minds after they had learned the facts and desired to continue in office.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Or else they lost their nerve; one or

Mr. BYRNES. If the Senator from Michigan wants to say that some of the gentlemen whose names have been read lost their nerve, he may say it. I think they have just as much courage as has the Senator from Michigan.

Mr. LEWIS. Mr. President, I ask the Senator from South Carolina whether he will state for the Record how this committee of whom he speaks is really made up, by whom appointed, and from what sources it comes.

Mr. BYRNES. Mr. President, I understand that the Business Advisory Council was originally formed at the suggestion of the Secretary of Commerce, Mr. Roper. Thereafter its members were appointed on the suggestion of this group of businessmen, who have themselves selected members to serve representing the various industries of the country.

Mr. LEWIS. What is it they do? What office are they assumed to perform?

Mr. BYRNES. They come to Washington once a month and present to the Department of Commerce and to the President their views about the business of the country, and express their aims and hopes with reference to the relationship between business and Government.

Mr. LEWIS. May I assume that if they had had objection to Mr. Hopkins they could have expressed it to the President; that it was within their power so to do?

Mr. BYRNES. Of course, Mr. President. On the contrary, there can be no question that the members of this council feel that the appointment is in the interest of good relations between business and Government, and will be productive of good.

Mr. SCHWELLENBACH. Mr. President, I wish to speak briefly upon the pending question. I had not intended to discuss the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins until the debate of last week, but I think it is desirable that during the course of the discussion someone make the statement which I intend to make.

I intend to vote for the confirmation of Harry Hopkins' nomination without making any apologies for the fact that I intend to vote in that way, without making any apologies for anything Harry Hopkins has ever done. I think Harry Hopkins is an honest, capable administrator. I think he has done a marvelous job as Administrator of the Works Progress Administration.

It has been interesting, in going through the RECORD, to see that one by one speeches have been made in opposition to Mr. Hopkins which started out with the statement that the speaker appreciated the fact that no votes would be changed by the speech which was about to be made. I do not know just why those speeches were made if those who were speaking realized that they were not going to get any results from their speeches. I am inclined to believe that most of the speeches which have been made with reference to Mr. Hopkins, in opposition to him, have not been directed at Mr. Hopkins, but have been directed at the President of the United States and are part of the effort which is being carried on, and has been carried on for these last 2 years, to attempt to break down the confidence which the American people have in the President of the United States and the confidence which they have in the Government of the United States.

I wish to say to those who are so free in their criticism, who are so free in their efforts to destroy this confidence, that they are doing precisely the same thing in this country that was successfully done in some of the countries of Europe, and which resulted in breaking down the democracies in various parts of the world. With world conditions as they are, with conditions in this country as they are, this is no time to let personal peeve or partisan politics destroy the confidence of the American people in their Government.

It has been rather amusing to me to see some of the Republican Members of this body rise and attempt to drape themselves around with a mantle of purity and, in discussing the Works Progress Administration, complain because of some politics. One thing can be said—and the Republican Members of this body should appreciate that factthat while the Works Progress Administration was carrying on the biggest job which has ever been handled in this country, spending more money than ever has been spent by any man in this country, it has not been possible to point a finger of suspicion at Mr. Hopkins' administration so far as honesty is concerned.

If it were not tragic it would be amusing to think of members of the Republican Party, some of whom were here during the days of Mr. Harding, Mr. Daugherty, and Mr. Fall, one of whom sat in the Cabinet during that period of time with those gentlemen, during the greatest saturnalia of corruption this Nation has ever seen, having the temerity to come and attempt to maintain that there is some purity in the position they take. I cannot believe that 6 short years of being out of office has made the Republican Party pure. It has made many of the members of that party very hungry, because they have not been on the pay roll; but it has not been able to purge from the minds of the American people the memory of the corruption which was rife during the 1920's.

As I have said, Harry Hopkins was placed in charge of the biggest and most difficult problem any man has ever had under his control in this Nation. We have here a report from the so-called Sheppard committee, and I have read it all. The remarkable thing is that, with all of the Members of this body in constant contact with Mr. Hopkins and all of them desirous of having this or that to do with the efforts of the Works Progress Administration, there was so little politics in relief as was disclosed by the Sheppard committee report.

I might say that when I speak in behalf of Harry Hopkins I speak in behalf of a man with whom I had probably the most disagreeable fight I have ever had in my life. I was amused the other day at the Senator from West Virginia [Mr. Holt] assuming, as he did, that those of us who happened to support the administration were able to get projects or appointments. He calls us administration "yes" men; he can call me that if he cares to, but he cannot impute to me a motive and imply that I am an administration supporter merely in order to get some projects for my State or secure some appointment.

There are different ideas about these things. It happens to be my idea that when I go out to the people of my State and pledge myself in a campaign to a program of support of the President of the United States, it is a solemn pledge, and I do not think I have any right to come here and break the word which I gave to the people of the State. I think it is an honorable thing to carry out my word, and I am rather surprised that those who do not agree with that point of view feel free to attack those of us who do entertain that opinion and attempt to impugn our honesty and our motives.

As I have stated, I was amused at what the Senator from West Virginia said in his remarks last week, because, so far as I am concerned, I have never been able to get any appointments from the Works Progress Administration. I think that fully 90 percent of the administrative staff of the Works Progress Administration in my State belongs to the Republican Party, and I am constantly receiving resolutions from Democratic clubs protesting against that situation. That is the kind of politics we have in the Works Progress Administration in my State; and that is the State about which I know.

The Senator from West Virginia states that those of us who support the administration can get projects through which should not be undertaken. During my 4 years here I have had put through two projects which were contrary to the rules of the Works Progress Administration. They were for two country schools, which I visited and inspected. The schools which were being used and occupied were fire traps, and the lives of several hundred pupils were endangered every day. That is the only time that Harry Hopkins veered to the slightest extent from the rules and regulations which were laid down.

A number of years ago a great lawyer in this country said that we should not forget that every son of man travels an unbeaten path, a road beset with dangers and temptations which no other traveler knew, and that his footsteps should only be judged in the light of the strength he had, the burden he had carried, and every motive, open and secret, that impelled him here and there.

To a greater extent than any other man engaged in our Government Harry Hopkins traveled an unbeaten path. The money which was appropriated for the Works Progress Administration was appropriated by the Congress, and there is not a Member of this body, though there were objections here and there to some parts of the appropriations, who did not approve some sort of an appropriation for work relief in this country.

The burden Mr. Hopkins carried was made heavier by the fact that this body itself insisted on having the right of confirmation of State directors of the Works Progress Administration. The Members of this body, by and large, during the last 5 years have done everything they could to try to have something to do with the administration of the Works Progress Administration in their own States.

In the light of everything that has happened, considering the employment of millions upon millions of people and the expenditures of billions of dollars, I think it remarkable that even this bitter partisan opposition has not been able to point to one thing which was dishonest or corrupt. I think that not only should the nomination of Harry Hopkins be confirmed, but I think there is due to him and due to his administration some measure of apology from those who are now making use of this opportunity in an attempt to smear the President of the United States.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Harry L. Hopkins to be Secretary of Commerce?

Mr. McNARY. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Adams	Donahey	King	Reed
Andrews	Downey	La Follette	Reynolds
Ashurst	Ellender	Lee	Russell
Austin	Frazier	Lewis.	Schwartz
Bailey	George	Lodge	Schwellenbach
Bankhead	Gerry	Logan	Sheppard
Barbour	Gibson	Lucas	Shipstead
Barkley	Gillette	Lundeen	Smathers
Bilbo	Glass	McCarran	Smith
Bone	Green	McKellar	Stewart
Borah	Guffey	McNary	Taft
Bridges	Gurney	Maloney	Thomas, Okla.
Bulow	Hale	Mead	Thomas, Utah
Burke	Harrison	Miller	Tobey
Byrd	Hatch	Minton	Townsend
Byrnes	Hayden	Murray	Truman
Capper	Herring	Neely	Tydings
Caraway	Hill	Norris	Vandenberg
Clark, Idaho	Holman	Nye	Van Nuys
Clark, Mo.	Holt	O'Mahoney	Wagner
Connally	Hughes	Pepper	Wheeler
Danaher	Johnson, Calif.	Pittman	White
Davis	Johnson, Colo.	Radcliffe	Wiley

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Ninety-two Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

Mr. WHITE. Mr. President, because of the fact that I served as a member of the Sheppard committee, and am also a member of the Commerce Committee, which considered the pending nomination, I feel it appropriate that I should express myself at least briefly concerning the pending nomination.

It seems to me that an effort was made in committee, and has been made here upon the floor, to give importance to the fact that there was not more in the way of political offenses found by the committee than is catalogued in the committee report. I think it should be noted in this connection that the Sheppard committee was appointed in June; that it held its first meeting, if my recollection is correct, on the 17th of June; that no member of the committee had previous experience in work of this character; that its staff was as inexperienced as the committee membership itself; that the investigators were hurriedly gathered together without great knowledge on the part of the committee as to their particular qualifications for this character of work; that the committee was limited by the terms of the resolution to

investigations in those States in which a senatorial election was held, and that the committee acted only upon specific charges filed before it for which there seemed to be some measure of substantiation either in the letters or in the affidavits submitted. In the face of these facts I think it is most astonishing that the committee found what the record discloses, and that it is not significant and not important what the committee did not find.

I wish to emphasize in the next place, Mr. President, that the Sheppard committee was not seeking evil in the W. P. A. I think the Senate will be ready to accept my assurance that at least the majority members of the committee would have been most pleased if they had found nothing which they felt under obligation to report to the Senate with respect to W. P. A. and its activities. I think that majority would have been glad if the facts before them justified the committee in exonerating, not only Mr. Hopkins but the entire organization, of political activity of any sort or character.

I desire to emphasize next, Mr. President, that the committee investigators were not head hunters. I am warranted in the statement—and the chairman of the Sheppard committee can correct me if I am in error—that every investigator sent out was informed and cautioned that he must proceed in his task without prejudice, without bias; that he was expected to search only for the truth and to report the truth as he saw the truth to the committee.

Mr. President, these were the general circumstances, and that is the spirit in which the Sheppard committee undertook its investigations.

The discussion before the Senate has ranged somewhat widely. Reference has been made to various States. But because there has been an effort, so it seems to me, to convey the impression that the offenses found by the Sheppard committee were the acts of local politicians, that the conduct to be censured was that of subordinate officers of the W. P. A. only, and in particular because I think there has been more of a disposition to challenge the findings of the committee with respect to the activities in the State of Kentucky than elsewhere, I want in what I shall say to limit myself largely to the State of Kentucky.

I can refer to but three or four matters, and to those only most generally. First, I direct the attention of the Senate to the Stokes' charges, so-called. The Stokes' charges appeared in two editions of a group of newspapers throughout the country. The first was of June 6 and the second was of June 13. The principal importance, so it seems to me, of the Stokes' charges is not in the detailed specifications found in them, but is rather in the fact that a respectable newspaper investigator and reporter, after personal investigation upon the ground, believed conditions existed which justified him in publishing throughout the country the charges which were carried in the press of the Nation.

The publication of these charges brought knowledge to the W. P. A. of intolerable conditions in the State of Kentucky, or at least it brought knowledge to them that responsible persons believed these conditions to exist in that State.

What did Mr. Hopkins do with respect to the Stokes' charges? Within 2 weeks after their publication he had taken notice of them; he had sent investigators into the State of Kentucky; these investigators had undertaken that investigation and completed their study of conditions; they had made their reports to Mr. Hopkins; Mr. Hopkins had studied those reports and he had prepared that lengthy broadside which appeared in the press on June 30. Mr. Hopkins moved with celerity; he moved promptly; he did as thorough a job of whitewashing as has ever come to my No one was punished as the result of those charges of Mr. Stokes. No one was disciplined as the result of those charges and the findings of the investigators with respect to them. No one was discharged. On the contrary, the full implication of the announcement of Mr. Hopkins was that the W. P. A. could go full speed ahead in their political activities, not alone in that State of Kentucky but throughout the Nation. The committee of the Senate, after

its own investigation, with Mr. Hopkins conclusions before it, sustained these charges in their majority and in their substance.

The Stokes' charges were not the first knowledge of political activity brought to the attention of the W. P. A. As early as March 3 the State administrator of Kentucky had notice that requests for lists of certified workers were coming into headquarters from political organizations in that State. On March 3 he wrote a letter saying that these requests were not to be responded to by his subordinates, but were to be submitted to him in the head office of the W. P. A. in the State.

Finally there came a particular request from the headquarters of one of the candidates for the United States Senate in Kentucky. What did the State administrator do with respect to that request? He met it, and through his subordinates he authorized a canvass that was to be carried on in the first relief district of the State. That canvass was thorough and systematic. It was conducted by means of lists which were prepared in W. P. A. offices, by W. P. A. employees, during W. P. A. working hours, and on paper furnished by the political headquarters of one of the

The lists went from the district supervisor in that particular district down through 9 area timekeepers into the hands of 340 project timekeepers and the canvass was made. The canvass was of something like 18,000 certified W. P. A. workers in 32 counties of the State of Kentucky. The canvass covered more than one-quarter of all the certified W. P. A. workers in the State of Kentucky. It not only covered those workers but it made inquiries as to their families. When the canvass was completed there was in W. P. A. headquarters detailed information concerning over 17,000 W. P. A. workers in that district and information concerning their families.

The blanks asking for various items of information were brought into headquarters, and there the information upon them was transferred to typewritten sheets. Then those typewritten sheets in 32 counties of this first district in the State of Kentucky were turned over to the local political organizations of this candidate in the entire 32 counties of the district.

It was suggested that this canvass was conducted so that information might be carried to W. P. A. workers as to their voting rights, how they might register, and information of similar nature. However, the fact remains that the information was turned over to the headquarters of only one political candidate, and then the original lists were destroyed and no other political candidate had opportunity to see the records. The information included, when completed, a detailed canvass with respect to some 35,000 or 40,000 voters in that district and it was made available to the organization of a single senatorial candidate. W. P. A. was in politics in this district. The activity was not of local politicians, not of subordinate officials, but of the highest administrative officials of W. P. A. in the district.

Mr. MINTON. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? Mr. WHITE. I have only a limited time, and I hope the Senator will not press a question.

Let us take the second district in the State of Kentucky. In that district a canvass, somewhat similar to the canvass carried on in the district to which I have just alluded, was ordered by the district area engineer, and the information sought was similar to that sought in the other district of Kentucky. In this particular area, among other requests for information on the forms, there was a space for "remarks." That item was not used in the first district to which I have alluded. What was to be inserted under the heading "re-

Under "remarks" was to be stated whether or not, in the opinion of the person checking, the person checked, the relief worker, was favorable to the W. P. A. program and to one of the candidates for the United States Senate in that State. Such was the information called for by the blanks circulated in the second district in the State of Kentucky. When the data were received they were analyzed, and then the original data were destroyed and were not made generally available.

In the third district in Kentucky, mimeographed forms were used, as elsewhere. For what purpose were the mimeographed forms used? The forms were used to elicit information of a definite political character. The information was all gathered and made available for political purposes, and to political ends.

Mr. President, I do not accept the theory that because a nomination is to a Cabinet office it must be accepted as a matter of course. The Constitution does not so suggest. The office concerns not the President alone, but our commercial and industrial life. The same obligation rests upon the Senate as in the case of other nominations. I do not propose to approve the further surrender to the Executive of the constitutional rights of this body and the abandonment of its solemn obligations with respect to appointments.

The record of W. P. A. political activities, and of Mr. Hopkins' part therein, confronts the Senate. Some urge that the admitted offenses were committed by his subordinates, and that he should not be held accountable. Others have pleaded in the debate in a sort of confession in avoidance, that the fault is not his, because in all that he did, in all that he permitted, he but yielded to the demands of higher authority. These are but the excuses of sophistry and subtlety. Mr. Hopkins' name is before the Senate. We must pass upon him, and we are not privileged to consider others at this time; others who may also be responsible.

Mr. President, has there been pernicious political activity by Mr. Hopkins and the W. P. A.? I think the record demonstrates it beyond peradventure. The admissions of Mr. Hopkins and the record before us furnish an affirmative answer, and no other answer can be found.

Have those who have been guilty of these offenses been punished in any respect? They have not. With the record known to Mr. Hopkins, his failure to discipline and remove the offenders, those who have breached their trust to the distressed of America, is as great an offense as the political activities of which there is so general disapprobation.

The vote we are about to cast is of approval or of disapproval. It has never been made clear to me, Mr. President, how I can condemn a deed and exalt the doer thereof. I cannot condemn the political activities of Mr. Hopkins, and the activities for which he must stand chargeable, and vote to reward him with higher political honors.

At almost the first meeting of the Sheppard committee the chairman, with the authority of the committee, announced the objectives of the Senate resolution to be maintenance of the integrity of the elective processes; preservation of democracy at its most vital point, the ballot box, and free exercise of the voting franchise; and, to that end, the prevention of an improper use of money, or of any coercion or intimidation by any person, group, or agency outside or inside the Government. Did the committee statement accurately reflect the objective of the Senate during the last session of Congress? Does that sentiment find sanction in the conscience of the Senate today? By our votes today we may serve the purpose announced, or we may prove our disloyalty to it.

Mr. President, we boast of our right of free speech and our free press; we take pride in our right of assembly; we glory in our freedom of conscience and of worship, but these are no more secure than is the freedom of the ballot. The freedom of the ballot is in direct jeopardy if those, hungry and without shelter, who, in poverty and distress, face a forbidding future, must accept political servitude as the price of public relief in their misfortunes.

Mr. President, I feel constrained to vote against the confirmation of the nomination of Harry L. Hopkins.

Mr. MINTON. Mr. President, I had not intended to say anything about the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination. However, my recollection was refreshed by listening to the

speech of my friend the junior Senator from Maine [Mr. WHITE]. I was just a little astonished that the Senator from Maine should be so outraged at the puny charges which have been laid at the door of Mr. Hopkins. I was surprised that he could work himself into such a frenzy about "pernicious political activity" and about the free exercise of the franchise and the coercion of voters on W. P. A. and refer to servitude as the price of their misfortune. That surprised me because, Mr. President, in 1936 I was on the Committee to Investigate Campaign Expenditures, which was similar to the committee of which the Senator from Maine was a member during the last campaign. The committee of which I was a member, as one of its duties in that campaign, found it necessary to investigate some of the things that were going on in Maine. The Senator who has just spoken, the junior Senator from Maine [Mr. WHITE], was a candidate for reelection in 1936 in Maine.

There is a statute in that State which provides that a pauper may not vote, and the attorney general of the great State of Maine, from which the eminent Senator who has just spoken comes, had construed that statute to mean that a man on W. P. A. was a pauper and could not vote in Maine; the Republican organization in Maine was using that statute and that construction of the statute by the attorney general of the State of Maine to prevent poor, unfortunate people on W. P. A. in the State of Maine from casting their votes, thus pauperizing all those who were on W. P. A. because they wanted to vote. They were going to apply the screws of that statute to the poor, unfortunate people who were on W. P. A. in Maine, and the Senator from Maine never had a word to say about it.

The committee listened for a voice coming from the woods in Maine that we would recognize as the soft and dulcet tones of the Senator from Maine protesting that his State should say that a pauper should not vote; but we listened in vain for such a voice. The Senator from Maine never said a word. Quite to the contrary, he was ready to accept the votes that would come to him in 1936 by applying the pauper statute of Maine and saying to the paupers in Maine, as well as those on W. P. A., "You cannot vote."

Here is what the report filed by the election committee in 1936 said:

Of major importance in this connection was the revelation that election officials were threatening persons on the relief rolls that they would not be permitted to vote in the election because, under an interpretation of the law in Maine, they would be regarded as paupers and disfranchised. After examining the law on the subject Mr. Walter Myers, counsel for the committee, prepared a memorandum reviewing the pauper laws in Maine, and expressing doubt as to whether anyone receiving relief, even though it should come from the State treasurer of Maine, would be disqualified as a voter. This information was sent to State officials by the committee, and they later clarified the situation by a new interpretation of the law.

But until the Campaign Expenditures Committee came along and investigated that matter and called it to the attention of the country they were applying in Maine the pauper statute to W. P. A. workers; they were not going to let them vote; and the Senator from Maine had nothing to say about that. "Pernicious political activity." What more pernicious political activity can Senators think of than for a State to attempt to enforce such a dastardly law as that; a law that would disfranchise poor people simply because they did not have a job and the Government was going to give them an opportunity to work? "Political activity." The Senator from Maine is outraged because of what was done in Kentucky; but he never said a word about Maine in 1936.

I think I heard the Senator say that he was surprised not at what the committee of which he was a member this year found but at the things that it did not find; that he was surprised not at the things they found but at the things that they did not have time to go into. One of the things that would have been interesting, I think, to the Senate if the committee had gone into it would have been the hook-up in Maine between the Townsend clubs and the Republican Party. That movement did not start merely in 1938; it started also

in 1936, because the report of the Campaign Expenditures Committee in 1936 pointed out:

In addition to questionable bookkeeping practices, the investigators found that some money had been received from persons interested politically in the Townsend movement. One individual stated that the Republican State committee had reimbursed him in excess of \$250 for funds he had used to defray expenses of a group sent to the Townsend convention in Cleveland, and that this money was used to defray said expenses to Cleveland, and also to organize Townsend clubs. Canceled checks were found by investigators showing that the amount of reimbursement probably exceeded \$500.

This year, of course, as everybody knows, the Townsend clubs backed the Republican candidates for Congress in the State of Maine. Oh, of course, that was not "playing politics with misery"; there was no "pernicious political activity" there; there was no "pernicious political activity" in paying the expenses of a Townsendite to the Townsend convention at Cleveland; oh, no; that would not be "pernicious political activity"; that would not be "playing politics with misery." That is just politics the way they play it up in Maine.

Mr. President, as I said a while ago, in 1933 all these Townsend clubs were supporting the Republican congressional ticket in Maine, and the Republican candidates were all for the Townsend plan. The Senator from Maine might have looked into that, but he did not find time to do it.

Now, of course, we are going to have the Passamaquoddy project before us, and the Senator from Maine is for Passamaquoddy. I hope that Passamaquoddy fails, for we ought not to have two big spending programs going on in Maine—Passamaquoddy by the Democrats and the Townsend plan by the Republicans.

Mr. President, I wanted to call the attention of the Senate to the fact that the testimony of the Senator from Maine ought not to be weighed too heavily in the balance against Mr. Hopkins. In looking back over the record of 1936, I cannot feel very much outraged at what happened in Kentucky when I remember what happened in Maine. I bring this matter to the attention of the Senate in order that Senators may have a proper perspective in which to view the alarm that has been sounded by the junior Senator from Maine.

So, Mr. President, it seems to me that what we have witnessed here in the last few days, from the other side of the Chamber in opposition to Mr. Hopkins, is just what the Senator from Washington [Mr. Schwellenbach] said a while ago. We find a bunch of professional mourners, mourning for the W. P. A. I was in China a few years ago, and I remember seeing a procession moving down the streets of Canton. It was a funeral procession. In front were some boys who were scattering papers; then along came some other men carrying some sort of thing with some tinkling bells and tinkling glass upon it; then behind them came a man dressed in a white robe, with anguish written on his face such I have never seen; the anguish and sorrow of the dear Master pictured upon the cross was nothing compared to the anguish and sorrow depicted on the countenance of this man. He was a professional mourner for the Chinese who came along behind the coffin. That is what we have witnessed across the Chamber today—professional mourners for the W. P. A. workers. They are very much concerned lest we destroy their right to the franchise; oh, they are very much concerned about that; but in 1936 and in 1934 they were concerned about destroying the character of people who were given jobs on W. P. A. It was then said: "People must not have jobs on W. P. A.; that would destroy their character." Now they want to protect their franchise.

Mr. President, I shall vote for Mr. Hopkins for the same reasons assigned by the Senator from Washington, because I think he is one of the finest administrators who have been produced by this administration or any other administration within a generation. I shall cast my vote for the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins not because Mr. Hopkins has not made mistakes, but, if you please, sir, because he has made so few mistakes. What Senator here has said that Mr. Hopkins deliberately put politics into the W. P. A.? Senators speaking against confirming the nomination of Mr.

Hopkins have only pointed to the fact that, notwithstanding Mr. Hopkins was against politics in W. P. A., politics crept into W. P. A. In the 3,000 counties of the United States he administered this law. He had millions of workers under him, and he spent billions of dollars. Is it at all strange that somebody might break in and play a little politics in such a vast organization as that? The only thing that stands out in my mind is that there was so little of it.

Mr. Hopkins lays no claim to being 100-percent perfect. What Senator claims to be 100 percent perfect? Mr. Hopkins does not claim that he did not make any mistakes. He made some mistakes. Anybody administering such a pro-

gram would have made mistakes.

So, Mr. President, I shall vote for the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins, not because I am a part of the present administration, not because I am known as an administration Senator, but because I believe in the splendid record that has been made by Mr. Hopkins, and his fine integrity and ability.

Mr. GLASS. Mr. President, for the first time, I think, in my senatorial career I shall, with the permission of the

Senate, decline to vote on this nominee.

I believe, in the first place, that nothing Mr. Hopkins did or said was done or said without the sanction of the appointing power. I do not care to vote for Mr. Hopkins, because I do not want the impression to prevail anywhere that I approve anything that Mr. Hopkins has done or that Mr. Hopkins has said; nor do I approve the system under which he has acted. I voted against the lump-sum appropriation of \$4,800,000,000, to begin with, because I thought any Senator who supposed it was not to be used for political purposes was simple. It was to be used largely for those purposes, and was used largely for those purposes. On the other hand, I subscribe to the view that the President of the United States should have the widest possible latitude in selecting his advisers; and if he wants men of the Hopkins type to advise him, I think he ought to be allowed to select them, because in no event could Mr. Hopkins give the President any worse advice than persons who surround him who are not subject to confirmation by the Senate.

Moreover, I do not think Mr. Hopkins is being "kicked upstairs." I do not think he can do one whit of the wrong as Secretary of Commerce that he is alleged to have done as Director of the W. P. A., because of all the departments of the Government, that of Commerce is the most innocuous.

But, as I have said, I do not care to have anybody think that if I were to vote for Mr. Hopkins—and should I vote, I would vote for him—I approve anything he has done or said. I do not want to have to go into that explanation to thousands of people who may wonder that I should vote for a man of Mr. Hopkins' character. I never would have appointed him. I do not approve, as I have said, of anything he has done, or, as I recall, anything that he has said; but I think he has done it all, and said most of it, in the confident belief that it would be sanctioned, if it had not been directed, by the appointing power.

So, with the assent of the Senate, I shall refrain from voting at all.

Mr. NEELY. Mr. President, the frantic efforts that a few have made to tarnish the name, asperse the motives, and sully the reputation of Harry Hopkins have been as fruitses as the performance of the mountains, which, after long and excruciating labor, with the aid of a great earthquake, finally brought forth a mean, miserable, microscopic mouse. [Laughter.]

But the mountains did at last bring forth something. The enemies of Harry Hopkins have brought forth nothing. The failure of the attack is not surprising, because—

Against the head which innocence secures, Insidious malice aims her darts in vain, Turn'd backwards by the powerful breath of Heaven.

A more honorable, efficient, faithful public servant than Harry Hopkins has never been subjected to senatorial investigation, dissection, or disposition. During one of the most critical periods in American history he has had charge of a program of rehabilitation so vast and so far reaching in both its immediate and remote consequences that its mere contemplation staggers the mind and bewilders the imagination. He has successfully borne the heavy responsibility of directing the activities of an army of underprivileged human beings more numerous than the combined hosts that followed Xerxes and Caesar and Napoleon and Alexander the Great.

In comparison with the fabulous sums of public money which Harry Hopkins has, with stainless hands and heart as "chaste as the icicle that hangs on Dian's temple," spent to mitigate the misery of the unemployed, all the wealth that Croesus ever accumulated, and all the gold that Midas ever gained, shrink to the insignificant intrinsic value of the two mites which the poor widow threw into the treasury of the Lord.

Since George Washington was President, no other governmental official has, in the brief period of less than 4 years, ever rendered greater service to the people than Harry Hopkins has given them since he became the Administrator of Works Progress in 1935.

Mr. BRIDGES. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. NEELY. I yield to the Senator from New Hampshire. Mr. BRIDGES. I merely want to ask the Senator if he meant to pass by President Roosevelt when he said Mr. Hopkins had rendered more service than any other man since George Washington was President.

Mr. NEELY. Mr. President, I meant to include even the Senator from New Hampshire, and that is as far as it is possible for human exaggeration to go. [Laughter.]

Mr. President, compared with the accomplishments of the Works Progress Administration under Harry Hopkins, the labors of Hercules become as trivial as a schoolboy's chores in the twilight of a winter day.

As a result of plans which were formulated in Harry Hopkins' busy brain and executed in accordance with his commands, the Works Progress Administration has, among countless other things, supplied employment to more than 6,000,000 of the needy of the Nation.

In addition to rescuing these millions from the curse of enforced idleness and the slough of despond, it has given billions of dollars worth of service and permanent improvements to the people. It has constructed enough modern highways to reach twice around the world; it has improved a hundred and fifty-nine thousand miles of roads and streets-enough to girdle the globe six times and more; it has constructed a hundred and thirty airplane landing fields and improved a hundred and thirty-six more; it has laid 4,000 miles of water lines and 6,000 miles of storm and sanitary sewers; it has planted more than a billion trees to prevent soil erosion and floods and to provide building material for generations yet unborn; it has built, modernized, or improved 20,000 schoolhouses and more than 30,000 other public buildings; it has bound 20,000-000 library books; made 95,000,000 pieces of clothing for the poor; and supplied more than a hundred and twenty-nine million lunches to the needy school children of the Nation.

The Works Progress Administration, under the direction of Harry Hopkins, has enriched every State; it has benefited every county; it has improved every city; it has blessed millions who, through no fault of their own, were poverty-stricken and distressed.

Regardless of slanders that may be written about Mr. Hopkins with poisoned pens, and false charges that may be made against him by venomous tongues—in spite of all the abuse that may be heaped upon him by those who burn their incense upon the altar of hate and worship at the shrine of revenge—millions of deserving Americans will, to the end of their days, say to this great man: "We were jobless and you employed us; we were homeless and you sheltered us; we were naked and you clothed us; we were hungry and you fed us. But for your service we should have been sent to the poorhouse to live in desolation and die in despair."

But at least one critic inquires: "How do we know that Mr. Hopkins is qualified to discharge the duties of the Secretary of Commerce?" In view of Mr. Hopkins' resplendent record of achievements, the response to this inquiry is as self-

evident as the answer to the question: "Can a woman talk, or a bird fly, or a fish swim?"

Anyone who can provide useful employment for more than 6,000,000 working men and women for whom the captains of private industry find it impossible to supply jobs can, in a satisfactory manner, perform the duties of any office under the Constitution or laws of the United States.

There is scarcely a community in the land in which some perfectly constructed road or street or schoolhouse or library or other public facility does not testify to the fact that Harry Hopkins is one of the most capable executives this country has ever known.

But two criticisms have been voiced in the Senate concerning Mr. Hopkins or the Works Progress Administration under his control, which must be answered. The first of these was pronounced by the junior Senator from Kansas [Mr. Reed]. After quoting from the report of the Sheppard committee concerning the solicitation of Works Progress Administration employees in a certain State to contribute to a campaign fund, about which Mr. Hopkins knew absolutely nothing, the Senator from Kansas said:

Mr. President, I have been in public life a long time \* \* \*. But, in all my experience and in all my reading of the history of this country, never have I found an instance or instances where political practices were so sordid, where all considerations of fairness and decency were so disregarded as in the cases reported by this committee.

I do not charge Mr. Hopkins with ordering these things; I do not even charge that he was cognizant of all these things. I do charge, however, that these things have produced a condition that represents the lowest level of political management this Nation has ever known.

My brief acquaintance with the junior Senator from Kansas impels me to believe that he would not intentionally distort a truth or misrepresent a fact. Therefore I am forced to the conclusion that the Senator was a stranger to Washington during the time that Harry Daugherty, Jess Smith, and Gaston B. Means so administered the affairs of the Attorney General's office that Daugherty was indicted and tried again and again for his alleged high crimes and misdemeanors. And in spite of the failure of the jury to convict him, those who were here during the Daugherty regime are almost unanimously of the opinion that he should have been found guilty.

Apparently the Senator is not familiar with the fact that Albert B. Fall—Mr. Harding's Secretary of the Interior—for a bribe of a hundred thousand dollars helped to defraud the Government of its naval oil reserves, and for his crime was sent to the penitentiary.

Somebody has torn from the Senator's history the sordid chapter which records the fact that Thomas W. Miller, Alien Property Custodian under both Mr. Harding and Mr. Coolidge, was convicted of a high crime which he committed in office and was sent to the penitentiary.

The Senator's history must have failed to reveal the fact that a Republican Congressman from Kentucky, whose name was on Mr. Harding's ticket, was, while in office, convicted of a felony and sent to the penitentiary.

The Senator has forgotten that within the last 15 years the Republican Governor of Illinois was required by the supreme court of his State to account for a million dollars of misappropriated funds.

The Senator has forgotten that the Republican Governor of Indiana, who was elected on Mr. Harding's ticket, was convicted of a felony and sent to the penitentiary, and that Charles R. Forbes, the Director of the Veterans' Bureau under both Mr. Harding and Mr. Coolidge, was sent to the penitentiary for frauds perpetrated against the Government and the veterans of the World War.

In the light of this record of unprecedented criminal conduct on the part of Republican Cabinet officers, Republican Governors, and other high Republican officials, and in view of the fact that no one has been able to show that Harry Hopkins has ever committed a single offense or condoned a single violation of the law, let us hope that the junior Senator from Kansas will change his mind and vote for the confirmation of the nominee.

The only other serious criticism of Mr. Hopkins, which to my knowledge has emanated from a responsible source, is that of the senior Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Bridges], who, when Democratic personages or policies are under consideration, is like the hero of the following story:

"At the beginning of the World War the owner of a store said in an advertisement, 'I hate Germany; I hate Austria; I hate Turkey. I am a hundred percent patriotic.'

"This merchant's competitor on the opposite side of the street, not to be outdone by his rival in the matter of patriotism, promptly issued an advertisement, in which he said, 'I hate not only Germany and Austria and Turkey; I hate everybody. I am 1,000 percent patriotic.'" [Laughter.]

So is my friend from New Hampshire—if it be patriotic to dislike Democrats in their official capacities.

The Senator from New Hampshire says:

Looking into Mr. Hopkins' background, according to the testimony before the committee, he fails to remember whether or not he was a Socialist, enrolled as a member of the Socialist Party.

A lapse of memory about an important matter like this is, of course, deplorable. But even if we admit that this grave charge is true, the friends of Mr. Hopkins will still find comfort in the fact that the nominee's memory is at least as good as that of the junior Senator from Kansas and much better than that of Herbert Hoover, who was once the Secretary of Commerce.

According to the record, in 1928 Mr. Hoover could not remember who had performed his marriage ceremony, how many political parties he had joined in a decade, or the name of the country of which he had been a resident for a period of 14 years. Indeed, his recollection and knowledge of his political affiliations were so utterly hopeless that in the State of Michigan in 1920 he became a candidate for the Presidential nomination as both a Republican and a Democrat at the same time.

In view of all which the Senator from New Hampshire, who would probably not admit that any prominent Republican could be guilty of any offense of omission or commission, or suffer from any infirmity touching his politics, should generously forgive Mr. Hopkins for having forgotten the exact nature of his registration on two occasions and join the majority in voting for the confirmation of the nominee.

Mr. President, the attacks that have been made upon Mr. Hopkins are about what every page of history—ancient, medieval, and modern—teaches us a good man of great accomplishment should expect.

Socrates, of whom Plato said: "He was the wisest, the justest, and the best of all the men whom I have ever known," was, for endeavoring to improve the morals and multiply the virtues of the materialistic Athenians, compelled to drink enough hemlock to put himself to sleep till the Judgment Day.

Columbus, as a reward for discovering the Western Hemisphere and giving to Spain a new world that was greater than the old, was bound with chains, cast into a dungeon, and permitted to die in want.

Abraham Lincoln, to whom the Nation will forever owe a debt of gratitude for his heroic service in abolishing slavery and preserving the Union, was when at the pinnacle of his usefulness and success murdered in cold blood.

Jesus of Nazareth brought redemption to all the world and salvation to all mankind. In return for His service and His sacrifice He was scourged by scoundrels; a crown of thorns was pressed down upon His brow; He was crucified between thieves and died in such horrible agony that the mere thought of His awful anguish will curdle the blood of the righteous till the end of time.

Therefore, in spite of the humiliation of being a target for unjustifiable abuse, Harry Hopkins should find comfort in the fact that his enemies in slandering him and persecuting him are placing him in company with the greatest immortals the world has ever known.

Mr. BRIDGES. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? Mr. NEELY. I yield.

Mr. BRIDGES. Does the Senator mean to imply to this body, in bringing up Christopher Columbus and Abraham

Lincoln and Christ, that he would put Harry Hopkins in the same category; in the same class?

Mr. NEELY. Mr. President, no one but the Senator from New Hampshire would ask that question. The Senator knows that no one who venerates everything that is holy would, in the matter of merit, be guilty of the unpardonable sacrilege of comparing any human being with the sinless Saviour. I referred to His crucifixion simply as an outstanding illustration of the world's ingratitude to a benefactor.

So far as Columbus and Lincoln are concerned, I do neither of them an injustice, nor do I pay the nominee an extravagant compliment when I assert that he has earned a place in the hall of fame with the great Italian discoverer and the great American emancipator.

Columbus gave his all to the world. Lincoln sublimely served the cause of freedom. Harry Hopkins has served the cause of humanity with a zeal comparable to that with which Paul of Tarsus preached the gospel to the gentiles, Father Damien nursed the lepers, and St. Francis of Assisi devoted his life to the service of the poor.

How appropriate it would be for the Senator from New Hampshire and a few other critics of the nominee to emulate a certain classical example and, in the presence of Harry Hopkins, remain as speechless and silent as Dante when he—

O'ershadowed by the wings of awe, Stood with Beatrice beneath the flaming lion's breast, And saw the seventh crystal And the stair of gold.

Senators, let us honor ourselves and our country today by confirming Harry Hopkins' nomination as Secretary of Commerce by an overwhelming majority. This is the kind of confirmation he deserves. Because as a result of his unparalleled achievements in behalf of the unemployed, if he should, at this hour, be unexpectedly and unfortunately summoned to that mysterious country from which no traveler returns, the last resting place of his ashes would become a pilgrim's shrine, and millions of the grateful poor, whom he has blessed, would raise to his memory a marble shaft as high as Washington's Monument and on it they would lovingly inscribe these words:

Nor wreek, nor change, nor winter's blight, Nor Time's remorseless doom, Shall dim one ray of glory's light That glids his sacred tomb.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I have listened with a great deal of interest for the past few moments to what has been said by my very good friend the Senator from West Virginia [Mr. Neely].

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Lewis in the chair). The Chair asks the indulgence of the Senator from North Carolina so that the Chair may make a request of those occupying the galleries.

The Chair will say to those who now occupy the Senate galleries that it is necessary that they preserve quiet, because when they indulge in conversation their voices come down into the Senate Chamber, and Members of the Senate find it impossible to hear their fellow Members who are addressing the body.

The Chair also respectfully requests that Senators maintain as much quiet as they consistently can.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I thank the Presiding Officer.

As I was about to say, I listened with a great deal of interest for the past few moments—that is to say, since I reentered the Senate Chamber—to all that was said by my personal friend and colleague the Senator from West Virginia, whom I admire, and I want to state unhesitatingly that I am in thorough accord with all that I heard him say here; and knowing him as I do know him, and as I have pleasantly known him during the years that I have been in the United States Senate, I am perfectly willing, in addition, to state unhesitatingly that I am in accord with all that he said prior to my entering this Chamber. [Laughter.] That shows how much confidence I have in Matthew Neely.

The Senator from West Virginia was talking about Harry Hopkins, who has been nominated by our beloved President to be a member of his Cabinet, as Secretary of Commerce. I have this to say, Mr. President, that I think the President of the United States has a perfect right to surround himself by advisers in whom he has confidence, to surround himself by men whom he sees fit to select.

Mr. BRIDGES. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. REYNOLDS. I shall be delighted to yield.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair must respectfully say to Senators that they should address the Chair before taking part in debate in the Senate.

Mr. BRIDGES. Mr. President-

Mr. REYNOLDS. If the Senator will pardon me a moment. Mr. President, it is my understanding that I shall have only 30 minutes at this time in which to address the Senate. Am I correct in that understanding?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Then I shall have to ask my good friend the Senator from New Hampshire to bear with me for just a moment, and I shall be glad to yield to him at the expiration of my address. [Laughter.]

Mr. President, I recognize that I shall be wasting the time of the Senate by addressing myself to the subject of the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination, for we all know that his nomination is going to be confirmed. We all know that there will be in the Senate only about 23 votes cast against him. So why should I presume to impose upon the time of the Senate or those honoring us with their presence here today, and who fill the galleries, by talking to the subject of the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination, when we know that his nomination is going to be confirmed?

Before proceeding to that which is closest to my heart, I wish to take this opportunity to state to the Members of this body that it will be my very great pleasure to cast a vote for

Mr. Hopkins.

Mr. President, I wish now to bring to the attention of this honorable body a subject that should be of more interest to it at this time than the question of the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins. The subject which I am about to approach is one that should appeal to every legislator in the Congress of the United States, because it has to do with the ten to twelve million people who today are out of employment in the United States of America.

Mr. President, only a few days ago it was my privilege and opportunity to address this honorable body in relation to the influx of refugees, in regard to the influx of aliens from all parts of the world who are coming here daily for the purpose of and who are usurping jobs, positions, that rightfully belong to the millions of people in America who are out of jobs, jobs that rightfully belong to the God-fearing men and women who today are and who tonight will be walking the streets of America in search of honest employment. I am happy to have made the delightful observation during the present session that there are more Members of this body now interested in that subject than there were to be found interested in it at the last session of the Seventyfifth Congress.

Mr. President, in my last address to this body, in reference to this important subject, I brought to the attention of the Members of the Senate a clipping from one of the New York newspapers describing examinations which were being taken by 500 lawyers, doctors, dentists, and nurses; refugees, aliens from across the seas, who wanted to practice their respective professions in the United States. The Members of this body who did me the honor to listen were appalled when they learned from that article that some of the doctors from Germany, Italy, Austria, Czechoslovakia, Rumania, and Hungary, who sought to practice their profession here, found that they could not pass the examination required by the board of control of the city of New York, and those Senators who listened to my address were appalled to learn that some of those aliens who have come here to practice their profession had the audacity, the gall, to say that they would not abide by any ruling of the board of control of the State of New York, that they would not abide by the requirements relative to examination, and if they were not permitted to practice by comity, they would take the question to the Supreme Court of the United States.

Mr. SCHWELLENBACH. Mr. President, will the Senator

Mr. REYNOLDS. I yield.

Mr. SCHWELLENBACH. I should like to make an inquiry of the Senator who has spoken many times upon the question of immigration and aliens. How can the Senator blame people who live in other countries for their desire to come to the United States when we have in the United States two cities of the beauty and with the climate of the little gem city of the mountains, Asheville, N. C., and the city of Seattle out in my State of Washington? I should like to have the Senator from North Carolina explain to me how it is that he always finds it so difficult to appreciate why people want to come to this country, because he knows as well as does everyone else, that these two places in this country, Asheville, N. C., and Seattle, Wash., act as magnets which attract to the United States the people of other countries.

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I take this opportunity, this exceptional opportunity, to thank my distinguished friend from the great State of Washington for his contribution at this time. I appreciate what my friend has said about the little gem city of the mountains, Asheville, N. C., my home city, the most beautiful city on the face of the earth, and one of the most beautiful spots in North Carolina. The Senator is a representative of that State which has provided for the inspiration of the world the city of Seattle, the gateway to the great Northwest, the gem city of the western frontier. In order that he may be provided with information as to the amount of respect that the people of North Carolina have for his Commonwealth, in order that he may know that the people of his city of Seattle have provided inspiration for the people of North Carolina, I shall now take time to read an editorial from one of the daily newspapers of North Carolina, published at Wilmington, N. C., by my distinguished friend, the Honorable R. B. Page, in which he calls the attention of the people of North Carolina to the city of Seattle.

> [From the Wilmington Star of January 13, 1939] SEATTLE AS A PORT

An excerpt from a report by the War Department, dealing with the port of Seattle is of interest here in that it shows what Seattle has done in its climb to supremacy in the Pacific trade: "Facilities for handling traffic include 166 piers and wharves, 16 of which are operated as oil bunkering and 2 as coal bunkering

facilities. Excellent dry and cold-storage warehouses are available and space is provided for the storage of bulk freight in the open. The port has drydock facilities which can accommodate vessels up to 927 feet in length and marine railways with lifting capacities up to 700 tons. Twenty-nine plants have facilities for repairing either engines or hulls of vessels. There are six grain elevators at the port, four of which are operated as public elevators. Towboats, lighters, derrick barges, tank barges, and car floats provide sufficient floating equipment for transporting freight within the port area, and equipment is available for use in wrecking and salvage work. ment is available for use in wrecking and salvage work.

ment is available for use in wrecking and salvage work.

"The port of Seattle district, which is coextensive with King County, is a separate municipal corporation which was established in 1911 and is under the jurisdiction of the Port of Seattle Commission. This commission has been active in promoting the interests of port, and many of the facilities for handling traffic are owned and operated by it, including eight public terminals in the outer harbor and one in the inner harbor, the public grain elevator at the Hanford Street terminal, and dry and cold-storage facilities at Spokane Street terminal, Bell Street terminal, and at pier No. 40, Smith Cove. The facilities owned and operated by the port commission are among the most modern and best-equipped terminals at the port and handle a great deal of traffic.

port and handle a great deal of traffic.

"During the period of 1927-36 the water-borne commerce of Seattle averaged 7,860,679 short tons annually. Imports, with an average movement of 370,855 tons, consisted chiefly of logs coal and coke, paper and manufactures, and cement and gypsum, while exports averaged 527,911 tons and consisted principally of grain and flour, lumber and lumber products, canned and dried fruits and vegetables, and logs and poles. Coastwise receipts moved in an average volume of 3,865,202 tons, the principal commodities in this trade being petroleum and petroleum products, logs and poles, sand and gravel, and fish and marine products. Coastwise shipments average 1,425,776 tons and were mainly shipments of lumber, logs and piles, grain, flour and feed, petroleum and its products, fish and marine products, and fruit and vegetables. Intraport and local traffic averaged 1,670,935 tons per year. During the calendar year 1937 the total water-borne commerce at Seattle amounted to

7,642,900 short tons.

It will be noted from this excerpt that here is a municipal corporation, largely instrumental in transforming Seattle from a fronporation, largely instrumental in transforming occurrent tier village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest tier village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village into a modern metropolis and one of the world's greatest village in the world's greatest village in the world will be a modern metropolis and one of th seaports. Wilmington was a port of entry when Seattle was a wilderness, but on the west coast the folks have a way of getting together and accomplishing things that might appeal to Wil-

Mr. President, I attribute much of the development of the great city of Seattle to my colleagues in the United States Senate; to the fact that once upon a time, not so many years ago, the able Senator who at this moment presides over the Senate resided in the State of Washington, and the people of the great Commonwealth of Washington exercised the splendid judgment of sending him to the Capital of their country as their Representative in the Halls of Congress. At the same time I shall not let pass the opportunity to congratulate the people of the State of Illinois for sending him from the great Commonwealth to the Senate of the United States. I refer, my colleagues, to your friend, to my friend, and the friend of the American people, the Honorable James Hamilton Lewis, beloved by all who live within the confines of the greatest nation upon the face of the earth.

I attribute the phenomenal growth of Seattle likewise to the continued fine representation which the State of Washington has sent to the Halls of the American Congress. I speak particularly of my colleagues, Lewis B. Schwellen-BACH and HOMER T. BONE.

I believe I have evidenced my appreciation of the action of the Senator from Washington in calling attention to my beloved home, the little gem city of the mountains, one of the most beautiful cities of North Carolina, a city located within the confines of the greatest political subdivision in all the world, the State of North Carolina.

Mr. President, I wish to speak further today in regard to the all-important subject of restricting immigration and mandatory deportation of alien criminals and alien undesirables.

As will be recalled, a moment ago I spoke about the 500 professional men and women, doctors, lawyers, dentists, and nurses, who have come in from the four corners of the earth, aliens usurping the business of the millions of boys and girls, men and women, who today are walking the streets in search of honest employment. However, I have something else to bring to the attention of the Senate today, something in which Senators will be interested, something which I clipped from a newspaper several days ago. It pertains to the smuggling business in America.

I was dumbfounded when, on January 19, I observed the following in the columns of the Washington Herald, with a New York headline:

ARREST BARES SMUGGLING OF ALIEN HOSTS INTO UNITED STATES

ARREST BARES SMUGGLING OF ALIEN HOSTS INTO UNITED STATES

New York, January 18 (C. T. P. S.).—An international alien smuggling ring, geared to bring more than 10,000 aliens a year into the United States via the Canadian border and widely patronized by Jewish refugees, was disclosed today through the arraignment of its Canadian director in Brooklyn Federal court.

Arrested at Rouses Point, N. Y., Friday night and held incommunicado since then at Ellis Island, Spyros Cyrcos, 38, of Montreal, boasted to Assistant United States Attorney James G. Scileppi that he had conducted at least 25,000 aliens across the border within the last 2 years.

border within the last 2 years.

If that statement be true—and I have no doubt that it is—it reveals a shameful state of affairs in the United States of America. It is shameful that we should permit thousands upon thousands of aliens annually to enter the United States illegally, and to remain here in violation of our law, particularly in view of the fact that we have millions upon millions of boys and girls, men and women, in this country, for whom we have been endeavoring to find employment for the past 5 years. As yet, we have been unsuccessful in having industry absorb those millions upon millions of boys and girls, men and women.

I am happy indeed to learn that our legislators in Washington are now more deeply interested than ever before in

this momentous question. In reference to retaining the barriers in the form of regulations, in order that those who desire to come to America may not become public charges, I wish to bring to the attention of the Senate the fact that a number of years ago a ruling was made to the effect that no alien should be provided a visa and be permitted to come to this country unless our consular agents were convinced that he would not become a public charge, unless the consular agents were convinced that if the alien were permitted to come to American shores he would not at a later date of necessity be supported by the American taxpayers.

In that connection I wish to say that those regulations were carried out to the satisfaction of those who sought admission to our gates until 2 years ago when the refugees of the world attempted to crash our gates. I mentioned some instances a moment ago when refugees who are members of professions from across the seas said they would not abide by the rules and regulations of the New York licensing board, but that they would take the cases up to the Supreme Court of the United States.

I now bring to the attention of the Senate the fact that we are at this hour faced with the situation of aliens in various countries of the world exerting pressure on our State Department to instruct American consuls that they should be more liberal in reviewing the applications of the thousands upon thousands of aliens who would bring about the admission of themselves into this country for the purpose of competing with American labor.

Mr. President, when last fall, in November and December, I was in central, eastern, and continental Europe I made it a point to visit our Embassies, Legations, and consulates; I made it a point to talk to the American representatives; I made it a point to go into those quarters of our consulates and Embassies where aliens who were making applications for visas and admission to the United States necessarily had to go. I was permitted an opportunity of making observation of the character of the people who were demanding entrance to the United States. I learned on that trip last fall that the State Department of the United States had attempted to put a different interpretation than that which had formerly been put upon the law. As a result thereof, in order to deal with the situation as I should like to do in behalf of the from ten to twelve million God-fearing, unfortunate men and women in this country who are out of employment, I directed a communication to the State Department and requested them to provide me with the information which I sought in reference to certain instructions which had been issued to our consular agents abroad. As the result, at this hour I call the attention of the Senate to what I am about to read, because I wanted from them an

DEPARTMENT OF STATE Washington, January 19, 1939.

My Dear Senator Reynolds: I have received your letter of Jan-

uary 16, 1939, requesting a copy of the instruction dated January 5, 1937, sent to all diplomatic and consular officers discussing the public charge excluding provision of section 3 of the Immigration Act of February 5, 1917.

While the Department does not distribute its instructions to the

general public, I am glad to comply with your request and take pleasure in sending to you herewith a copy of the instruction under reference.

Sincerely yours,

G. S. MESSERSMITH, Assistant Secretary.

Mr. President, please listen to this "visa instruction," dated January 5, 1937. That is when the rush began on the part of the aliens of the world to enter the United States of America.

VISA INSTRUCTION

Consular administration of the public charge and other provisions of the immigration laws.

It is addressed:

To American diplomatic and consular officers:

Sirs: There have been an increasing number of complaints re-ceived by the Department and some recent public criticism that the visa work of the consuls is not being carried on in a fair and impartial manner.

Who is making the complaints? The complaints are being made by the hundreds of thousands of aliens who are practically demanding admission to the United States of America. Ten years prior to the date of the instructions we never had any complaint from aliens about the way we administered our laws and in carrying out the immigration regulations of the United States of America, but these complaints come only when the rush begins to enter the United States so that more Americans may be thrown out of work.

Mr. BONE. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for a

question?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Does the Senator from North Carolina yield to the Senator from Washington?

Mr. REYNOLDS. I am glad to yield to my friend from Washington.

Mr. BONE. Has the Senator from North Carolina any figures available indicating the number of aliens who have entered the United States illegally in recent years?

Mr. REYNOLDS. Mr. President, I can only answer that by providing the Senator with an estimate of my personal opinion as to the number of aliens who are in the United States today illegally and who have remained here illegally over a term of years. In providing that answer I wish to say that, in my opinion, there are today in the United States of America between 5,000,000 and 7,000,000 aliens who entered here illegally or who have remained within the confines of the United States illegally. No Member of this body, no man in the United States, can properly prove that I am wrong, because I cannot prove that I am right.
[Laughter.] To explain that remark, so that I may not be misunderstood, I say now I cannot prove that those who disagree with my assertion that there are from 5,000,000 to 7,000,000 aliens in the United States today illegally and who maintain that there are only two, three, or four million aliens illegally in the United States are wrong, and they cannot prove that I am wrong. Why? Because, I am ashamed to state, ours is the only nation in the world not possessed or provided with an alien registration act. Until the Congress shall pass a bill which I have introduced calling for the registration and fingerprinting of aliens, we will never know how many aliens there are in America.

Mr. CONNALLY. Mr. President— Mr. REYNOLDS. I yield now to my distinguished colleague from the Lone Star State of Texas.

Mr. CONNALLY. Mr. President, I am very much interested in the discussion of the Senator from North Carolina. Let me ask him if there are so many aliens here—and I do not dispute it-why do not the authorities deport them? If they are here illegally, why do not the Government agencies which are charged with the duty of sending them back to wherever they came from take action?

Mr. REYNOLDS. That is what I have been trying to find out for the past 5 years. Mr. President, upon the floor of the Senate I have fought for days and days and hours and hours when an effort was made to pass a bill which, in my opinion, would let down the floodgates of immigration. The Senator from Illinois [Mr. Lewis], who at the moment presides so ably and in such a distinguished manner over the Senate, will recall that at the last session we discussed upon the floor of the Senate more than 2.300 cases known as hardship cases. and I could not understand, for the life of me, why the aliens in those cases were not deported and why even now they are being held here from day to day and week to week and month to month and year to year.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair regrets that he has to tell the able Senator from North Carolina that his time has about expired, as he has only a half minute

Mr. REYNOLDS. Then, Mr. President, I should like to ask unanimous consent to be permitted to finish the reading of the document I had started to read, if there is no objec-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. A unanimous-consent request can be made by the Senator and the Senate can act upon it. The Senator from North Carolina tenders a request LXXXIV-

that he have unanimous consent to conclude the reading of the document to which he refers. Is there objection? Chair hears none.

Mr. REYNOLDS. I thank the Chair and the Senate for their courtesy.

Now, Mr. President, I am going to read without comment, for I know how vitally interested my colleagues are in this subject; I know that they all, on this side as well as on the other side, are interested in providing work for Americans and in keeping out of this country those who would usurp American jobs. So, in view of the courtesy extended to me and the fine consideration I find here always, I shall read without comment.

Sies: There have been an increasing number of complaints received by the Department and some recent public criticism that the visa work of the consuls is not being carried on in a fair and impartial manner, particularly that the public-charge provisions are not being properly administered and that the consuls are acting under the mistaken idea that they are responsible for keeping the issuance of visas to a minimum.

The immigration policy as expressed by Congress in the Immigration Act of 1924 permits the issuance of immigration visas, within the limits of the quotas, to aliens reasonably able to meet the

the limits of the quotas, to aliens reasonably able to meet the requirements of the law.

The officers' responsibility is to reach a correct decision in each case, based upon a fair evaluation of the evidence, viewed with practical common sense, in order that the decisions reached shall not be subject to just criticism. As administrative officers, the consuls are charged with the duty of developing the essential facts, favorable as well as unfavorable to the alien, and of applying to the facts the correct standard of the law. To do their work properly, they should maintain at all times an impartial, detached. perly, they should maintain at all times an impartial, detached,

judicial attitude.

The applicant should be courteously received and given helpful The applicant should be courteously received and given helpful advice and proper assistance in presenting the facts in his case. Care should be taken to avoid cause for the complaint which has been made in specific cases that persons applying for visas have been discourteously received by members of the consular staff; that they have not been permitted to present their documents or discuss their cases; that after complying with certain requirements they have been met with demands for additional documents of which they could have been advised in the first instance; and that they have been put to needless inconvenience and expense in obtaining unnecessary documentary evidence. Consular letters have failed to refer to and discuss important evidence, giving the impression that such evidence has been ignored in reaching the decision, and that the decision has not been taken upon the basis of all the evidence presented. all the evidence presented.

all the evidence presented.

It is important for a consular officer to understand that the public-charge provisions of the law exclude persons "likely" to become a public charge; that is, persons in whose cases facts exist making it "probable" that they will become public charges. The law does not exclude persons who may "possibly" become public charges. Section 23 of the act of 1924, in placing the burden of proof upon an alien to show that he is not subject to exclusion, only requires him to bring forward evidence from which it may reasonably be concluded that he is not "likely" to become a public charge. He is not required to show a guaranty of support to obviate a "possibility" that he may become a public charge. An understanding of this distinction is of the greatest importance to the correct administration of the public-charge clause. Unless each case is decided upon the basis of the "probabilities," improper administration results, and applicants reasonably able to qualify under the law are unjustly refused visas to which they are entitled. Such action affords ground for just criticism of the officers and the Department. and the Department.

Visa refusals of persons whose assurance of support is furnished by distant relatives in the United States have caused considerable adverse comment because consular officers have considered that the adverse comment because consular officers have considered that the expression of willingness by a person to support a less near relative is insufficient to establish that he is not likely to become a public charge unless a case is made out by a showing of past material contribution to his support or the existence of very unusual circumstances. Letters have contained statements to the effect that visas have been refused because the relationship between the persons is not sufficiently close to imply the existence of a legal or moral obligation on the part of the affiant toward the applicant. The mere fact that a person promising support is not closely related to the applicant, or that he is not under a legal or moral obligation toward him is not sufficient to warrant the rejection of the evidence of support as inadequate. The relative, in furnishing the evidence of support as inadequate. The relative, in furnishing the evidence, has in effect said that in spite of the absence of any direct obligation on his part to support his less near relative, he nevertheless desires voluntarily to undertake such an obligation, and if he offers reasonable explanation of his desire to do so and is

financially able, the evidence is to be accepted.

The conditions in certain parts of the world have led relatives in the United States to feel keenly the difficult lot of their kin abroad and have inspired them with anxiety for the well-being of their relatives and with a desire to provide for them. A statement by a financially responsible person in the United States that he is impelled by this motive to assist a relative, even though he may not previously have seen him or have had occasion previously to contribute to his support, in the absence of adequate reason for doubting its sincerity, may well be sufficient to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that it is not "likely" that the alien will become a public charge. To reject such evidence as insufficient upon a hypothesis as, for instance, that disagreement might arise between the alien and his relative, or that the relative while inclined to assist the applicant for an initial period may not continue the support, would be to base the decision upon the "possibilities" in the case rather than upon the "probabilities" and would constitute a failure to give the evidence due weight.

The consul should look behind the formal evidence to seek the essential facts. He may, to the extent deemed necessary, properly ask to have the facts established by appropriate evidence, a statement of plans made for the alien, and the reasons for assuming the alien's support.

alien's support.

You are requested to bring this instruction to the immediate attention of all the officers at your office engaged in visa work and to direct them to follow the principles set forth herein to insure that the immigration laws shall be fairly and reasonably administered at your office in a manner to avoid just cause for complaint. At the same time the Department desires to impress upon the principal officers that they are primarily responsible for the proper performance of the visa work at their respective offices and that they should recognize its importance and closely supervise it. Very truly yours,

For the Acting Secretary of State.

Mr. MEAD. Mr. President, I regret that I find it necessary to consume any of the time of the Senate. I know that it is presumptuous on my part, as a new Member, to participate in this debate; but some of the charges which have been made have been so broad and general in character and scope as to apply either directly or indirectly to the great State which I have the honor in part to represent in this body.

Mr. President, I desire to say to you that the W. P. A., so far as its being a political issue is concerned, never entered our senatorial campaign. I wish to say for my State that only 11 complaints were lodged with the Sheppard committee pertaining to the activities of W. P. A.; but, upon investigation, every one of the charges was dismissed. In one congressional district, however, in the State of New York, the issue was raised, and all of the charges that have been aired in this debate, common to the general political charges made against W. P. A. all over the Nation, were brought to the attention of the public, and finally to the attention of a Federal grand jury. These charges were presented to the official instrumentality of government created at the very beginning of the Republic to delve into matters of this character and to aid in the preservation of law and order. Charges against the N. Y. A., the Adult Education Agency, the sewing project, all were investigated and dismissed by the Federal grand jury.

Some Members of the Senate may desire to know something about the character of the grand jury and the character of the proceedings. Let me say to you, Mr. President, that the charges were made by an opposition candidate for Member of Congress. The grand jury was organized, and selected as its chairman an outstanding Republican leader in our section of the State. Every member of the grand jury save one, I am informed, was identified with the Republican Party. presiding judge himself over a long period of years antedating my entry into politics, over a quarter of a centry ago, was an outstanding leader of the Republican Party.

Every opportunity was given to every witness, and a thorough and searching examination was made by the jury of all the communications, instructions, orders and letters germane to the subject of the investigation. The grand jury made this presentment, which I shall read to you only in part.

Quoting the grand jury's presentment, I read:

We wish to report that the investigation conducted by us has been full, complete, and exhaustive.

Now, the only Democrat who participated in the investigation was the United States district attorney; and the grand jury make this comment with regard to his conduct:

The United States district attorney, at the very beginning of the proceeding, offered to subpena and produce any witnesses that we thought might be material, and thereafter, by subpena, did produce every such witness and many others.

And the grand jury goes on in its presentment as follows:

It has been charged that the W. P. A. workers were forced to contribute to the Democratic Party; that W. P. A. superintendents had distributed pledge cards among the workers; that certain W. P. A. employees were "singled out for special attention after they had refused to contribute"; that certain employees "who, after pledging only \$1 or \$2, were directed to report at Democratic headquarters." \* \* \* headquarters.

Witnesses were produced by J. Francis Harter, who testified in regard to alleged irregularities involving the adult-education pro-

gram, a W. P. A.-sponsored project.

After a thorough investigation \* \* \* of the charges affecting the adult education program, we have determined-

Said the grand jury-

that they are unworthy of attention.

Certain other witnesses testified in regard to irregularities in connection with the sewing project, so-called.

After investigation by the grand jury, it was determined that the charges affecting the sewing project were not substantiated.

Then the grand jury goes on as follows:

It has been testified to, in the course of our deliberations, that on the 5th day of May 1938, Harry L. Hopkins, Administrator of the Works Progress Administration, addressed a communication to all project workers, foremen, supervisors, and the administrative staff of the W. P. A. Among other things, he wrote:

And I quote from the letter:

Moreover, no W. P. A. worker is required-

Said Hopkins-

to contribute to any political party or any campaign fund, in order to hold his job

And Harry Hopkins said further in that communication, which, I understand, was directed to every W. P. A. administrator in this country:

No supervisor or administrative person may attempt to influence the vote of any worker or solicit contributions to campaign funds from the people who work under him. Anyone who uses his position with the W. P. A. in any way to influence the votes of others by threat or intimidation will be dismissed. No one will lose his W. P. A. job because of his vote \* \* \*

And everybody knows that Harry Hopkins said that-

or his failure to contribute to any campaign fund. This has always been an absolute rule of the W. P. A.

That is the end of the quotation from the letter addressed to W. P. A. directors by Harry L. Hopkins, and in part contained in this presentment of the Federal grand jury.

The grand jury then goes on to state:

The letter was placed in the pay envelope of all the men and workers on W. P. A. It was a letter even stupid people could understand. It was a letter superintendents, skilled workers, and laborers could understand. It was a letter written to protect the skilled workers and laborers.

Those letters, I understand, were sent out broadcast throughout the United States, wherever a W. P. A. project was in course of construction.

Then this grand jury, Senators, made up, with but one exception, of affiliates of the Republican Party, investigating thoroughly, completely, and exhaustively the activities of Harry L. Hopkins and the political charges made by a Republican candidate, summed up their presentment with this

We have commended Harry L. Hopkins, Lester W. Herzog-

The State directorand Guy W. Rice-

The regional director. And they go on to say:

Obviously, as Mr. Hopkins has said, "Only by continuing to do a good job for the public can we expect continued public approval." Part of the good job is a nonpartisan administration of the W. P. A. We recommend an increased devotion to such nonpartisan admin-

Mr. President, I shall vote to confirm the nomination of Harry Hopkins because, by and large, in a new field, pioneering a new endeavor, he did a fine job. I am not impressed with the charges which have been presented here this afternoon, and I wish to sum them up by saying they were political.

I shall vote for the confirmation of Harry Hopkins' nomination because this body had an opportunity by its own action to write its own rule, and it failed at the time to do so, and contents itself at this time with finding fault with a man who adhered to every rule we laid down for his conduct, and even went further than that, and, in my judgment, enforced a nonpartisan rule to which few can take exception.

I think Harry Hopkins did a fine job; and the vote he will receive this afternoon will, in my judgment, evidence the high regard in which he is held by this body and by the country.

Mr. DAVIS. Mr. President, during the course of my remarks last Thursday, and in response to questions of the distinguished Senator from Kentucky [Mr. BARKLEY]. I stated that I differed with the findings of the Senate Committee on Campaign Expenses on one point. I have been making a consistent study of this problem for 4 years. I have great respect for the committee, and I am satisfied that when it continues this investigation, it will find the facts as they have presented themselves to me.

I believe that Mr. Hopkins has had full opportunity to acquaint himself with the administrative problems of work relief in Pennsylvania, and that he knows full well what they are. I do not believe he could justifiably claim to be ignorant of them. I say now, as I said last Thursday, that I should not care to indict his intelligence in that way.

I have had considerable correspondence with Mr. Hopkins, which shows that for a long period of time I have been calling his attention to voter coercion and the mismanagement of public funds in Pennsylvania. I have consistently sought cooperation from him in trying to remedy these conditions. Just as consistently, he refused to give me the help

I had a right to expect.

For the information of the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. BARKLEY] and others who may be interested, I ask to have printed in the RECORD the following correspondence: My letter to Mr. Hopkins of March 19, 1936; my statement to the Senate Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate on April 2, 1936; my letter to Mr. Hopkins of September 30, 1936; my letter to Senator Augustine Lonergan of September 30, 1936; my letter to Mr. Hopkins of December 29, 1936; letter of Mr. Hopkins to me of January 18, 1937; my letter to Mr. Hopkins of January 19, 1937; my letter to Mr. Hopkins of May 26, 1937; my letter to Mr. Hopkins of July 2, 1937; and letter of Mr. Hopkins to me of July 14, 1937.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? There being no objection, the letters were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

MARCH 19, 1936.

Mr. Harry Hopkins,
Administrator, the Works Progress Administration,

Washington, D. C. Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Hopkins: I have read your statement carried by the Associated Press in which you say: "No employee of the Works Progress Administration, either administrative or engaged on a project, is required to make any contribution to any political party. No Works Progress Administration employee's job will be in jeopardy because of the failure of said employee to make such contribution. No employee of the Works Progress Administration shall at any time solicit contributions for any political party, and evidence of such solicitation will be cause for immediate discharge. The guestion of whether or not to contribute to any political party.

evidence of such solicitation will be cause for immediate discharge. The question of whether or not to contribute to any political party is a matter entirely for the voluntary decision of said employee. No person shall be employed or discharged by the Works Progress Administration on the ground of his support or nonsupport of any candidate of any political organization."

I believe this to be a step in the right direction. However, it is only one of a number of decisive actions which, in my judgment, should be taken if relief is to be kept out of politics in the future. Unfortunately, conditions have been allowed to develop to such an extent and the difficulty of control of the national organization of the W. P. A. from Washington is so great that no mere statement of policy such as you have made, sincere and thoroughgoing as it doubtless is, can solve this problem.

My approach to this question has been governed very largely by

My approach to this question has been governed very largely by the definite statements made by those in authority that they desired relief kept out of politics. On April 28, 1935, President Roosevelt said: "The most effective means of preventing such evils in this work-relief program will be the eternal vigilance of the American people themselves. I call upon my fellow citizens everywhere to cooperate with me in making this most efficient and the cleanest example of public enterprise the world has ever seen.

It is time to provide a smashing answer for those cynics who say that a democracy cannot be honest and efficient. If you will help, this can be done. I therefore hope you will watch the work in every corner of this Nation. Feel free to criticize. Tell me of instances where work can be done better or where improper practices prevail. Neither you nor I want criticism in a purely fault-finding or partisan spirit, but I am jealous of the right of every citizen to call to the attention of his or her Government examples of how the public money can be more effectively spent for the of how the public money can be more effectively spent for the benefit of the American people." This statement, coupled with your own as quoted above, indicates a desire for honest, efficient administration which is to be admired.

However, statements of this sort are not sufficient to insure the deciral transfer.

However, statements of this sort are not sufficient to insure the desired results. Evidence of waste, partisan use of W. P. A. funds, and needless red tape is found on every hand. Without solicitation of any kind, letters have come to me from all over the country revealing a state of affairs which in many instances is positively vicious. I can cite example after example of wicked practice, such as is found in the case of a Republican W. P. A. supervisor with a wife and seven children dependent upon him, replaced by a Demonstruct with but two persons dependent upon him, for no reasons. crat with but two persons dependent upon him, for no reason other than partisan issues. These are not isolated cases. Situations of this kind prevail all over the country. You have doubtless read the account given by Mr. Johnson, formerly State supervisor of the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania, in the last issue of the Saturday Evening Post. Certainly conditions as reported by Mr. Johnson in the State of Pennsylvania cannot be corrected simply by dictating an office memo.

I have asked for a full and complete investigation of the Works Progress Administration for the following reasons:

1. Taxpayers are justified in asking a businesslike statement in detail of the various uses for which the billions of dollars already

appropriated are being spent.

2. No such detailed statement showing the names, number, or salaries of employees has been made available to the newspapers or public generally, although this is public business and should be

treated as such.

3. Evidence already in hand points indisputably to the fact that relief money, in many instances, is being spent for partisan and political appointments contrary to the purpose for which work-relief appropriations were made. Without an investigation no person can estimate the extent of this evil. Those who administer

son can estimate the extent of this evil. Those who administer these funds have repeatedly declared that they desire to keep relief out of politics. They should welcome this investigation, for it will help them to accomplish the efficient administration of their task. Taxpayers have the right to know how their money is being spent, and the only way this can be determined is through an investigation.

4. Attempts on the part of the work-relief administrative authorities to investigate their own organization problems have not solved them and could not solve them. The extension of work-relief administrative authority is so vast, so much money and so many people are involved, that taxpayers are warranted in asking for a senatorial investigation, as in the case of all other matters pertaining to the public welfare. Charges of abuses will multiply until an impartial investigation is made. This would be particularly unfortunate during a national-election year.

pertaining to the public wenter. Charges of abuses will multiply until an impartial investigation is made. This would be particularly unfortunate during a national-election year.

5. There is no necessity to give undue publicity to the ordinary routine of work-relief administration. The names and salaries of workers in the lower brackets need not be made public. However, all information whatsoever pertaining to the administration of work-relief funds should be made available to a Senate investigating committee. Publicity should be given only through the ordinary channels in regular committee hearings.

6. The work-relief budget for the coming year, asking for an additional \$1,500,000,000, has just been placed before Congress. Taxpayers are justified in knowing how previous appropriations have been spent before authority is delegated to make new expenditures without congressional counsel or appropriate legislation. I favor work-relief appropriations. I have voted for them consistently. I favor additional appropriations. However, I believe the people of this country are justified in asking how much money has been spent in the past, how it has been spent, and how it may be spent in the future to the greatest advantage of the Nation. Information, acceptable to all concerned, can be obtained only through an impartial investigation under present circumstances. circumstance

The Senate Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Depart-

The Senate Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments, to which my resolution was referred and of which I am a member, reported favorably on the resolution today. This committee, if authorized to make such an investigation, would be expected to report its findings to the Senate as soon as possible, together with its recommendations, if any, for necessary legislation. In view of your statement to the press, which points in the right direction, would it not be helpful to set up nonpartisan citizens' committees in every State, county, city, and governmental unit where W. P. A. workers are employed who would have power to pass on work-relief administrative appointments in order to assure that they are nonpartisan in character? Such committees could also serve as a clearing house for troubles and complaints assure that they are nonpartisan in character? Such committees could also serve as a clearing house for troubles and complaints which cannot secure satisfactory settlement through the ordinary channels of W. P. A. administrative authority. I believe that a plan such as this, coupled with other suggestions which I have in mind, would do much to make practical your expressed desire to keep relief out of politics.

Sincerely,

STATEMENT OF SENATOR JAMES J. DAVIS TO THE SENATE COMMITTEE TO AUDIT AND CONTROL THE CONTINGENT EXPENSES OF THE SENATE, APRIL 2, 1936

When I first asked for an investigation of the Works Progress Administration I had but one thought in mind. I desired to get the names and salaries of W. P. A. workers in the upper brackets, whose income was \$100 a month or above. These would be primarily those who were doing administrative work. In order to get this information which I had asked for and which had not been obtained, I suggested an appropriation of \$5,000.

I realized, of course, that other Senate investigations were costing all the way from \$50,000 to \$100,000. But when I asked for \$5,000 I had only in mind the expense which might attach to securing the list of names for which I had asked.

Since this first suggestion was made so many new developments have come to my attention that I now see that this investigation will cost far more than that. In the first place, the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments has asked that an investigation of the Federal Emergency Relief Administration be

vestigation of the Federal Emergency Relief Administration be added to the investigation of the Works Progress Administration. This was not my request, but I agreed to it.

This was not my request, but I agreed to it.

When word went out over the country that there was prospect of an investigation letters came to me from all over the country telling of conditions in the W. P. A. which, if thoroughly examined, would mean an investigation of the Works Progress Administration in every State in the Union. Such an investigation, if once begun, would have to be completed. It would cost all the way from \$100,000 to \$250,000. At least \$50,000 would be necessary with which to begin. I wish to say emphatically that I desire to have no part in an investigation which is abortive. If a thoroughgoing investigation is to be made, I want to be sure that it is actually what it purports to be. The purpose of such an investigation should not be either to smear anyone or to whitewash anyone, but actually to discover conditions as they are so that information may be made available for the proper regulation of work-relief activities in the future.

We were plunged into work-relief activities in a hurry. The

work-relief activities in the future.

We were plunged into work-relief activities in a hurry. The administrative set-up was formed to meet an emergency. We now see that what was said to be an emergency represents a condition which promises to be with us for some time. Work relief is now with us on more or less permanent basis. In view of these facts, we cannot afford to treat abuses as though they will disappear of their own accord in the near future. We do not know how long work relief will be necessary. We do know that at the present time more money is being spent in this way than in any other governmental agency. With the expenditure of so much money there comes an inevitable responsibility to safeguard the administration comes an inevitable responsibility to safeguard the administration of these funds no matter in whose hands they are entrusted.

If work relief lasts for years to come we cannot begin too soon

If work relief lasts for years to come we cannot begin too soon to examine ways and means to discover how the taxpayers who foot this bill can get the most for their money. Obviously, we have come to the time when work relief can no longer be continued on an emergency or experimental basis.

The Audit Committee will have to determine whether a thoroughgoing investigation is desired or something less searching. In the beginning I asked for \$5,000 in order that the names and salaries of administrative officers in the upper brackets, above \$100 a month, might be secured and an investigation begun in the State of Pennsylvania as was suggested by Senator Lewis, chairman of the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments.

I am prepared to proceed with a thoroughgoing investigation if it is authorized by the Senate, but again I wish to make clear my belief that such an investigation will cost upward of \$100,000 and I do not wish to begin an investigation and then later on be compelled to abandon it for lack of necessary funds. If a full and complete investigation of the W. P. A. and F. E. R. A. is to be made, I wish to be sure that it is conducted on a scale commensurate with its importance to American taxpayers. If only \$5,000 is to be appropriated, I should like to have distinctly understood that this amount will be used only for the limited purpose for which it was asked.

Mr. HARRY HOPKINS, Administrator, Works Progress Administration

My Dear Mr. Hopkins: Pennsylvania is afiame today with rumors and gossip regarding the waste and partisanship of relief administration. Regardless of the outcome of the election, such talk is exceedingly harmful to the thousands of defenseless men, women, and children who are dependent on Government aid. They have and children who are dependent on Government aid. They have been needlessly humiliated. They have been brought to the attention of the public in the worst possible way because of the secrecy in which the administration of funds for their aid has been maintained leading to charges of politics in relief. It is now currently charged that no man on a relief or work-relief roll dare vote against the present administration. It is charged that the W. P. A. has developed into the most gigantic political machine this country has ever known. It is charged that only 63 cents out of every work-relief dollar gets to the man on the work-relief job. The public does not know the truth of these matters, because by your refusal to cooperate with those who asked repeatedly for an impartial investigation of the W. P. A. you acted as though you were the work-relief czar and in effect said, "The public be damned."

Today I am writing Senator Augustine Lonergan, chairman of the Senate Committee on Campaign Expenses, urging that the com-mittee obtain from you a full list of the names, addresses, and salaries of all administrative officers, political appointees, and foremen on the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania.

on the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania.

I addressed a series of requests to you asking for information as to the administrative personnel of the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania. I made clear that I did not wish the names of those subsisting on relief in the lower brackets. To date I have not received the information requested, although a fractional part of one list came to my office. I was informed that the clerical labor involved in the compilation of the information for which I select occasioned the delay. pilation of the information for which I asked occasioned the delay.

Would it be possible for you to imagine yourself on a work-

relief roll?

Put yourself just for a moment in the place of a helpless victim of this depression. Once perhaps you were making \$5,000 a year and all seemed well and secure with you. Then came financial losses, unemployment, and dire distress for yourself and your family.

The only way to get bread under such circumstances for yourself

and dependents would be the prospect of a work-relief job, which would pay you as a white-collar worker \$93.50 a month. But under the ruling so long in effect under which millions of American citzens came upon home-relief rolls, you could apply for that job only after you had declared yourself destitute—in effect, a pauper—for this was for years the ruling of the Works Progress Administration. Administration.

pauper—for this was for years the ruling of the Works Progress Administration.

First, you would go on home relief rolls, and finally, in many instances, synthetic work would be arranged for you on a work relief roll. For months you would read in the newspapers that every person on relief is expected to vote for the New Deal. You would gradually be led to believe this to be the unwritten law of the administration. You would come to know that when you signed up on relief you had signed away your electoral rights in the eyes of the public, no matter how earnestly you might assure yourself silently to the contrary. In the eyes of your fellows you would be one of the many millions who had sold his vote to the New Deal for starvation wages.

Then you would see the red-white-and-blue signs stuck up on your job to let the public know that the Works Progress Administration is advertising itself. Your W. P. A. associates would furnish you with information as to how you might expect a promotion if you were willing to change your political registration. As the campaign came on you would be asked to wear campaign buttons, badges, and insignia put out by the Democratic National Committee. If you refused to wear this insignia or to subscribe to "We the People," someone might question your right to eat at public expense.

All the time you would be hating the relentless machine which gradually sought to undermine your character, deny your individuality and demand that you become another "tree man" for

gradually sought to undermine your character, deny your individuality, and demand that you become another "yes man" for the New Deal. This, Mr. Hopkins, is what the Works Progress Administration under your leadership means for thousands of men

and women in this country.

By your refusal to cooperate with those who wanted to take the stigma off of the Works Progress Administration you helped to build the public mind against the man on relief.

build the public mind against the man on relief.

Again I wish to request that you cooperate with those who are trying to take politics out of relief. I hope that you will give to the Senate Committee on Campaign Expenses the full list of names, addresses, and salaries of administrative officials, political appointees, and foremen in the State of Pennsylvania, so that the public may be informed, as it has a right to be, concerning the conduct of governmental business. Is it too much to ask that this information be made ready within 15 days, so that voters may have sufficient time to become acquainted with the facts?

If the charges made against the W. P. A. are false, it should bring you satisfaction to prove them so, for the reelection of the President now seems partially contingent on this issue. If the charges made are true, the repression of this information will work a lasting damage to the American people, for which they will have a right to hold you fully accountable under the law.

I hope that the public may have the facts within 15 days.

Sincerely.

SEPTEMBER 30, 1936.

Hon. Augustine Lonergan,
Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Lonergan: I wish to thank you for the opportunity
you gave me to appear before the Senate Committee on Campaign
Expenses in executive session. Your evident desire to uphold our
electoral laws and secure fair play for all heartens me. I wish you
and the committee every success in your work to maintain just and fair electoral conditions.

fair electoral conditions.

Perhaps you will understand how very much I dislike continually to be raising the question of relief in its political aspects. Conditions have forced these matters to my attention for many months and I have made every effort to have this issue taken out of the realm of gossip and rumor into the impartial light of a legally constituted committee. During the last session of Congress I asked repeatedly that a full and complete investigation of the W. P. A. should be made and the question of politics in relief be settled by a Senate committee so that it would not be kicked around as a political football in the campaign, as is now the case. My recent appearance before your committee was the first time that I have been permitted to present this problem to any committee having

authority to act upon it. I now hope that that constructive action will be taken immediately, as the public is entitled to all the facts

relating to this issue.

relating to this issue.

Those who administer the W. P. A. have consistently stated that there is no politics in relief. Mr. Hopkins time and again made this statement and to my knowledge has never retracted it. He was content to issue orders forbidding such action to be posted on W. P. A. bulletin boards and to prevent the public from learning the results of investigations of the W. P. A. made by his own subordinates. I wrote to Mr. Hopkins a number of letters, beginning in February, asking for a list of the names, addresses, and salaries of all political appointees, administrative heads, and foremen of the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania. His assistants replied to my letters but it was many weeks before I received a very small portion of the list for which I had asked. To date my request is still unanswered. The clerical labor involved in preparing the list was advanced as a reason for delay.

reason for delay.

In the face of the tremendous amount of rumor which is going

reason for delay.

In the face of the tremendous amount of rumor which is going the rounds regarding the political aspects of the W. P. A., it must appear obvious that the administration has now more to gain from a respectful hearing of the evidence in these matters than by a continuation of a policy of repression.

I voted for the various relief and work-relief measures and I expect to be asked to vote on kindred measures in the next session of Congress, irrespective of the outcome of the November election. Relief and work relief will be continued. Right-thinking men will insist that it be carried on in a nonpartisan way and that those who receive this aid will not be compelled to stultify themselves by abandoning their individual electoral rights.

I have been censured for voting for relief measures and giving aid to programs out of which has been developed a gigantic political machine now being used to throttle the electorate and to oppose all who differ with it. Today I realize that nothing will so surely deprive needy people of the relief to which they are justly entitled as the waste and partisanship which now attends its administration. I have hoped to stand for the rights of the victims of this depression during this administration, and in the next should there be a change. In event of a Republican victory at the polls this year, I wish to be free to continue to uphold the rights of the needy unemployed.

In the last analysis the only justification of voting appropriations for relief is the distress of defenseless men, women, and children. No one should starve in the midst of plenty. Those who seek to make political capital and create fat jobs for themselves out of human misery deserve to have the full light of publictly directed upon them. They cannot stand before the reproach of public opinion. I would not wish to believe that the President would be willing at this time to attempt to shield these for the sake of political advantage.

political advantage.

political advantage.

I suggest that you ask Mr. Hopkins to provide your committee with the names, addresses, and salaries of all administrative employees on the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania; all foremen, and all political appointees, particularly in western Pennsylvania and Allegheny County, so that this information may be made public. I am not asking for publicity to be given to the names and addresses of unfortunate men and women who in their distress have been driven upon relief rolls and who are now subsisting at an income level which Americans generally have been taught to regard as starvation wages. tion wages

Knowing that you are consistent within yourself and have a long and honorable record of public service and that you desire good government to prevail, I am making this request of you and your committee. I have tried to obtain this information from Mr. Hopkins, but without success. As a result, the issue has been thrown into the heat of a political campaign. I believe that Mr. Hopkins will recognize the right of your committee to subpena data from his office, if necessary, and that he will give you the cooperation he has refused me. In event that Mr. Hopkins withholds this information from the public, I suggest that you ask the President for it.

holds this information from the public, I suggest that you ask the President for it.

I need not inform you that the request which I have made to Mr. Hopkins is entirely in keeping with the traditional rights of taxpayers who call for reports on public business and the obligations which bind those who hold public office. The administrative work of the W. P. A. is public business and its personnel should be held just as strictly accountable to taxpayers as regularly elected officials. If this is not true, we must then conclude that the W. P. A. represents a usurpation of public authority entirely inconsistent with the American plan of government. What mayor of any American city could refuse to publish the names, addresses, and salarles of those on the public pay roll?

Rumor has it that there are more bosses, foremen, administrative officials on the W. P. A. in Allegheny County than are required to service any one of the largest industrial firms in the State of Pennsylvania. If this be true, taxpayers have a right to know the facts.

the facts.

I need not repeat what I said before your committee as to my lifelong position regarding political coercion. I can conceive of nothing more despicable than for any powerful organization, be it industry or government, to attempt to destroy the individual political rights of those on its pay rolls. During a long experience in Pennsylvania I have from time to time heard charges of voter coercion. I dare say that there is a measure of truth in these statements, although as a millworker I had no personal knowledge of such conditions. No one has ever attempted to deprive me of my electoral right. Observation of steel workers and coal miners leads me to believe that they are so independent in action that at-

tempts to force their vote would bring just the opposite action on their part. There were charges of voter coercion in 1932. A survey of industrial centers, South Pittsburgh, Farrell, and other districts populated with workers will show that these people voted as they pleased at that time. I believe they will vote as they think best

I enclose copies of two letters I have received, reserving the names of those who signed them for your committee, lest their public use bring undeserved trouble to those who have volunteered this startling information. The letters will give your committee but a slight idea of the charges which are now current regarding politics in work relief in the State of Pennsylvania.

Again, I wish to express my appreciation of the courtesy extended to me by your committee. It is my firm belief that if all who have had to do with the conduct of this great public responsibility had fulfilled this trust with an eye single to the welfare of the people as with you, there would not now be incessant demand for an investigation of these charges.

Most cordially yours,

DECEMBER 29, 1936.

Mr. Harry Hopkins, Administrator, Works Progress Administration,

Washington, D. C.

My Dear Mr. Hopkins: Now that the campaign is over I am continuing my request initiated almost a year ago that the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of the entire administrative personnel of the W. P. A. throughout the country be made available to all authorized representatives of public opinion and taxpayers. As United States Senator from Pennsylvania I ask especially for this information regarding this State.

This request was made early last spring, but your office did not This request was made early last spring, but your office did not make the data available. I continued time and again to make this request long before the campaign got under way. Not heeding it, you made it a campaign issue, but publicly stated that my sole interest in the matter was political. The campaign is over, but I am still asking for this information, and, representing the constituents of my State, I shall continue to ask for it until the ordinary routine of justice and fair play in the handling of public money in your office is achieved. office is achieved.

I have made it thoroughly clear that I am not asking for the names and addresses of every one on relief, but only those of the administrative personnel. This information should be made public administrative personnel. This information should be made public just as in the case of your salary and mine and others on governmental pay rolls. We now witness the circumstance of the publication of the administrative personnel salaries of private business concerns through the Federal Trade Commission, Bureau of Internal Revenue, Social Security Commission, etc., while the same sort of information is denied the authorized representatives of the public by your office. I know this to be true in Pennsylvania.

If you choose to ignore this request as you have done in the past, I shall have no other recourse than to introduce a resolution in the Senate again asking for this data. I should much prefer to have the information directly from you. Your cooperation in this respect would show that you desire to rid this issue of politics.

Sincerely yours.

Sincerely yours.

Work Progress Administration, Washington, D. C., January 18, 1937.

Washington, D. C., January 18, 1937.

Senator James J. Davis,

United States Senate, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Davis: This will acknowledge your letter of December 29 in which you request that the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of the entire administrative personnel of the Works Progress Administration in Pennsylvania be made available to all authorized representatives of public opinion and taxpayers.

As I indicated previously on several occasions, I have felt that the public might have and should have a very real interest in the methods of operation of this Administration, the amount spent for administrative expenses of various kinds, including the salary grades and the total amount of salaries in each grade. I can also see a legitimate interest in the public's knowing the salaries of the major officers who are responsible for the formulation and execution of the policies of the administration. I cannot, however, see how it could have a legitimate interest in the individual salaries and addresses of the many hundreds of employees performing minor and addresses of the many hundreds of employees performing minor clerical functions.

This principle seems to me to have been well recognized by Congress, when in 1925 it passed an act directing the Director of the Census to continue to compile the Federal Register and restricting Census to continue to compile the Federal Register and restricting this register to persons occupying supervisory and administrative positions in the executive and judicial departments of the Government. Under this act it has been the practice to publish only the names, addresses, and salaries of those officials who are responsible for formulation of policies of the various bureaus or who supervise major portions of the work. This position has, I believe, been concurred in for many years by the heads of the various executive departments who have, according to my understanding, refused to give out information of the type which you request.

I am perfectly willing to make available to the public the names and salaries of the major officers of this administration in Pennsylvania or any other State. I am not willing to make public names, salaries, or addresses of the clerical employees since I firmly believe, as stated above, that the public can have no legitimate interest in this information and its publication would only

subject these employees, who are receiving only small compensa-tion, to the gossip of neighbors and the pressure of commercial and political salesmen.

Sincerely yours, HARRY L. HOPKINS.

Administrator. JANUARY 19, 1937.

Hon. HARRY HOPKINS,

Works Progress Administrator, Washington, D. C.
MY DEAR MR. HOPKINS: Your letter of January 18 in response to
my letter to you of December 29 is at hand.

my letter to you of December 29 is at hand.

Your reply in which you say, "I cannot, however, see how it could have a legitimate interest in the individual salaries and addresses of the many hundreds of employees performing minor clerical functions" led me to examine the file of letters I have written you during the last year. In each of these letters, if you will trouble yourself to examine them, you will find that I have at no time asked for a list of W. P. A. "performing minor clerical functions."

I have insistantly asked for a list of which is the salar in the salar

I have insistently asked for the names, addresses, positions, and salaries of all administrative officials, which includes investigators, foremen, project supervisors, managers, and assistants, etc. These people may not be paid salaries of more than \$93.50 a month, but they exert political influence, and that, Mr. Hopkins, is what we are talking about.

are talking about.

I am not asking you for a list of actors, architects, draftsmen, artists, sculptors, teachers of art, librarians, musicians, teachers of music, playground workers, writers, editors, bookkeepers, accountants, auditors, statistical editors, enumerators, stenographers, typists, blacksmiths, bricklayers, stonemasons, carpenters, cement finishers, electricians, painters, plasterers, etc.

Let me again state that I wish the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of W. P. A. and F. E. R. A. and other relief organizations you have had in charge during the last 4 years, all administrative personnel which includes Administrators, State administrators, project supervisors, foremen, managers, inspectors, investigators, and their assistants. These people possess political power, and it is the use made of their political authority which is now called in question. question.

You say that you are "perfectly willing to make available to the public the names and salaries of the major officers of this administration in Pennsylvania or any other State." In keeping with the policy which you say you have adopted, I wish to ask you to make public the names and salaries of all administrative officials, for all of them have to do with the formulation of the political policy

of your administration.

I am asking you, and let me repeat it, for the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of all the administrative personnel of the W. P. A. and F. E. R. A., and other relief organizations which you have had in charge during the last 4 years, to include the names of administrators, assistant administrators, State supervisors, project

administrators, assistant administrators, State supervisors, project supervisors, investigators, foremen, managers, and the assistants of all these, together with all others whom the taxpayers will wish questioned when they are called to give an account of the money spent during the last 4 years at your direction.

Formerly you have protested that the clerical work necessary to compile these lists would prove a tax upon your staff. In order to avoid any too great burden upon you, permit me to suggest that lists be compiled first in the State of Pennsylvania and that reputable newspapers he allowed through their representatives to have table newspapers be allowed through their representatives to have access to the files so that they may compile the lists which otherwise might prove too difficult a task for you and your associates. After the State of Pennsylvania has thus been covered, the findings may suggest the possibility of the worth of further proceedings in

may suggest the possibility of the worth of further proceedings in other States. My mail would indicate this, but I am willing to wait, pending what is found out in Pennsylvania.

You say, "I am perfectly willing to make available to the public the names and salaries of the major officers of this administration in Pennsylvania or any other State." I believe, Mr. Hopkins, this is a step in the right direction, but it is only a step. In keeping with this policy I wish to ask that you follow it through in a thoroughgoing way. I ask you to give authority for representative news agencies of the taxpayers to ascertain immediately the names and salaries of all administrative personnel as above indicated, for all of them have had to do with the formulation of the political policy of your administration, and it is the politics of your administration, Mr. Hopkins, about which we are concerned, and not any reference such as you have made to compilations of official lists in the Federal Register back in 1935. Register back in 1935.

Sincerely yours,

JAMES J. DAVIS, United States Senate.

MAY 26, 1937.

Mr. HARRY HOPKINS,

Works Progress Administration, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hopkins: This will acknowledge your letter of May 24 relative to my request for data concerning the names, salaries, and positions of administrative personnel of W. P. A. The list of the names, addresses, and salaries of the State administrator, deput administrator, State director of finance and statistics, State director of women's and professional projects, State director of operations, and district directors of W. P. A. districts in

Pennsylvania is only a small part of the data that should be made

available upon request to representatives of the public.

In view of the tremendous importance of our Federal relief program I wish to review in some detail the correspondence which

gram I wish to review in some detail the correspondence which you and I have had on this subject.

On March 19, 1936, I wrote you as follows: "I have read your statement carried by the Associated Press in which you say: 'No employee of the Works Progress Administration, either administrative or engaged on a project, is required to make any contribution to any political party. No Works Progress Administration employee's job will be in jeopardy because of the failure of said

trative or engaged on a project, is required to make any contribution to any political party. No Works Progress Administration employee's job will be in jeopardy because of the failure of said employee to make such contribution. No employee of the Works Progress Administration shall at any time solicit contributions for any political party, and evidence of such solicitation will be cause for immediate discharge. The question of whether or not to contribute to any political party is a matter entirely for the voluntary decision of said employee. No person shall be employed or discharged by the Works Progress Administration on the ground of his support or nonsupport of any candidate of any political organization.'

"I believe this to be a step in the right direction. However, it is only one of a number of decisive actions which, in my judgment, should be taken if relief is to be kept out of politics in the future. Unfortunately, conditions have been allowed to develop to such an extent and the difficulty of control of the national organization of the W. P. A. from Washington is so great that no mere statement of policy such as you have made, sincere and thoroughgoing as it doubtless is, can solve this problem.

"My approach to this question has been governed very largely by the definite statements made by those in authority that they desired relief kept out of politics. On April 28, 1935, President Roosevelt said: "The most effective means of preventing such evils in this work-relief program will be the eternal vigilance of the American people themselves. I call upon my fellow citizens everywhere to cooperate with me in making this the most efficient and the cleanest example of public enterprise the world has ever seen. It is time to provide a smashing answer for those cynics who say that a democracy cannot be honest and efficient. If you will help, this can be done. I, therefore, hope you will watch the work in every corner of this Nation. Feel free to criticize. Tell me of instances where work can be done better

which is to be admired."

However, statements of this sort are not sufficient to insure the desired results. Evidence of waste, partisan use of W. P. A. funds, and needless red tape is found on every hand. Without solicitation of any kind, letters have come to me from all over the country revealing a state of affairs which, in many instances, is positively vicious. I can cite example after example of wicked practice, such as is found in the case of a Republican W. P. A. supervisor, with a wife and seven children dependent upon him, replaced by a Democrat, with but two persons dependent upon him, for no reason other than partisan issues. These are not isolated cases. Situations of this kind prevail all over the country. You have doubtless read the account given by Mr. Johnson, formerly State supervisor of the W. P. A. in Pennsylvania, in the last issue of the Saturday Evening Post. Certainly conditions as reported by Mr. Johnson in the State of Pennsylvania cannot be corrected simply by dictating an office memo.

You will observe, therefore, Mr. Hopkins, that as early as March

You will observe, therefore, Mr. Hopkins, that as early as March 1936 I had expressed a deep interest in the work-relief administration problem. However, in the heat of the campaign, September 30, you stated in the newspapers, "Senator Davis thinks of nothing except in terms of politics and never did in his life \* \* The only time he shows any interest in the unemployed is near election." The facts do not bear out this statement. I wish to assure you that my continued interest in the property of the results.

\* \* \* The only time he shows any interest in the unemployed is near election." The facts do not bear out this statement. I wish to assure you that my continued interest in this problem is not political, and I would question the advisability of impugning the motives of men in public life who differ with you.

On May 7, 1937, work-relief problems had developed to such a state that Hugh S. Johnson in his column said: "Harry Hopkins is reported to have said that a cut of \$500,000,000 in relief appropriations will fire 400,000 relief workers. That figures out \$1,200 per worker on relief. \* \* \* This would indicate that relief living is at least as fat as that of one-third of our employed population. \* \* \* The error in this is that the whole \$1,200 does not go to the relief worker. Only about \$720, as an average, goes to him. Accurate figures are not available, but it is generous to say that only about 58 percent of the billions spent on relief trickles down to those on relief. \* \* \* Mr. Hopkins says the total overhead is only 4.7 percent and adds that 10 percent is considered good administration in business management. It all depends on what you call overhead. Mr. Hopkins' boys roll their own as far as accounting is concerned. It would be interesting to see an independent audit of the seven billions or so dollars that they have broadcast. \* \* \* It is one of the most grotesquely fantastical and tragic pieces of administration in the history of the human race."

This was the statement of General Johnson a great friend of human race.

This was the statement of General Johnson, a great friend of the President, who speaks out of the realistic experience he had

with the W. P. A. while in charge of it in New York City. Would you say that his statement was made for political purposes?

I note in the table of statistics you have sent me May 24, 1937, that of the total expenditures of W. P. A. in the State of Pennsylvania cumulative through March 31, 1937, you state that only 2.9 percent has been spent for administration. But, according to General Johnson, the average cost of administration has been 4.7 percent. How do you explain this discrepancy? Does it cost less to administer work relief in Pennsylvania than elsewhere? Will you kindly give me this information together with your explain the state of the s you kindly give me this information together with your explanation?

On January 19, 1937, I wrote you as follows:
"Your letter of January 18, the first I have had from you, in response to my letter of December 29, is at hand.

response to my letter of December 29, is at hand.

"In reply to my request that the public be informed as to the names, addresses, positions, and salaries of the administrative personnel of the W. P. A., you say: I cannot see how it could have a legitimate interest in the individual salaries and addresses of the many hundreds of employees performing minor clerical functions, which, permit me to say, Mr. Hopkins, is quite beside the point. Your remark has led me to examine the file of letters I have written you during the last year. In each of these letters, if you will trouble yourself to examine them, it will be evident that at no time have I asked for a list of W. P. A. workers 'performing minor clerical functions.' Inasmuch as you have signed your name to the above statement, the public should now learn that you are dodging facts. This should interest the taxpayers, as they are now taking under consideration the possibility of the organization now taking under consideration the possibility of the organization of a new department of the President's Cabinet to be known as the Department of Social Welfare, in connection with which your name is sometimes mentioned.

"I have insistently asked for the names, addresses, positions, and salaries of all administrative officials, which includes administrators, assistant administrators. State supervisors, project supervisors, investigators, foremen, managers, and the assistants of all of these. Perhaps I have failed to list them all, but this will do well enough for a beginning. These people may not be paid salaries of more than \$93.50 a month but they exert political influence, and that, Mr. Hopkins, is what we are talking about.

"I am not asking you for a list of actors, architects, draftsmen, artists, sculptors, teachers of art, librarians, musicians, teachers of music, playground workers, editors, bookkeepers, accountants, auditors, statistical editors, enumerators, stenographers, typists, blacksmiths, bricklayers, stonemasons, carpenters, cement finishers, elec-'I have insistently asked for the names, address

smiths, bricklayers, stonemasons, carpenters, cement finishers, elec-

smiths, bricklayers, stonemasons, carpenters, cement finishers, electricians, painters, plasterers, etc.

"I am asking you, and let me repeat it, for the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of all the administrative personnel of the W. P. A. and F. E. R. A. and other relief organizations which you have had in charge during the last 4 years, to include the names of administrators, assistant administrators, foremen, managers, and the assistants of all these, together with all others whom the tax-payer will wish questioned when they are called to give an account of the money spent during the last 4 years at your direction."

This request still stands unanswered, and I maintain that this is information to which the taxpayers are entitled and that it should be made available, upon request, to representatives of the public.

Sincerely yours,

Sincerely yours,

JAMES J. DAVIS.

JULY 2, 1937.

Mr. HARRY HOPKINS,
Administrator, Works Progress Administration,

Washington, D. C. Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Hopkins: I understand that at your request the Senate provision that the names, addresses, salaries, and positions of all administrative personnel in the W. P. A., F. E. R. A., and all relief organizations which you have headed up, receiving more than \$1,000 a year heretofore or at the present time was taken from the appropriation bill when it went to conference. I understand that you stated that if this provision were omitted you would see to it that I received this data for the State of Pennsylvania. I request that you make this data available as soon as possible. I should like to have it within the next 30 days if you can provide it within that time. you can provide it within that time.

Most cordially yours,

JAMES J. DAVIS.

JULY 14, 1937.

Hon. James J. Davis,

United States Senator, Washington, D. C.

My Dran Semator Davis: In accordance with your request of
July 2, 1937, I am enclosing herewith a list showing the names,
positions, salaries, and location of all administrative employees
of the Works Progress Administration and the National Youth
Administration in Pennsylvania receiving \$1,000 a year or more.

There are no employees of the Federal Emergency Relief Ad
ministration now in Pennsylvania

ministration now in Pennsylvania.

These lists were made up from pay rolls for the period June 16 through June 30.

Sincerely,

HARRY L. HOPKINS, Administrator.

Mr. DAVIS. Mr. President, this correspondence shows that I spent nearly 18 months in correspondence with Mr. Hopkins before he would consent to release to me the names, number, addresses, and salaries of the administrative employees of W. P. A. in Pennsylvania. Finally, after the election of 1936 had passed, and after 18 months of futile requests, I received a partial although imperfect response to my petition for this information. Much of this correspondance was carried in the Congressional Record and in the press. I was alone in asking for the information. Certainly Mr. Hopkins knew that I wanted the information. He knew why I wanted it because I had consistently charged that there was politics in W. P. A. in Pennsylvania, and yet he would not cooperate with me to stop it.

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, Mr. Harry L. Hopkins, who has been nominated by the President to be Secretary of Commerce, comes from my State of New York. I will not intrude myself for too long a time into this discussion, when we should be coming to a vote, because those who have spoken for Mr. Hopkins have already stated his achievements and

paid tribute to his high character.

Of course, as a matter of traditional policy in the Senate, the issue as to the confirmation of one nominated for a position in the Cabinet is much narrower than in the case of the ordinary nomination sent to the Senate. The Senate has always recognized that the President, as the Chief Executive of the country, is entitled to have in his own family those in whom he has confidence and whose advice he desires during his administration. Unless there has been something asserted and established affecting the moral character of the individual appointed, the Senate has readily confirmed, and rarely in all its history has there been a mere partisan issue raised in the case of a nomination of this character.

As I recall, there has been only one case since the Civil War in which the Senate has rejected a Cabinet nomination, and that was the case of Mr. Warren, during the Coolidge administration. It was established beyond question that Mr. Warren had been a lobbyist for the so-called Sugar Trust. The Senate felt that his appointment to the high office of Attorney General did not deserve confirmation.

I am not relying in my vote, however, merely upon that sound policy which has always been observed by the Senate. I am voting for the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins because I know that in so doing I represent the sentiment of my State, and also because of the high character of Mr. Hopkins, and because of his unusual success in one of the most difficult tasks that has ever devolved upon any individual.

During the debate no one has referred to the rise of Mr. Hopkins to his present place. He has held many positions of honor and of great responsibility. He has discharged the duties of all of them with extraordinary success, and always, of course, with unquestioned integrity.

In 1912, after his graduation from college, Mr. Hopkins became connected with the Association for Improving the Condition of the Poor, which is the largest nonsectarian private charity organization in the whole United States. In the course of that employment he conducted an investigation of slum conditions, and his report, though made way back in 1912, is still one which will enlighten many of us on the great problem of slum clearance in this country. Although he was quite a young man at the time, he was highly complimented for his work in that regard.

Mr. Hopkins' work attracted the attention of the then mayor of New York, Maj. John Purroy Mitchel, one of our eminent mayors, now deceased, and he persuaded Mr. Hopkins to become the executive secretary of the New York City Board of Public Welfare. I am somewhat more familiar with that work because, as a member of the State Senate in the State of New York at that time, I had occasion to be in touch with his work in that particular department. That duty he discharged with great distinction and with marked

Mr. Hopkins applied for leave to go to the front during the World War, but he was rejected because of the condition of his eyesight. He insisted upon making some contribution, however, and was placed in charge of the work of the Gulf

division of the American Red Cross. He did his work there so well, Mr. President, that he attracted the attention of those interested in that particular enterprise and was later made the head of the entire southern division.

I need not tell the Senate the kind of work which is involved in the Red Cross, particularly during wartime. Mr. Hopkins handled large sums of money, and performed all the other services required, with great distinction and absolute integrity.

After the war, Mr. Hopkins was appointed a director of the New York Tuberculosis Association, and in that field of endeavor he also worked with great success, being responsible for building up that organization into a great force for research in preventive medicine.

In 1931, when New York State was confronted with the problem of feeding the unemployed and taking care of and sheltering the destitute, Mr. Hopkins was selected as working director of the temporary emergency relief administration of the State. In 1932 Mr. Hopkins became chairman of the temporary emergency relief administration by appointment of then Governor Franklin D. Roosevelt.

During all this time, in his service in the State of New York, when under his direction there was distributed \$140,000,000 to alleviate the needs of the poor and the destitute and unemployed, there was never the breath of suspicion of misappropriation of any funds. On the contrary, the business people of New York, as well as those who were interested in this problem from the social standpoint, all praised him very highly.

If I may remind the Senate, during the last part of the Hoover administration, several Senators were exceedingly apprehensive of what was going on in the country. We saw countless people out in different sections of the country who lacked shelter, food, and clothing. The first major relief bill to take over and to expand upon the efforts of the R. F. C. was introduced by myself, the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. LA FOLLETTE], and the late Senator from Colorado, Mr. Costigan, whose recent death profoundly shocked us all. The Senator who is now gracing the chair will remember the occasion very well, because he participated in that movement also. Hearings were conducted, and the most tragic situations were disclosed. The city of Chicago, in particular, was so badly hit that neither the State nor the municipality could cope with it, and yet the Federal Government refused to move in the way of doing anything to alleviate the situation. The Senator from Illinois came before our committee and stated the plight of his particular State.

In 1933 the Senator from Wisconsin [Mr. La Follette], Senator Costigan, and myself constituted ourselves a committee to improvise legislation for the setting up of some independent Federal machinery for bringing relief to the States. We sent for Mr. Hopkins. Not one of us had ever met him before. We had only heard about his successful work in the State of New York. He sat down and aided us in the drafting of the legislation which Congress later enacted, and thereafter the President asked Mr. Hopkins to head that particular activity called the Federal Emergency Relief Administration. Later he headed the C. W. A. and W. P. A. programs.

Without going over the entire record—because it has been so well done by others who preceded me—I will say that Mr. Hopkins undertook this tremendous task, and until the end of the last session I had heard no criticism of his work. Year after year, when the appropriations came up for consideration, Senators on the other side, as well as Senators on the Democratic side of the Chamber, rose and spoke approvingly of Mr. Hopkins, and there was no suggestion that political influence was in any way involved in the administration of his office.

I think I have a right to say that from the very beginning, by my votes as well as my public utterances, I have been insisting upon freeing relief administration from any kind of political influence. I knew Mr. Hopkins would not utilize relief for political purposes, but no head of an undertaking of that kind, involving the expenditure of \$9,000,000,000, can be expected to go to every corner of the United States and watch every little subordinate to see whether he is attempting to persuade somebody employed under W. P. A. to vote one way or the other.

I voted for the proposed Hatch amendment. I voted against requiring the submission to the Senate of the names of the directors in each State, because, frankly, I feared that this would bring political considerations to bear.

If I may say so, Mr. President, I have consistently followed that course in my own State. I had nothing to do with the appointment of the administrators in my State. I did not know who they were to be until after their appointment. All have rendered admirable service. Colonel Somervell has been directing W. P. A. in New York City—the most difficult relief task in the country—to the complete satisfaction of all and entirely free from any suggestion of politics. I know that W. P. A. employment has there been based solely upon the need of the individual, and that has been true throughout my State.

Indeed, no suggestion was made by the Sheppard committee that there was any politics involved in the administration of W. P. A. in the State of New York. The number of heads of families employed at one time on W. P. A. work by reason of the unemployment situation has reached 300,000. In all this time, several million men being employed on W. P. A. off and on, only one complaint has been made which came to the Sheppard committee, was investigated by the Sheppard committee, was found to be absolutely unfounded, and was dismissed.

Mr. President, I went through a rather strenuous campaign. I had a very alert and able opponent against me. All but four newspapers in the State were against me. I do not complain about that. They felt that my opponent should be elected. But what I mean to say is that my opposition was very alert. So was my colleague's opposition. As a matter of fact his opponent was a gentleman of distinction in New York who had been one of the administrators of relief in the city of New York. And I may say, Senators, that during the entire campaign, and it was a vigorous campaign covering over 2 months, not a suggestion came from our opposition that the W. P. A. was used in the slightest way to influence a single vote. At the time we had about 250,000 men employed under W. P. A.

If I had been the administrator I would not have made the political speeches which Mr. Hopkins made. I would have refrained absolutely from any kind of political activity even as an individual. He frankly admits that if he had to do it over again he would not make such addresses. I do not suppose all of us would want to have search made into all our indiscretions.

Let us look at the accomplishments of Mr. Hopkins as the head of the W. P. A. The administrative expenses, about which we hear so much, are down to 2.3 percent. On the total outlay of administrative expenses, the percentage is only 3 percent, whereas Congress, in the legislation it enacted, authorized him to expend as high as 5 percent of the fund for administrative expenses.

What has the W. P. A. accomplished? It has built 12,000 new public buildings, and repaired, improved, or enlarged 36,000. It has constructed 46,000 miles of highways, roads, and streets and 157,000 miles of highways were improved or repaired. The W. P. A. built airports, laid sewers, developed vast park and play areas, and carried on conservation, public health education, and cultural projects. It has many more accomplishments to its credit. I shall not delay the Senate by reading them all. I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Record as a part of my remarks the record, which I have before me, of actual accomplishments of W. P. A. throughout the country, so far as complete data are available.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the matter referred to may be printed in the RECORD.

The matter is as follows:

Table 7.—Physical accomplishments on Works Progress Administration projects

THROUGH OCT. 1, 1937
CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES
[Preliminary—subject to revision]

		Number or amount			
Туре	Unit of measurement	New con- struc- tion	Addi- tions	Improve- ments	
Public buildings—total	Number	12, 212	1, 363	36, 510	
Educational buildings—total	Number	1,824	672	19, 316	
Schools Libraries Libraries	Number	1,771 53	647 25	18, 802 514	
Recreational buildings—total	Number	3,777	238	2, 664	
Auditoriums Stadia, grandstands, etc Gymnasiums Other (pavilions, bathhouses, etc.).	Number Number Number Number	184 752 376 2, 465	52 39 86 61	206 257 238 1, 963	
Institutional buildings-total	Number	284	61	2, 484	
Hospitals Penal institutions Other	Number Number	86 80 118	33 14 14	968 261 1, 255	
Courthouses, offices, and other ad- ministrative buildings.	Number	615	116	2, 545	
Dormitories. Fire houses Garages Aircraft hangars Warehouses Armories Other buildings and small structures	Number Number Number Number Number Number Number	384 129 793 73 630 132 3,571	14 17 61 3 34 2 145	983 1, 156 463 75 812 211 5, 801	
(bus and streetcar shelters, etc.).  Demolition of buildings	Number			6, 938	

		Number	or amount
Туре	Unit of measurement	New con- struction	Improve- ments
Highways, roads, streets, and related facilities:	Miles	46, 650	150 00
Highways, roads, and streets—total. Rural primary roads— total.	Miles	7, 873	158, 69 17, 95
PavedUnpaved	Miles	2, 353 5, 520	2, 16 15, 79
Rural secondary roads—total.	Miles	31, 255	123, 03
PavedUnpaved	Miles	2, 044 29, 211	1, 98 121, 04
Urban-total	Miles	6, 568	16, 64
PavedUnpaved	Miles	3, 944 2, 624	4, 99 11, 65
Other (parks, cemeteries, etc.)—total.	Miles	954	1,05
PavedUnpaved	Miles	253 701	21: 84:
Road shoulders (not includ- ed above).	Miles	2, 935	20, 78
Bridges—total	Number Length in feet	19, 229 636, 422	18, 03, 692, 73
Wood	Number Length in feet Number	13, 778 424, 770 1, 418	11, 396 322, 802 4, 998
Steel	Length in feet   Number   Length in feet	84, 928 4, 033 126, 724	308, 579 1, 64 61, 350
Culverts	Number	200, 719 5, 365, 685	42, 68 1, 059, 940
Grade-crossing elimination	Number of crossing eliminations.	24 E 158	9 000
Sidewalks and paths—total	Miles	5, 156	3, 208
PavedUnpaved	Miles	4, 033 1, 123	2, 280 928
Curbs	Miles	4, 480 1, 592 637	1, 077 325 369

Table 7.—Physical accomplishments on Works Progress Administration projects—Continued

		Number or amount		
Туре	Unit of measurement	New con- struction	Improve- ments	
Highways, roads, streets, and related facilities—Con.		Pari sore	THOMES IN	
Curbs—Continued.	to the supplied of the	S million	S IN COLUMN	
Lights for roads and streets.	Number   Miles of road equipped	8, 433 203	34, 832	
Roadside drainage	Linear feet of ditch	70, 804, 426	34, 832 1, 223 180, 866, 215 1, 184, 219	
Roadside landscaping	Linear feet of pipe Miles	4, 629, 895	1, 184, 219	
Street signs	Linearfeet of line painted. Number of signs made.	12, 723, 969 825, 078 363, 848		
	Number of signs erected_	363, 848		
Removal of car and railroad track.	Miles of single-line track		452	
Airports and airway equip- ment (excluding build- ings):	of support contents	ave imulias	Dr. Alle	
Landing fields	Number	130 13, 574	136 19, 756	
Runways	Length in feet	1,099,510	382, 731	
Air beacons	Number	8, 357	2	
ing buildings):	ar .		SHAD VED	
Athletic fields	Number   Acres	1, 534 8, 297	1, 360 10, 296	
Additions to athletic fields	Number	11 69		
Parks	Number	881	3, 210	
Additions to parks	Acres   Number	26, 707 92	191, 433	
	Acres	1, 107	104	
Fairgrounds	Acres	1,059	4, 902	
Playgrounds—total	Number	1, 303	3, 792	
SchoolOther	Number	751 552	3, 087 705	
Curlmunian mode	Number	433	143	
Swimming pools	Surface area in square feet.	6, 950, 463 324	2, 757, 462	
Wading pools	Surface area in square feet.	977, 827	152, 100	
Golf courses	Number of holes	1 224	186	
	Acres	1, 384 8, 637	2, 436 17, 463 1, 174	
Tennis courts	Number	3, 535 569	1, 174	
Horseshoe courts	Number	716 731	56 159	
Ice-skating rinks	Surface area in square feet.	34, 011, 344	10, 373, 290	
Ski jumpsSki trails	Number Miles	29 28	31	
Outdoor theaters	Number	48	10	
Band shells	Number	88	25	
drainage systems:	(Miles	4, 295	1, 459	
Water mains, aqueducts, and distribution lines.	Number of consumer	123, 028	165, 103	
Storage tanks, reservoirs,	Connections.	1, 272	329	
and cisterns. Storage dams	Gallons capacity   Number	559, 016, 724 3, 504	4, 069, 469, 438 298	
Wells Treatment plants (excl. cess-	Number	1, 632	1, 437	
pools and septic tanks):	Cites of the Office Section 11 To 11 No.			
Sewage Water	Number	243 60	151	
Garbage incinerators Pumping stations	Number	25 252	24 103	
	[Miles	6, 300	1,963	
Storm and sanitary sewers	Number of service con- nections.	152, 681	27, 349	
Manholes and catch basins Sanitary toilets	Number	156, 323	88, 912 12, 776	
Cesspools	Number	865, 955 37, 938	21	
Septic tanks	Number of mines	3, 883 7, 777	57	
arme soming	Number of openings  Linear feet of ditch	30, 476, 208	16, 942, 536	
Mosquito control	Acres drained	1,097,001	16, 942, 536 534, 365	
Drainage (other than road-	Linear feet of ditch	6, 144, 148	34, 377, 346	
side and mosquito eradi- cation).  Flood and erosion control—	Acres drained	3, 583 7, 777 67, 327 30, 476, 208 1, 097, 001 1, 218, 757 6, 144, 148 3, 698, 679 2, 043, 552	34, 377, 346 504, 789 6, 471, 548	
navigation aids—irriga- tion:	(Number	99	139	
Docks, wharves, and piers	Feet of usable water		122, 924	
	Area in square feet	40, 243 1, 491, 776	7, 011, 447	
Jetties and breakwaters	Number Length in feet	1, 161 92, 638	6,020	
The same and the s	Cubic vards placed	193, 657	73, 397	
Bulkheads Canals and channels	Linear feet	266, 935 53	106, 966 166	
River bank improvements	Miles		940	

Table 7.—Physical accomplishments on Works Progress Administration projects—Continued

		Number	or amount
Туре	Unit of measurement	New con- struction	Improve- ments
Flood and erosion control-		Maist.	on and or other
navigation aids, etc.—Con. Dredging (other than chan- nels).	Cubic yards of material dredged.	9, 901, 740	
Dams (other than storage or	Number	17, 083	154
power). Riprap (other than river bank).	Square yards surfaced	4, 103, 678	494, 954
Retaining walls and revet- ments.  Levees and embankments	(NumberLinear feet	10, 601 2, 231, 556 932, 813	2, 738 334, 731 1, 980, 022
Irrigation	Cubic yards placed   Acres   Miles of flume or canal	9, 990, 075 120, 572 266	1, 980, 022 7, 724, 338 1, 767, 714 2, 553
Electric and communication utilities:			2,000
Powerhouses.	NumberKilowatt capacity	21	150 407
Transmission lines (electric)	IVI Hes	110	153, 497 51
a contract of the second of th	Miles Number of consumer	277	142
Power distribution lines	It connections.	A SAME AND LONG.	2,061
Telephone and telegraph lines.	Miles	905	672
Police and fire-alarm signals.	Number of boxes and signals. Linear feet of line strung.	4226 TO 30374	1.000001112.00
Grounds improvements:		1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Landscaping around public buildings.	Number of buildings		9, 025 22, 434
Miscellaneous landscaping	Acres		3,962
Lighting airports, parking lots, athletic fields, etc.	Number of places lighted. Acres lighted.	276	2, 436
Fountains and ornamental pools.	Number	6, 103 187	2, 436
Fencing Cemetery improvements	Miles	5, 426	6, 804 3, 427
Miscellaneous: Car and railroad track	Miles of single line track.	15	230
Boats and ships	Number	362	690
Fire observation towers	Number	32	6
Paving (other than roads, walks, or runways).	Square yards hard sur- face.	3, 230, 943	761, 897
Tunnels:	Square yards other sur- face.	3, 758, 054	1, 615, 822
Vehicular	Number   Length in feet	1,001	971
Pedestrian	NumberLength in feet	10, 750	2,053
Other	Number Length in feet	135 84, 580	10, 227
Fish hatcheries	NumberAnnual fingerling capac-	336, 195, 700	241, 947, 918
Monuments and historic markers.	ity. Number	394	93
Gas lines (distribution and transmission).	Linear feet Number of consumer	410, 256 1, 402	211, 409 5, 359
	connections.	43, 485	10, 188

Table 8.—Physical accomplishments on projects
Through Oct. 1, 1937

NONCONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES
[Preliminary—subject to revision]

Туре	Unit of measurement	Number or amount
Conservation (not elsewhere classified):		(1) (市。)第二
Reforestation	[Acres	32, 854
	Number of trees planted	13, 453, 639
Fire and forest trails	Miles	1, 227 2, 125
Fire and forest trans	Number of nurseries	625
Plant and tree nurseries	Number of plants or trees planted.	12, 559, 623
The same of the sa	Number of trees trimmed	1, 263, 984
Tree surgery	Number of trees removed	257, 094
Bird and game sanctuaries	Number of sanctuaries estab- lished.	819
Noxious plant eradication	Acres	6, 370, 862
Spray treatments, disease, and in-	[Acres sprayed	548, 164
sect-pest eradication (except mos-	Gallons of spray used	11, 755, 718
quito control).	Tons of poisoned food used	12, 284
Rodent destruction	Number	24, 688, 109
Planting oysters	Acres of bed prepared Bushels planted	59, 013
Food and fuel distributed:	(Busilets planted	2, 633, 143
Milk	Number of quarts	82, 397, 320
Foodstuffs (not elsewhere classified)	Number of pounds	
Wood	Number of cords.	571, 413

TABLE 8 .- Physical accomplishments on projects-Continued

Туре	Unit of measurement	Number or amount
Work in libraries: New branch libraries.	Number established	3, 535
New traveling libraries. Reading rooms in existing libraries Cataloging for existing libraries. Renovation of books—total	Number established Number established Number of volumes cataloged Number	1, 164 4, 502 20, 015, 595 33, 649, 219
Public school volumes Public library volumes Other volumes	Number Number Number	11, 857, 464 15, 824, 692 5, 967, 063
Sewing rooms: Articles made—total	Number	121, 710, 287
Garments—total	Number	95, 028, 273
Men's. Women's. Boys'. Girls'. Infants'	Number Number Number Number Number	17, 107, 605 24, 555, 147 15, 972, 052 21, 518, 995 15, 874, 474
Other articles	Number	26, 682, 014
Canning and preserving School lunches served Medical, dental, and nursing assistance:	Net pounds	36, 303, 817 129, 015, 003
Dental clinics conducted	Number of clinics	283 209, 825 59, 893
Medical clinics conducted	Number of clinics Number of persons examined Number of persons treated Number of clinics	186, 255 132, 375 1, 581
Medical and dental clinics assisted	Number of persons examined	1, 545, 512 768, 349 275, 760 1, 056, 202
Medical examinations other than at clinics.	Number of children examined_ [Number of group inspections	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
Nursing visits	Number of persons inspected Number of home visits made	213, 450 2, 023, 136 2, 450, 133
Nursing aid to clinics  Nursing aid at immunizations	Number of W. P. A. nurses assisting Number of immunizations	3, 053 638, 972
Art: Art classes	Average monthly attendance	55, 231
Drawings, easel paintings, murals, and sculptured works Etchings, lithographs, wood blocks,	Number	2, 525, 432 51, 473 3, 000
Posters	Number of prints	24, 216 25, 484
Arts and crafts	Number of objects Number of plates made Number	469, 204 39, 692 7, 011 822
Music classes  Musical performances	Average monthly attendance [Average number per month] Average monthly attendance	140, 321 4, 549 3, 107, 343
Theater: Theatrical productions Theatrical performances	Number	1, 500 2, 833 1, 043, 478
Writing	Average monthly attendance   Number of books and pam- phlets written	110
Historical surveys:	hlets written	401, 92
Historical American Building Survey.	Number of structures meas- ured	2, 300 16, 24- 17, 480
Historical American Merchant Marine Survey.	Number of drawings made Number of photographs made Number of counties whose records have been listed.	67
Historical Records Survey	Number of county inventories published. Number of towns whose rec-	1,040
	ords have been listed. Number of churches whose records have been listed. Number of agencies whose	40, 45 28, 79
Federal Archives Survey	Number of agencies whose records were surveyed. Linear feet of files surveyed. Number.	4, 876, 46
Research and statistical studies conducted.	Number(Square miles of mapping sur-	1, 02 211, 74
Engineering surveys	vey. Miles of line survey Number of permanent mark-	72, 086 91, 430
MapsIndexing and cataloging	Number of maps drawn Number of items indexed or	89, 68 293, 761, 17
Braille	cataloged. Number of blind persons employed.	27

Table 8 .- Physical accomplishments on projects-Continued

Туре	Unit of measurement	Number or amount	
	Number of Braille pages trans-	1, 245, 674	
Braille	scribed. Number of Braille maps made.	41, 542	
	Number of visits made.	4, 020, 548	
Housekeeping aids	Number of families aided	663, 513	
Assistants in nursery schools	Number provided	957	
	(Number of articles con-	3, 312, 786	
Museum activities	structed or renovated.		
	Number of articles cataloged	5, 228, 029	
Education (month of October 1937)—	(Number of classes	100, 145	
total.	Number of enrollees	1, 144, 689	
	(Control of Control of		
Literacy	(Number of classes	17, 195	
Literacy	Number of enrollees	192, 481	
Vocational	Number of classes	10, 596	
Y OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY O	Number of enrollees	159, 430	
Avocational and leisure time	Number of classes	10, 978	
21 TOCHIOLINI GALLE TOUGHT CHARLEST	Number of enrollees	138, 226	
Parent and homemaking	Number of classes	10, 019 136, 379	
	(Number of classes	1,899	
College level and correspondence	Number of enrollees.		
	(Number of classes	1, 555	
Public affairs	Number of enrollees	27, 249	
	If Number of classes	1.60	
Workers'	Number of enrellees.	27, 72	
General adult	If Number of classes	20, 259	
General addit	Number of enrollees	271, 308	
Nursery schools	Number of classes	1, 481	
available y bolloois-session	Number of enrollees	40, 243 24, 563	
Other	Number of classes.	120, 038	
	(Number of enrollees	120, 000	
Recreation:	Service III and the servic	The state of the s	
Community centers operated	Number	14, 785	
Community centers assisted	Number	7,815	
Public participation in recreational		Branch and	
activities (week ending Aug. 28,			
1937):	Number of postiolness bones	11, 428, 857	
Physical recreation Social recreation	Number of participant hours Number of participant hours	2, 471, 797	
Cultural recreation	Number of participant hours.	2, 285, 304	
Therapeutic recreation	Number of participant hours	134, 253	
Miscellaneous:	Transfer of partitopant hours.	2000	
Archeological excavations.	Number of articles found	419, 657	
Control of the second s	Number of gardens	30, 661	
Gardening	[Acres	7, 972	
Shoe repair	Number of pairs	609, 446	
Furniture repair	Number of articles	879, 288	

Mr. WAGNER. Mr. President, the business people of my State are in favor of this nomination. There has been some suggestion from the other side that business has no confidence in Mr. Hopkins, because he has never had any business experience. As a matter of fact, he has been the administrator of a governmental activity which has had the largest pay roll of any separate entity in the United States. The business people of my State, speaking through Mr. Harriman, have declared emphatically that they have confidence in Mr. Hopkins, and expect that he will make a very efficient Secretary of Commerce. Indeed he has a great opportunity.

Mr. President, in our drive for recovery, we must bring about cooperation among industry, labor, and the Government. I think Mr. Hopkins understands the problems of industry. He understands the problems of the worker. He has had long experience as a Government official, and knows to what extent the Government ought to guide both business and labor in our cooperative activities. For that reason I welcome his appointment as Secretary of Commerce, and I hope that upon confirmation, he will devote himself unsparingly to fostering that cooperation upon which our national well-being so vitally depends.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. Mr. President, I approach this argument in a little different fashion from that in which my predecessors, in speaking upon it, have approached it.

We have before us two records—the record of the Sheppard committee, and the record before the Commerce committee—when considering the confirmation of the nomination of Mr. Hopkins.

It does no good, Mr. President, for men from the recesses of their brains to tell us of the record of Mr. Hopkins in other respects, or for Senators from other States, where there was no question about what transpired, to go into their archives and tell us that there was nothing at all disgraceful, nothing at all reprehensible, in those States.

Before coming to an argument in reference to the particular matters which involve Mr. Hopkins—I do not say they involve his integrity or his honesty, but they involve him politically, in dealing politically with those who had no option, and those who had no power to resist—I desire to settle one question, once and for all, in this body.

It has been argued here by many that the selection of members of the Cabinet is a personal matter of the President, and that we ought not to interfere with any selection that he may make. Upon us devolves the responsibility of approving that selection, and upon us rests the obligation of doing our duty in reference to any particular nomination which may be sent in by the President upon that score.

This is not the first time the same question has been before the Senate. In 1925 an epochal contest was here, a contest involving the appointment of an Attorney General. Upon that contest the lines were very nearly equal; and many Senators argued then, just as it is argued now, that the matter was one for the President to determine, and that when he had determined it, it was not for us to have aught to say, or in any degree to interfere.

I dissent from that view. I dissented from it 13 years ago, when the nomination of Charles Beecher Warren was before this body for confirmation. I dissent from it now, and I will dissent from it every time a man is named to a Cabinet office by the President of the United States.

At that time it was argued elaborately by various persons that we ought not to touch the question; that, having been named by the President, he was sacrosanct; and that we ought not, even though the obligation is constitutionally placed upon us, to touch the question at all. At that time an argument was made by a very distinguished brother of ours, in whom I have the utmost confidence. This is what he said:

Mr. President, can it be argued that we ought to close our eyes, seal our lips, fold our hands, and let this nomination go through without a protest, simply because the nomination is made by the President and that we ought to acquiesce; that it is part of his official family? There is no such thing in law as a President's official family. There is no such thing in law as a Cabinet officer. The Attorney General has under his control enforcement officers in every locality under our flag. It is his duty, as a sort of general controlling an army of prosecutors and marshals and investigators and detectives all over the land, to enforce every Federal law that is on the statute books. His power, his influence for the upholding of law, for the stability of our institutions, goes into every State, into every hamlet, into every Federal court, and even before every Federal commissioner; so that the importance of the office cannot be exaggerated.

We set at rest then by our vote the question whether or not we should act upon a nominee of the President for a Cabinet office. We set it at rest then in a singular fashion, by a vote which was a tie, and in which it was endeavored to bring the then Vice President into the Chamber so that the tie might be unraveled. He could not then be found. However, the situation was such that we settled the question then forever, so far as this argument is concerned. Let me read the vote quickly. I read the names of those who voted "nay" upon that nomination. I am reading, of course, only those who are now here:

Senators Ashurst, Borah, Frazier, George, Harrison, Johnson, King, McKellar, Neely, Norris, Pittman, Sheppard, Shipstead. Walsh, and Wheeler.

All of them voted that the nomination should not be confirmed, and it was not confirmed.

There is another thing in respect to which I dissent from my fellows, and that is concerning the position Mr. Hopkins occupies and concerning the idea that he should be free from any interference by the Senate of the United States in pursuance of its duty. Mr. Hopkins says that he was one of a "team" and that as a member of that "team" he did unhesitatingly and unquestioning everything that might be ordered of him. I dissent from that view, and most emphatically so, for I cannot imagine the kind of individual that will say that he is a member of a "team" and if he is asked to do something at variance with his judgment and his conscience

he will do it notwithstanding his conscience and his judgment. In effect this makes the nominee both conscienceless and without judgment. I have a higher idea of the duty of the individual Senators than that.

I know men who have refused Cabinet appointments because they would not stand in that relation to the appointing power. But Mr. Hopkins says he as a member of that "team" and under any circumstances would be loyal to what the President requested of him. I cannot believe that he means this because he cannot mean that he would do any. thing, no matter what, in his loyalty.

The other day I read an editorial in the Baltimore Sun of January 20 upon that very question. I wish to read to the Senate the editorial. I read it so that it may, if possible, have its influence upon the 3 or 4, 5 or 6, 7 or 8, 9 or 10, 11 or 12 Senators who are going to vote against the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination. I am not reading it in the expectation that it is going to prevent the confirmation of Mr. Hopkins' nomination because I am sufficient of a realist to understand that I am standing here upon my feet exerting myself in a cause which is already defeated, but I do not care a continental damn whether or not that is so, for I know my cause to be right, and, knowing it to be right, I will fight for it here if mine shall be the only adverse vote upon this floor. The editorial in the Baltimore Sun of January 20 reads:

#### FOR THE RECORD

In his speech discussing the qualifications of Mr. Harry L. Hopkins In his speech discussing the qualifications of Mr. Harry L. Hopkins for the Cabinet post for which Mr. Roosevelt has nominated him, Senator Baner, of North Carolina, made a point which deserves more than passing notice. The Senator had been criticizing Mr. Hopkins' notorious participation, as W. P. A. Administrator, in the various partisan undertakings of the President. He then made this comment:

"Mr. Hopkins stated that his course had largely been dictated by a sense of loyalty to the President and that he considered himself a member of the team, having a part to play. No one can complain of his loyalty, but one having the distribution of large sums of public money for the relief of dependent people ought to have considered the impropriety of attempting to influence people touching a question of national policy far removed from the domain of relief."

That is what I speak of—the impropriety of the actions of Mr. Hopkins. Senators who are defending this gentleman who was nominated for the office of Secretary of Commerce may talk until doomsday, and may say that he did this, that, and the other thing in their States, and that he has been found by the people of the United States to be a very good Administrator, and so on; but no living soul, I maintain, can say with absolute accuracy that there was no impropriety in the actions of Mr. Hopkins in dealing with the W. P. A. He does not say so; but Senators who are advocating the confirmation of his nomination say so for him. He does not say so. He says that if he were to travel the road again he would not do the things that he did. He says that if he had it to do over again he would "fire" the men, for instance, in Pennsylvania who were guilty of the wrongs there. He says these things. Senators who are advocating the confirmation of his nomination say, on the contrary, he has done none of them. He admits them, and he says, in so many, many words, that if he had the road again to travel, if again it were put up to him whether he would permit the things that were done, he would not tolerate them, nor make the political speeches he made. He would see that they were stopped.

I read further from the editorial in the Baltimore Sun:

Loyalty, as everyone knows, is one of the major human virtues. Loyalty, as everyone knows, is one of the major human virtues. But there are loyalties and loyalties. A man appointed to be judge clearly owes no loyalty to the Governor or President who appointed him. He owes loyalty only to the law which he is sworn to interpret and enforce. A private secretary, at the other extreme, owes a special kind of loyalty to his employer—a greater loyalty, perhaps, than a Cabinet member owes to his chief.

Mr. Howking, concention of his obligation to Mr. Rooswelt would

Mr. Hopkins' conception of his obligation to Mr. Roosevelt would have been praiseworthy had he been a mere private secretary. It might have been admissible had he been a Cabinet officer. But his position as relief administrator transcended these. He was entrusted with the spending of billions of dollars in public funds, taken from the people of the country as a whole.

Senators on the other side seem to think the public funds that were used by this man were taken from their particular class and their particular party. Not so: the funds were taken from all the people of this land, and should be administered in behalf of all the people of the land.

He dealt, in a very unusual relationship, with millions of unfortunates. His attitude, his policy, stood between them and destitution.

Who could argue that, under such circumstances, Mr. Hopkins was merely a member of Mr. Roosevelt's "team"? Who could argue that if Mr. Roosevelt hinted at it, it was Mr. Hopkins' right and his

that if Mr. Roosevelt hinted at it, it was Mr. Hopkins' right and his duty to use his special power to influence public opinion with relation to a moot question, such as that of packing the Supreme Court? Who could argue that loyalty demanded that he inject himself into primary elections in order to influence the outcome? To ask these questions is to answer them. When Mr. Hopkins announced that he regarded himself, when W. P. A. Administrator, as a member of the President's team, he announced at the same time that he had no fit conception of the job which was entrusted to him. For that job is one in which the loyalty demanded is not to an individual, even if that individual be the President of the United States. The loyalty demanded of an administrator of public United States. The loyalty demanded of an administrator of public relief is loyalty to an abstract principle, call it even-handed justice, call it impartiality, call it humanity, or what you will.

That expresses better than I could my idea of the loyalty owed by Mr. Hopkins to his appointing power, and I will not admit that a single bit of other loyalty rested upon his shoulders than is described in that editorial.

Now, let us consider the evidence for a moment. As we go through the evidence we find permeating Kentucky and Pennsylvania one thing, namely, the use of the power of the Administrator of the W. P. A. He denies it, it is true; but in the next breath he admits that he did wrong, and he would not do it again if he had it to do over. Just follow, sir, for a moment this testimony:

Page 89:

Senator Johnson of California. Those things which they have held to be reprehensible in the W. P. A., you do not controvert or contradict?

Mr. Hopkins. Well, insofar as we are now discussing the individual cases that the Sheppard committee investigated, I am not raising any questions about the accuracy of those reports.

The Members of the Senate know what the reports are. The reports show conclusively that he was mixing, either himself or through his subordinates, in Pennsylvania and in Kentucky, and he was influencing thus the people who came under him. I read further from the testimony:

Senator Johnson of California (referring to Pennsylvania). Anybody could have had that information.

Mr. Hopkins. Not necessarily, Senator. I have known wrongdoing to have gone on for a year before anybody found out about it. Senator Johnson of California. Absolutely. But you do not want to say that that is the excuse for these things in Pennsylvania,

do you? Mr. Hopkins. No, Senator; not at all.

Senator Johnson of California. I thought you were. Mr. Hopkins. No, Senator; I am not. I certainly do not like so many of the things that have gone on in Pennsylvania.

Yet Senators stand here and split hairs as to what Hopkins did. The whole testimony reeks with just that sort of denial, and it is only that sort of denial that arises in relation to what occurred.

I quote another excerpt from the testimony:

Page 83:

Mr. HOPKINS. I stopped it hundreds of times. Senator Johnson of California. But you did not stop it in Pennsylvania or Kentucky?

Mr. Hopkins. Well, Senator, despite the people I fired throughout the country, I did not stop it. It had happened before I found it out, and then I took the action that I thought was necessary. I do not know whether anybody could stop all these things from happening in the first instance. Because, after all, American politics has a long history and tradition, and most of you know the way the local political organization works in America, and it does way the local political organization works in America, and it does not consider some of the things necessarily bad, that you or I might consider bad—such as selling tickets. I mean to say that that has been done in many places.

Senator Johnson of California. Do not lay the emphasis so much on tickets, though.

Mr. Hopkins. Or getting money or making contributions or telling somebody that, "You have to give 2 percent of your salary."

Senator Johnson of California. Or bitting a lot of truthern for

Senator Johnson of California. Or hitting a lot of truckmen for \$100 apiece?

Mr. HOPKINS. That is right. I think it is reprehensible, and there is not one redeeming feature in it.

And there was not. Talk as you will about New York State. New York State is free from wrong, so our friends

from New York say. They may be right. So other States are free from wrong; but the States that were mentioned were not free from wrong, and that statement rests upon the admission of Hopkins.

I wish I had the power adequately to paint the sewing circle there in Pennsylvania. "With fingers weary and worn, with eyelids heavy and red," there sat those old women in Pennsylvania, sewing, sewing; sewing; and then they were dragooned into taking three, four, or five hundred tickets. The tickets were thrown at them. "Take these tickets! Take these tickets, you, and buy them!" And they reached into their frayed and worn purses, and they dug out a dollar, a dollar and a half, two dollars apiece, and paid for some five-hundred-and-odd tickets that were thus sent to themthey, the worst people on the face of the earth from whom to demand such an outlay! It was shameful; it was disgraceful; and no amount of saying now that "I am sorry I did it, and I would not do it again if I had it to do over again"not a whole roomful of that sort of thing mouthed by everybody here who is for Harry Hopkins and for that sort of thing can cram it down the throats of three or four or five or six of us, and convince us that that is the right or decent thing to do, and his toleration of it cannot be defended.

Thus it goes all along the line. "I am thinking now just of

Thus it goes all along the line. "I am thinking now just of human beings." How I have heard that expression echoed down the corridors of this Chamber! "I am thinking just of human beings, men, women, and children. I am thinking just of these human beings who, through no fault of their own, have had the misfortune to be put upon a W. P. A. roll. I am thinking of them." You may talk to me forever without making any future impression about being for men, women, and children, and the submerged one-third that are "ill-clad, ill-housed, and hungry"; but, Senators, when you came to deal with them in the most precious possession they had, the right to vote, you said to them, "Take these tickets, \$1.50, \$2, if you will, and do as you are told to do on election day."

I tell you, Mr. President, it makes one's blood run cold. Oh, it does not make the blood run cold of some of you over there. It would not touch you if I were to call the roll of those who died because of it. But if the things are true that Hopkins admits to be true-and he does admit it-then it is time for us to put our stamp of disapproval upon it. You cannot condone it and you cannot approve it. You cannot condone it and you cannot approve it, no matter how much eloquence may be wasted in this body, no matter how many people may tell you that those things are things which always were done in politics. It may be that they were. I have not met with that kind of politics. If it were done in my State in my behalf. I would repudiate it so quickly that I would make the man who did it suffer for it; and I will not act in a different way in regard to this great man Hopkins, around whose head we put a halo here today, and around whom we have drawn the mystic circle, and one of us has said in substance he is a greater man than the Saviour Himself. Just think of it. This man has proven himself in this way upon the record that is before the Senate of dealing with these people in the manner I have described.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair may be permitted to inform the Senator from California that he has 5 minutes more under the limitation.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. Very well, sir. I am sorry I have but 5 minutes. I wish I had the time that I ought to have occupied at the last session upon this nomination. I do not like to talk long. I do not like to get up here and make a speech of this sort, or a speech of any sort, now. I make it because it is my duty to make it. I make it because it is the way I have thought all my life. I make it because when Republicans were in power I fought nominees of the President of the United States whom I thought to be unfit. I make it because I want to stop this thing with the W. P. A. and with the poor people of the country. I repeat, in the language of the great man I have quoted before, I speak, I think, of human beings alone.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed at the end of my remarks an article by Raymond Clapper relative to Mr. Hopkins. There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the Washington Daily News of January 16, 1939]

HOPKINS VERSUS HOPKINS (By Raymond Clapper)

Frequently during this administration men connected with it have retired from their New Deal positions only to reappear in the public eye as energetic floggers of the regime with which they had been connected. They find that policies which once seemed pure as the driven snow are, when seen from outside the administration, somewhat smeared with soot. There is no critic more severe and exacting than the New Deal officeholder who has put away his halo and gone back to the world of sin. His is sharper than a Hoover's tooth.

In a sense, Harry Hopkins has outdone them all. Whereas office-holders have stepped out and immediately cocked their blunder-busses at others in the New Deal, Hopkins, not a man to do things by halves, has taken to shooting at himself. Former Relief Administrator Hopkins has become a most penetrating and construc-

tive critic of Relief Administrator Hopkins.

Former Relief Administrator Hopkins disapproves of the political speeches made by Relief Administrator Hopkins last summer. Former Relief Administrator Hopkins thinks that Relief Administrator Hopkins was wrong last summer in not firing Kentucky relief employees who were playing politics. Former Relief Administrator Hopkins thinks the Senate made a mistake last summer when it voted down the Hatch amendment to prohibit political use of relief, whereas Relief Administrator Hopkins, who was expressing his views on various political matters at the time, remained silent when a word from him would have forced the Senate to adopt the Hatch proposal.

No one predicted that this boon would result from taking Hopkins out of W. P. A. Looking at relief from the outside, he is now its most constructive critic, and he is giving the force of his endorsement, which is considerable because of his experience ability, to efforts in Congress to fumigate relief of its political odors. As an ex post facto administrator of relief, Hopkins is setting a standard that his successors can well strive to reach.

Hopkins has thus helped to prepare a receptive atmosphere for the recommendations of the Byrnes committee, which proposes pulling relief and reemployment activities together into a new Department of Public Welfare, placing them on a permanent basis as far out of reach of partisan politics as is possible with this sort of activity. Relief activities would be coordinated and tied in with public works, unemployment compensation, and larger assistance to the aged and incapacitated. The Byrnes committee also will seek to include in the pending temporary relief appropriation bill safeguards against political manipulation of relief.

The House bill omitted any provision for introducing civil service into the relief organization. If a new permanent relief set-up is to be ordered by Congress, the present omission of civil-service provisions in the temporary relief appropriation is of small importance.

small importance.

Roosevelt had, by Executive order, prepared to blanket into civil-service status, existing employees of W. P. A. on February 1. The House objected to freezing political appointees into W. P. A. by this method and vetoed the whole scheme but neglected to provide any substitute in the form of civil-service examinations. Some 35,000 jobs are involved. Those jobs ought to be placed on a nonpolitical career basis.

Also, State relief administrators now have to be confirmed by the Senate, which makes senatorial patronage out of those jobs and makes them as political as the old postmasterships. Senators insisted upon the right of confirmation, fearing that otherwise the relief administrators might become hostile political forces. Those administrators, too, ought to be nonpolitical career men.

Anyway, former Relief Administrator Hopkins shows by what a simple process objective and constructive criticism can be obtained. Take a New Dealer off his job and start him talking. It would be really getting somewhere if Roosevelt, after leaving the White House, became a newspaper columnist and started telling what he thought was wrong with the New Deal. That would be something.

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I hesitate to detain the Senate longer in the consideration of this nomination, but I shall occupy the time of the Senate for a few moments, not only because I deem it my duty to do so but because I want to do so.

I hope that in what I shall say I may be free from emotion, and free from any unfairness toward anybody; but we are dealing here, and must continue to deal longer than most of us would desire, with a subject that is intensely human. That subject is the relationship of government to those of its citizens who are unfortunate enough not to be able, by their own efforts or their own opportunities, to enjoy the share of whatever economic prosperity or wealth there is in the Nation, which under other circumstances they would be permitted to enjoy.

We have been engaging here during the past 6 or 7 years in what has come to be popularly known as relief. We started out in the beginning under the delusion that the Federal Government could loan money to the States, and thereby escape its responsibility of administration; and for a while we harbored the delusion that that money loaned to the States would be returned. We soon discovered the fiction of that delusion; and the Government, by gradual steps with which we are all familiar, took over the responsibility of relief and work on a national scale. Mr. Hopkins became the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Relief Administration, referred to as the F. E. R. A. Gradually that developed into the C. W. A., the Civil Works Administration, which finally evolved into the W. P. A., the Works Progress Administration.

During the entire time during which Mr. Hopkins has been the Administrator of F. E. R. A., and C. W. A., and W. P. A., there have been expended \$9,357,076,000. During that time between 225,000 and 250,000 separate projects have been prosecuted and completed under the W. P. A. and the C. W. A. under the administration of Mr. Hopkins. During the life of the Works Progress Administration 6,780,000 different persons have worked throughout the United States on projects that were conducted under the supervision of

the W. P. A. and Mr. Hopkins.

I ask any Senator here who opposes this nomination, or who opposes the program of the W. P. A., to point to a single one of these 250,000 projects in connection with which there has been discovered a dollar's worth of graft under the administration of Harry Hopkins. These projects have consisted of the erection of schoolhouses all over this Nation. They have consisted of the construction of playgrounds and parks and highways. They have consisted of the rejuvenation and revival of historic sites of one kind and another all over the Nation in order to perpetuate in the minds of coming generations the deeds of heroism of those who have gone before. They have consisted of all kinds of worthy projects.

I have seen some of these projects. Driving through the mountains of Kentucky I have stopped in the middle of the road to observe a three-room or four-room modern brick and stone schoolhouse standing hard by a one-room log cabin, the sort of schoolhouse in which our people in those sections have been educated from generation to generation. I have seen hundreds of more commodious buildings all over the country.

In all the debate over the character of Harry Hopkins as a person and over the character of his administration as a public officer I have heard no reference made to these evidences of permanent improvement, to the enrichment of our social and economic lives in not only every county but in almost every village and hamlet and throughout every countryside over this Nation, in connection with no one of which has anybody charged, nor can anyone prove, that a dollar of graft went to anyone in charge of these projects or this

program, or to anyone who worked upon them.

Since the 4th of March 1933, 6,780,000 men have been employed under the jurisdiction of Mr. Hopkins, and the newspapers of the Nation which have been unfriendly to this administration, and which have sought to find something which could be the basis of a charge of misconduct, have gone out with spyglasses and with magnifying instruments of all kinds to find a peg on which to hang a hat indicating corruption or incompetence. Then, in an unfortunate statement made by Mr. Hopkins in the spring of 1938, he said that if he were still a citizen of Iowa-having once been a citizen of that State-he would vote for the opponent of our friend and colleague, the Senator from Iowa [Mr. GILLETTE]. I said at the time Mr. Hopkins made that statement, and I now say, that it was an indiscreet statement, that it was a mistake for him to have made it, it was an improper statement for him to have made. Aside from the merits of any contest in which the Senator from Iowa, for whom all of us have the highest respect and esteem, was a candidate, the statement was an indiscretion, it was improper, whether it was deliberate or casual, as at the time I thought it to have been. The Senator from Iowa, however, believed it was deliberate. Whether it was deliberate or casual, it ought not to have been made. I venture the suggestion that if it had not been made the Sheppard committee never would have been authorized by an amendment to go into the activities of the W. P. A. The Sheppard committee having already been appointed to investigate campaign expenditures in the various senatorial races. the statement that was made by Mr. Hopkins created such a furor on the floor of the Senate that it resulted in the amendment of the resolution under which the Sheppard committee was appointed, involving an investigation of the activities of the W. P. A. in senatorial contests.

As the result of the activities of the Sheppard committee, a report has been brought here in which the committee criticizes the activities of certain subordinate officers of the W. P. A. in three States-Tennessee, Pennsylvania, and Kentucky. In its report the Sheppard committee was just enough and fair enough to say that although they discovered some activities in Kentucky that were reprehensible, I had no knowledge of them, and was in no way responsible for them. The Sheppard committee could have made no other sort of report, because if they had had all of their investigators in Kentucky, and if they had remained there until now, and should stay there another year, they could not have produced and could not now produce any evidence that could have fastened upon me either knowledge of or responsibility for the things which they criticized in the State of

Reference has been made in the report of the committee and in the debate on the floor of the Senate to the report made by a very lovable and estimable newspaper reporter who represented the Scripps-Howard press of an investigation he made in the State of Kentucky. I refer to Mr. Thomas L. Stokes. I know Mr. Stokes. We all know him. He is an estimable man, and I think wanted to be fair. I do not think the fact that that particular chain of newspapers was hoping for my defeat and in their paper in the State of Kentucky opposed me vigorously day by day in their news columns and their editorials had any effect on

the kind of report Mr. Stokes made to his paper.

At that time there were 60,000 people on the rolls of the W. P. A. in Kentucky, and after spending weeks in the State, Mr. Stokes found that 22 people had been guilty of conduct which he thought was subject to criticism, and he wrote about them in his papers. Those cases were immediately referred to Mr. Hopkins, and he did what every department of this Government will do under such circumstances; he sent his investigators to the particular localities involved to interview the people concerned and to investigate the charges which had been made in these newspaper articles. The same course had been pursued by the Post Office Department and is pursued day by day and year by year whenever charges are made against a postal employee. The same practice is followed by every other department when charges are made against its personnel. It sends its investigating force to look into the charges and make a

By inference and by implication Mr. Hopkins is criticized here because he pursued that policy, because he sent his own investigators instead of going into some other department and getting theirs to investigate these cases. The result of his investigation was that, out of 22, 2 of the charges were sustained, and 20 of them were declared not sustained. I do not know what evidence the committee has in addition to that which was gathered by Mr. Hopkins' investigators. It is not very important. The committee says that Mr. Hopkins was wrong, that 18 of the charges were sustained instead

of 2.

Not only these newspapers, which were, I suppose, interested in the result in Kentucky, not on account of my importance, but because in the position I occupy temporarily I was a sort of symbol in the State of Kentucky of the New Deal fight, but other newspaper writers here in Washington have undertaken to create the impression that if it had not been for corruption and intimidation and coercion and reprehensible conduct of someone in connection with W. P. A.,

I would have not been returned to the Senate of the United States.

Mr. President, so far as the people of Kentucky are concerned, I do not have to defend my record on the floor of the Senate. The first office I ever held was that of prosecuting attorney, to which I was elected when I was 26 years of age. At the end of 4 years in that office I was elected a judge without opposition.

Before my 4-year term had expired I had been elected to Congress to represent a great district of that State in the House of Representatives. And although I had a fight in my first campaign, for 14 years I was returned without oppo-

sition to the House of Representatives.

In 1926 I was nominated, without opposition, for United States Senator from the State of Kentucky. At the end of a 6-year term I was renominated, carrying 112 counties out of 120, and was reelected in 1932.

In 1938 I was confronted with the opposition of the chief executive of my State, a popular and colorful young man who had been able to build up a political organization which was the strongest and the most nearly invulnerable that ever existed in the State of Kentucky. In my campaign for renomination I had to fight that organization, with all those on the State pay roll who were openly assessed from 2 to 5 percent of their salaries in order to raise a campaign fund, and the Sheppard committee reports that the portion of that fund collected from men and women who were employed and paid wholly or in part out of the Federal Treasury, amounted to \$70,000. That included men who were working on the highways, where the Federal Government was helping to pay the expense. That included old-age pension agents in every county, who drew half their salary out of the Federal Treasury. That included the personnel of the unemployment service, every dollar of whose salary was paid out of Washington, not a dollar of which was contributed by the State of Kentucky.

I do not wish to rehash the primary campaign in Kentucky; but in view of the unfair and in some cases deliberate misrepresentations of those who have tried to paint my nomination and election with the infamy of imputed wrong, I have a right to speak. As I said here the other day when interrupting another Senator, for 30 years I have been holding public office because I have been chosen by the people of my State and my communities. I never bought a vote nor authorized a man or woman to buy a vote in my behalf. I never put up a dollar in all my life to buy a vote. I do not believe in it. All my life I have stood for fair and honorable elections in Kentucky and offended and made enemies of some of my own party associates when I fought for a direct primary in order that the people might vote their choice, instead of having their choices manipulated in political conventions. And whatever the outside world thinks, knowing, as I think I do, what the people of Kentucky think, regardless of what the people anywhere else may think, I do not propose either for unfriendly newspapers or for unfriendly colleagues of my own here, if there are any, to smear that record that I have made over a period of 30 years in order to find a pretense for voting against Harry Hopkins for Secretary of Commerce.

About all the compensation we get here in this body is perhaps the delusion that we are rendering a public service and the reputation that we are able to build up in the performance of that service. There are no financial rewards that we can honorably take here that could not be infinitely multiplied on the outside by the exercise of only half of our energy or our care or our diligence in the performance of some private duty.

Mr. President, what are the facts? The statement has been made here in this debate that because the pay rolls of the W. P. A. were increased in 1938 we are automatically to conclude that the increase was due to politics. Who made that increase possible? Not Harry Hopkins. Not Franklin D. Roosevelt, who is the ultimate target of all this denunciation-and you need not doubt that for a moment. Harry Hopkins did not make possible the increase in the W. P. A. pay roll in 1938. Franklin D. Roosevelt did not make it possible. The Congress of the United States bears the responsibility for that, for they increased the amount that might be expended for W. P. A. labor over that of 1937 because, whatever you call it, whether it was a recession or whether it was a depression, as described by those whose minds dwell in venom-whether it was a depression or a recession-it began in the latter part of 1937; and the number of those unemployed began to increase in the latter part of 1937, and that condition continued over into 1938. As a result of the increased unemployment of this Nation the House of Representatives and the Senate increased the amount of money appropriated for public works in the Nation, and if Mr. Hopkins had not expended that money by the employment of more men and women he would have been subject to and would have received the just condemnation of the Congress of the United States and the country.

So it is not true that because of the increase in the W. P. A. rolls in 1938, politics is to be inferred. But if politics is to be inferred, then I say that in every State in the Union the increase of employees was determined by the need for work on the W. P. A. and in connection with other programs of the States of this Nation by reason of unemployment.

I have before me, Mr. President, tabulated figures for both 1937 and 1938 concerning the number of those on the W. P. A. rolls in every State in the Union, and I ask unanimous consent that they may be printed in the Record here as part of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate has heard the request of the Senator from Kentucky. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

The figures are as follows:

Employment on Works Progress Administration operated projects for the year 1937

	By States and by months for week ending—											
Region and State	Jan. 30	Feb. 27	Mar. 27	Apr. 24	May 29	June 26	July 31	Aug. 28	Sept. 25	Oct. 30	Nov. 20	Dec. 25
Region I:  Connecticut  Maine  Massachusetts  New Hampshire  New York City  New York (exclusive of  New York City)  Rhode Island  Vermont	18, 847 7, 290 92, 459 7, 736 187, 666 74, 999 10, 088 3, 769	18, 376 7, 572 91, 389 7, 875 183, 326 78, 469 10, 619 4, 003	18, 300 7, 543 90, 779 7, 386 180, 296 80, 591 11, 377 3, 976	17, 775 6, 307 89, 557 7, 092 175, 944 79, 012 11, 112 3, 980	17, 746 5, 376 88, 849 6, 610 179, 644 72, 071 11, 932 3, 384	17, 364 3, 048 79, 583 5, 926 177, 204 66, 939 11, 414 2, 907	15, 549 2, 283 59, 779 5, 283 144, 382 60, 131 9, 283 2, 182	13, 343 2, 187 60, 748 4, 509 138, 007 57, 053 8, 969 1, 889	13, 387 2, 429 61, 322 4, 477 140, 870 54, 179 8, 887 1, 919	13, 894 2, 617 61, 332 4, 767 139, 852 52, 117 9, 160 2, 140	14, 371 3, 483 62, 727 5, 133 135, 705 53, 002 9, 796 2, 467	16, 890 4, 441 70, 728 5, 707 137, 724 52, 446 13, 150 3, 401
Total	402, 854	401, 629	400, 248	390, 779	385, 612	364, 385	298, 872	286, 705	287, 470	285, 879	286, 684	304, 487
Region II:  Delaware District of Columbia Maryland New Jersey Pennsylvania West Virginia	2, 119 6, 754 12, 815 74, 038 223, 458 39, 205	2, 142 6, 517 13, 730 73, 976 219, 072 37, 498	2, 101 6, 601 12, 740 74, 537 215, 288 37, 381	2, 033 6, 691 12, 190 74, 629 203, 953 36, 531	2, 026 6, 720 11, 727 73, 481 195, 122 36, 001	1, 931 6, 523 10, 760 67, 396 179, 738 32, 059	1, 826 5, 904 9, 361 61, 374 157, 184 28, 759	1, 666 5, 590 9, 064 55, 734 152, 582 27, 450	1, 664 5, 477 8, 517 56, 600 148, 297 26, 958	1, 686 5, 625 8, 874 58, 299 157, 414 27, 045	1, 796 5, 764 8, 801 56, 946 157, 971 27, 902	1, 985 5, 956 9, 883 57, 948 158, 982 28, 806
Total	358, 389	352, 935	348, 648	336, 027	325, 077	298, 407	264, 408	252, 086	247, 513	258, 943	259, 180	263, 560

Employment on Works Progress Administration operated projects for the year 1937—Continued

three to means to the love	By States and by months for week ending—											
Region and State	Jan. 30	Feb. 27	Mar. 27	Apr. 24	May 29	June 26	July 31	Aug. 28	Sept. 25	Oct. 30	Nov. 20	Dec. 25
Region III:	-	VOLUME !	rist sings	S. Salest	H. B	a facility in	151/ II/ (S)	116 - 1 113	nii a		Brade (	A. John
Alabama	28, 035	27, 699	27,070	25, 927	27, 394	20, 668	21, 357	18, 498	18, 280	20, 681	22, 075	24, 896
Arkansas	24, 817	32, 260	25, 989	26, 684	27, 070	23, 653	19, 911	19, 942	17, 987	18, 758	19, 418	21, 057
Florida	22, 424	23, 641	24, 086	23, 816	25, 548	25, 356	23, 852	23, 486	22, 956	22, 917	23, 194	24, 140
Georgia	32, 845	32, 334	29, 458	29, 496	28,774	23, 761	22,778	22, 207	20, 676	21, 421	21, 904	25, 755
Louisiana	32, 631	36, 098	30, 758	32, 374	30, 779	26, 145	22, 889	21, 919	22, 182	21, 699	22, 578	24, 360
Louisiana Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee	23, 732	22, 132	21,990	23, 021	22, 429	17, 892	16, 902	15, 935	15, 905	16, 954	18, 040	20, 191
North Carolina	27, 346	26, 751	25, 127	24, 826	24, 495	22, 670	19, 673	19, 135	18, 829	18, 842	20, 045	22, 366
South Carolina	23, 898	22, 650	22, 331 28, 375	21, 924	20, 914	19, 572	17, 303	16, 637	15, 190	15, 366	17, 359	19, 080
Tennessee	30, 589	30, 058	28, 375	26, 636	25, 989	23, 342	20, 767	19, 183	18, 644	18, 709	20, 453	21, 327
TexasVirginia	74, 918	76, 018	77, 711	79, 242	77, 618	68, 213	59, 296	52, 100	43, 184	41, 726	44, 860	55, 200
Virginia	22, 829	23, 344	23, 036	22, 666	19, 913	19, 284	17, 546	16, 557	16, 377	16, 418	16, 987	18, 204
Total	344, 064	352, 985	335, 931	336, 612	330, 923	290, 556	262, 274	245, 596	230, 210	233, 491	246, 913	276, 576
Region IV: Illinois. Indiana.	de la colonia			de Silvania	1543 F-114			0.00	Magwer :	105,000,000,000	IEE BIIWY	THE XULE
Dinois	153, 558	150, 266	148, 075	150, 017	146, 456	132, 188	112, 231	107, 810	104, 470	103, 612	106, 926	108, 413
Indiana	62, 268	64, 303	65, 773	63 081	58 486	54, 143	45, 227	42, 261	40, 968	41, 756	42, 686	45, 441
	24, 494	25, 498	24, 344	63, 081 22, 902	58, 486 21, 161	19, 642	17, 244	16, 083	16, 323	16, 541	16, 957	18, 425
Kansas Kentucky Michigan Minnesota Missouri	39, 636	37, 925	36, 355	37, 699	35, 552	30, 704	25, 464	24, 169	24, 650	25, 438	25, 864	26, 953
Kentueky	47, 139	49, 662	47, 482	47, 766	47, 301	41, 321	37, 590	36, 518	35, 285	36, 570	37, 458	39, 652
Michigan	65, 894	65, 550	61, 798	58, 546	54, 212	50, 514	44, 915	43, 763	35, 285 42, 472	42, 294	37, 458 42, 824	46, 756
Minnesota	44, 477	46,774	46, 802	43, 154	39, 775	37, 999	35, 167	31, 417	31, 295	33, 043	35, 482	36, 717
Missouri	81, 328	83, 510	79, 503	77, 972	72, 095	64, 656	56, 814	49, 886	48, 953	48, 616	48, 453	51, 539
Nebraska North Dakota	21, 758	24, 003	22, 321	21, 639	21, 619	19,058	16, 207	16, 685	16, 431	17, 544	18, 855	19, 916
North Dakota	17, 316	17, 552	16, 444	15, 154	12, 124	11, 943	10, 109	8, 292	9, 113	10,480	11, 719	12, 865
Ohio	131, 225	128, 101	124, 177	119, 734	115, 989	99,000	88, 032	83, 860	84, 207	85, 088	87, 827	91, 694
OhioSouth Dakota	19,049	19, 327	19, 487	16,999	14, 210	13, 764	9, 476	9, 016	11, 297	12, 935	14, 799	16, 054
Wisconsin	48, 781	51, 542	50, 793	47, 025	43, 949	42, 063	36, 655	34, 917	33, 215	34, 366	34, 826	38, 855
Total	756, 923	764, 013	743, 354	721, 688	682, 929	616, 959	535, 131	504, 677	498, 679	508, 283	524, 676	553, 280
Region V:		Table Control		SUCCESSION OF						Contract of	1	
Region V: Arizona	7, 514	7.925	8, 255	8, 721	8, 485	7,682	6, 590	6, 387	6, 391	6, 483	6, 519	7, 038
California	105, 264	103, 976	105, 235	106, 504	108, 417	98, 605	80, 476	73, 185	68, 709	66, 237	68, 531	73, 346
Colorado	23, 339	24, 603	25, 550	24, 730	20, 701	19, 837	18, 379	15, 499	15, 257	15, 593	17, 037	19, 053
	m oro	7,858	7, 761	7, 156	5, 425	4,700	4, 293	4, 024	4, 146	4, 286	5, 509	7, 628
Montana	12,004	11, 889	11, 548	12,069	10, 274	9, 387	8,070	9, 310	10, 813	11, 983	12, 339	13, 543
Nevada	2, 128	2, 467 8, 245	2, 082	1,964	1,993	1, 441	1, 237	1,051	1,038	1,355	1,488	1, 78
New Mexico	7, 799	8, 245	8, 834	8, 319	8, 506	8, 244	6, 789	6, 455	6, 405	6, 113	5, 938	6, 39
Oregon	15, 446	15, 994	15, 846	14, 413	14, 370	13, 206	12, 400	9,824	9,067	9, 699	10,868	12, 136
Utah	8, 211	8, 119	8, 654	8, 106	7, 622	7, 511	6, 608	6, 257	6, 434	6, 164	6, 400	7, 388
Washington	33, 120	30, 082	31, 189	32, 390	29, 183	25, 508	21, 420	20, 085	19, 560	21, 351	24, 457	31, 741
Montana Nevada New Mexico Oregon Utah Washington Wyoming	2, 924	2, 942	2, 931	2,772	2, 413	2, 365	2,026	1, 736	1,731	1,694	1, 935	2, 621
Total	225, 001	224, 100	227, 885	227, 144	217, 389	198, 486	168, 288	153, 813	149, 551	150, 958	161, 021	182, 669
Oklahoma	46, 680	45, 798	54, 777	54, 416	53, 538	48, 651	41,766	37, 575	37, 194	37, 197	38, 704	46, 171
United States total	2, 133, 911	2, 141, 460	2, 110, 843	2, 066, 666	1, 995, 468	1, 817, 480	1, 570, 739	1, 480, 452	1, 450, 617	1, 474, 751	1, 517, 178	1, 626, 743
AlaskaHawaii	4, 148	4, 102	3, 957	3, 744	3, 801	3, 658	2, 172	2, 242	13 2, 244	13 2, 527	2, 549	2, 518
	Link a servenie	2, 145, 562	2, 114, 800	2, 070, 410	1, 999, 269	1, 821, 151	1, 572, 924	1, 482, 707	1, 452, 874	1, 477, 291	1, 519, 740	1, 629, 26

# Employment on Works Progress Administration operated projects for the year 1938

close to transmit the albita	By States and by months for week ending—											
Region and State	Jan. 29	Feb. 26	Mar. 26	Apr. 30	May 28	June 25	July 30	Aug. 27	Sept. 24	Oct. 29	Nov. 26	Dec. 24
Region I: Connecticut	20, 247 7, 670 80, 930 7, 323 138, 750	20, 879 7, 943 84, 960 7, 309 143, 797	21, 310 7, 314 97, 708 8, 285 151, 788	22, 268 7, 897 107, 047 8, 449 159, 217	23, 551 8, 008 105, 433 8, 366 164, 727	25, 497 8, 338 110, 167 8, 761 170, 082	27, 623 8, 827 119, 823 8, 928 173, 300	28, 723 9, 304 125, 345 9, 475 172, 245	29, 701 8, 575 125, 533 9, 547 179, 595	31, 581 10, 131 130, 829 13, 607 183, 656	32, 341 10, 806 129, 305 13, 418 184, 085	28, 448 10, 226 126, 057 10, 928 178, 412
York City) Rhode Island Vermont	52, 307 13, 072 4, 603	52, 819 12, 570 4, 941	52, 659 12, 723 5, 101	54, 672 13, 728 5, 090	56, 588 14, 283 4, 983	58, 553 15, 080 5, 030	63, 363 16, 073 5, 496	64, 424 16, 490 5, 832	64, 648 16, 966 5, 964	65, 412 18, 084 9, 992	66, 229 17, 658 9, 242	64, 010 16, 385 7, 992
Total	324, 902	335, 218	356, 888	378, 368	385, 939	401, 508	423, 433	431, 838	440, 529	463, 292	463, 084	442, 458
Region II: Delaware District of Columbia Maryland New Jersey Pennsylvania West Virginia	2, 457 6, 854 11, 085 70, 946 183, 962 33, 825	2, 578 7, 042 11, 442 71, 973 193, 247 35, 314	2, 996 7, 960 12, 021 78, 935 217, 156 40, 547	3, 282 7, 998 12, 286 91, 161 227, 635 42, 932	3, 312 8, 215 12, 629 90, 499 244, 836 43, 134	3, 634 8, 626 13, 064 91, 563 253, 684 47, 786	3, 783 12, 311 14, 972 99, 325 273, 897 50, 906	3, 748 12, 242 14, 786 102, 023 267, 989 51, 667	3, 519 12, 589 15, 650 105, 177 272, 565 52, 752	4, 038 13, 072 16, 423 107, 261 287, 635 53, 044	4, 023 12, 698 15, 619 108, 112 286, 602 53, 117	3, 788 12, 453 15, 323 101, 002 259, 097 49, 953
Total	309, 129	321, 596	359, 615	385, 294	402, 625	418, 357	455, 194	452, 455	462, 252	481, 473	480, 171	441, 616
Region III: Alabama Arkansas. Florida. Georgia Louisiana Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee Texas Virginia.	28, 038 32, 518 27, 986 23, 803 26, 101 27, 176 26, 155 64, 923	30, 857 30, 576 28, 372 33, 912 30, 320 26, 131 28, 804 27, 173 28, 413 70, 963 21, 590	36, 185 33, 351 31, 241 38, 833 31, 120 29, 719 31, 145 30, 115 30, 990 76, 330 22, 716	40, 159 35, 787 33, 006 42, 963 31, 607 31, 616 33, 768 32, 429 32, 139 80, 937 23, 101	43, 169 36, 042 35, 303 45, 359 31, 682 33, 425 35, 330 34, 044 32, 735 81, 087 23, 389	45, 697 37, 595 36, 369 48, 022 33, 391 35, 704 37, 371 34, 941 35, 366 81, 494 24, 080	46, 896 40, 303 39, 218 51, 431 38, 517 36, 637 42, 058 37, 593 39, 679 86, 103 25, 154	55, 620 47, 722 50, 326 55, 822 44, 023 42, 573 45, 945 41, 714 45, 189 90, 828 26, 359	60, 564 47, 756 52, 105 58, 147 48, 274 39, 932 48, 260 46, 114 46, 242 91, 679 27, 237	63, 468 54, 695 55, 016 71, 093 52, 330 54, 112 52, 077 56, 844 48, 767 106, 753 27, 794	64, 305 54, 702 53, 287 70, 788 54, 649 49, 279 55, 143 50, 718 55, 558 112, 967 28, 744	61, 089 48, 951 51, 192 59, 540 52, 198 47, 380 54, 918 41, 069 54, 768 107, 288 28, 253
Total	333, 322	357, 111	391, 745	417, 512	431, 565	450, 030	483, 589	546, 121	566, 310	642, 949	649, 240	606, 649

Employment on Works Progress Administration operated projects for the year 1938-Continued

		By States and by months for week ending—										
Region and State	Jan. 29	Feb. 26	Mar. 26	Apr. 30	May 28	June 25	July 30	Aug. 27	Sept. 24	Oct. 29	Nov. 26	Dec. 24
Region IV: Illinois Indiana Lowa Kansas Kentucky Michigan Minnesota Missouri Nebraska North Dakota Ohio South Dakota Wisconsin	41, 612 64, 749 42, 938 60, 888 23, 247	149, 276 64, 991 26, 376 34, 109 43, 800 86, 356 48, 561 65, 123 27, 227 14, 786 150, 030 17, 474 52, 352	194, 687 84, 468 30, 594 35, 612 48, 285 120, 156 57, 696 85, 215 28, 202 14, 870 196, 168 17, 402 64, 390	206, 355 93, 087 33, 490 36, 232 55, 986 146, 704 60, 776 92, 350 28, 951 13, 439 230, 637 15, 279 71, 206	217, 367 92, 869 33, 522 35, 918 60, 389 175, 790 62, 104 97, 159 28, 055 13, 124 238, 609 15, 374 71, 755	223, 980 94, 308 33, 907 34, 518 63, 588 185, 109 61, 861 101, 996 28, 825 13, 294 249, 318 15, 759 72, 849	241, 270 99, 572 33, 753 35, 062 69, 558 192, 749 64, 912 112, 834 28, 426 13, 783 267, 224 10, 689 78, 399	246, 678 99, 781 33, 804 36, 391 71, 646 203, 446 65, 582 112, 508 28, 535 12, 933 269, 348 12, 518 80, 482	252, 381 98, 209 33, 858 37, 334 70, 736 191, 115 67, 653 110, 543 30, 276 14, 391 282, 523 15, 118 83, 283	257, 108 101, 374 33, 816 38, 037 70, 999 165, 264 29, 812 16, 885 285, 442 17, 073 85, 921	252, 373 98, 575 33, 206 38, 144 69, 431 154, 108 68, 844 111, 022 30, 050 16, 223 274, 712 17, 524 85, 297	242, 455 88, 203 31, 183 35, 833 65, 927 145, 386 66, 177 108, 266 27, 353 14, 618 262, 714 15, 485 78, 466
Total	663, 662	780, 461	977, 745	1, 084, 492	1, 143, 035	1, 179, 312	1, 248, 329	1, 274, 652	1, 287, 420	1, 286, 667	1, 249, 509	1, 182, 052
Region V: Arizona California, total	7, 911 79, 980	7, 642 86, 526	8, 554 91, 649	9, 493 94, 688	9, 661 94, 999	10, 084 94, 683	11, 295 107, 693	11, 778 110, 053	12, 282 112, 235	12, 112 119, 064	10, 862 121, 910	10, 339 111, 900
Northern	40, 515 39, 465	46, 948 39, 578	50, 317 41, 332	49, 877 44, 811	50, 478 44, 521	50, 626 44, 057	57, 242 50, 451	59, 839 50, 214	60, 821 51, 414	64, 089 54, 975	66, 302 55, 608	61, 866 50, 034
Colorado Idaho Montana Nevada New Mexico Oregon Utah Washington Wyoming	23, 484 10, 488 16, 265 2, 268 7, 231 15, 176 8, 407 39, 153 3, 482	25, 517 10, 840 16, 414 2, 240 8, 001 16, 157 9, 084 38, 963 3, 823	27, 171 11, 426 18, 144 2, 657 9, 858 16, 355 10, 386 45, 556 4, 592	28, 359 10, 991 19, 489 2, 378 10, 022 16, 913 10, 736 45, 362 4, 586	28, 083 9, 600 19, 572 2, 195 10, 043 16, 764 10, 347 44, 401 4, 270	28, 340 8, 651 21, 317 2, 191 10, 686 16, 072 10, 241 44, 632 4, 273	31, 786 9, 026 22, 207 2, 262 12, 754 16, 472 12, 473 51, 546 4, 267	32, 189 9, 295 22, 342 2, 402 13, 905 16, 943 12, 966 51, 054 4, 275	32, 313 9, 624 22, 497 2, 465 13, 493 16, 847 12, 904 50, 953 4, 314	31, 271 9, 550 21, 668 2, 557 13, 398 18, 268 12, 887 51, 458 4, 368	31, 596 11, 218 21, 014 2, 512 12, 704 18, 393 12, 976 53, 474 4, 096	28, 532 10, 631 19, 005 2, 536 11, 226 18, 358 12, 789 47, 937 4, 100
TotalOklahoma	213, 845 53, 291	225, 207 54, 128	246, 358 59, 996	253, 017 62, 651	249, 935 65, 603	251, 170 65, 191	281, 781 71, 183	287, 202 71, 491	289, 927 70, 376	296, 601 71, 074	300, 755 69, 520	277, 353 67, 904
United States, total	1, 898, 151 2, 463	2, 073, 721 2, 498	2, 392, 347 2, 509	2, 581, 334 2, 030	2, 678, 702 1, 686	2, 765, 568 1, 557	2, 963, 411 3, 421	3, 063, 759 3, 195	3, 116, 814 3, 108	3, 242, 056 3, 218	3, 212, 279 3, 285	3, 018, 029 3, 148
Grand total		2, 076, 219	2, 394, 856	2, 583, 364	2, 680, 388	2, 767, 125	2, 966, 832	3, 066, 954	3, 119, 922	3, 245, 274	3, 215, 564	3, 021, 177

Mr. BARKLEY. I will go over the figures for all the States. The Sheppard committee singled out Tennessee, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania, three States, in which the W. P. A. was subject to criticism. I have made a tabulation of the percentage of increase in all the States and by regions, as decided by the W. P. A.

In the State of Connecticut the increase was 40 percent. In the State of Maine the increase was 33 percent. In Massachusetts it was 58 percent; in New Hampshire, 50 percent. In New York City it was 30 percent. In New York State, outside the city, it was 25 percent. In Rhode Island it was 20 percent. In Vermont it was 70 percent. In the whole region in which these States are located, region No. 1, the increase was 33 percent.

Region No. 2: In Delaware the increase was 55 percent. In the District of Columbia, where nobody was running for office, and where no politics possibly could have entered into it, the increase was 80 percent. In Maryland it was 38 percent. In New Jersey it was 42 percent. In Pennsylvania it was 40 percent. In West Virginia it was 50 percent. In that entire region, region No. 2, the increase was about 38 percent.

The third region: Alabama had an increase of 105 percent. Arkansas had an increase of 80 percent; Florida, 75 percent; Georgia, 84 percent; Louisiana, 85 percent; Mississippi, 100 percent; North Carolina, 110 percent; South Carolina, 60 percent; Tennessee, 110 percent; Texas, 65 percent; Virginia, 40 percent. The total increase in 1938 in the region known as the third region, in which these States are located, was an average of 80 percent.

The fourth region: Illinois had an increase of 80 percent. Indiana had an increase of 55 percent. Iowa had 45 percent. Kansas had about 20-percent increase. Kentucky had 55 percent. In the State of Michigan, from January to December, there was an increase of 115 percent. If there was a State in the Union in which the administration was interested in the election of a Governor at least—there being no senatorial race and Governor Murphy being a candidate for reelection—it was the State of Michigan. I believe it would

not be drawing on my imagination to say that the President and Mr. Hopkins, if they had any choice in Michigan, were in favor of Gov. Frank Murphy.

I know that all of us Democrats hoped for his reelection as Governor. And yet from August 1938 to November 1938, more than 50,000 people were taken off the rolls of the W. P. A. in the State of Michigan.

Mr. HOLT. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BARKLEY. I cannot yield. I am sorry. I have only 30 minutes, and I have not much time left.

In Minnesota the increase was 55 percent; in Missouri the increase was 75 percent; in Ohio it was 130 percent; in Wisconsin it was 75 percent; in Arizona it was 33½ percent; in California, 40 percent; in Colorado, 19 percent; and so forth.

I ask unanimous consent to include this tabulation in the RECORD as a part of my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate having heard the request, and there being no objection, it may be printed. The tabulation is as follows:

Connecticut:		
January December	\$20, 247 28, 488	
The state of the s	8, 241=	3%
MarchNovember	21, 310 32, 341	
	11,031=	50%
Maine:	Manager Par	
January December	7, 670 10, 226	
	2,556=	33 %
March November	7,314 10,806	
	3,492=	50%

Massachusetts: January			Maryland: January	\$11,085	
December	126, 057		December	15, 323	
	45, 127=	58%	and Francis state treated to make the	4, 238=	389
March November	97, 708 130, 829		March October	12, 021 16, 423	
	33, 121=	33%	新 · 新州 · 法没口信证   与是   [15]	4,402=	35
New Hampshire:			New Jersey: January		
January December	7,323 10,928		December	70, 946 101, 002	
	3,605=	50%		30, 056—	42
March November			MarchOctober	78, 835 107, 261	
En one of the second of the se	5,322=	62%	ter property and the contract colors	28, 426-	36
New York City: January	138, 750		Pennsylvania: January	183, 962	
December			December	259, 097	
题性。 (2000 ) 1990   1990 ] TO	39,662=	30%	Si y High Carrier Sasan Sasan	75, 135=	40
March November			MarchOctober	217, 156 287, 635	maniotik eniladi j
	32,301=	20%		70, 479=	35
New York State:	55 m (s) (s) (s) (d) (d) (d)		West Virginia:		
January December			January	33, 825 49, 953	
	12,000=	25%	[2] [[2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2]	16, 128—	50
March November		H X 1	MarchOctober	35, 344 53, 044	
	14,000=	25%	Euro e ( not em se) que 200 a (unidado e ) da 200 de ( 200 e	17, 700=	50
Rhode Island: January		00 100	Total, region 2:	WOUTSLOOK S	
December			December	309, 129 441, 615	
	3,313=	20%	sunth the states to which the REF &	132, 486⇒	42
March November	12, 723 17, 658		March October	359, 615 481, 473	
and uto the re	4,935=	40%	-and an analysis as region 3	121, 858=	38
Vermont:	T STALES BAR		Alabama:	CECH ALE	
December	4,603 7,992		January	29,317 61,089	War.
at thought of the old of the beautiful	3,389=	70%	tinent a wis 70 percent, In the whole I	31, 772=	105
MarchNovember	5, 101 9, 992		MarchOctober		
	4 001	95%	to material of the second of the materials	63, 468 27, 283=	75
Total, region 1:	TE DE SYNCE DES	andows:	Arkansas:	21,200	
January December	324, 902 442, 458		Arkansas: January	27, 162 48, 951	
	117, 556=	33 %	The No. 21 has a second of the party of Tally	The state of the s	80
Delaware:	1103		March	33, 351	
January December	2,457 3,788		October	54, 695	ourati
and their visit in the second	1,381=	55%	Florida:	21, 344	65
MarchNovember	- 2,996 - 4,023		January	28, 372 51, 192	
Visit Transfer Control	1,027=	33%	namer deletil sik grand stati den met-	22, 820=	75
District of Columbia:		sargur.	March	31, 242	181
January December	6, 854 12, 453		October	55, 016	Segur
2/5 ( - 05/5 )	5, 699=	80%	Georgia:	23, 774—	70
March October	7,960 13,072	SAZA.	January December	32, 518 59, 540	
AMOR SON THE	5, 112=	65%	A set with the car is an experience to the contract of the con	27, 022=	84

# CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

Georgia—Continued.			Illinois:		
MarchOctober	\$38, 833 71, 093		January December	\$132, 424 242, 458	
	32, 260=	82%		110, 034=	80%
Louisiana: January	27, 986		MarchOctober	194, 687 257, 108	
December	52, 198 24, 212—	85%		162, 421=	80%
March	31, 120	00 70	Indiana: January December	56, 488 88, 202	
October	52, 330 21, 210=	66%		31,714=	55%
M'ssissippi:	21, 210	00 /6	March	84, 468	
January December	23, 809 47, 380		October	16, 906	20%
	23, 571=	100%	Iowa:		20 /0
March October	29,719 54,112		January December	21, 288 31, 181	
ac I a e delle a e de le e	24, 323=	80%		9, 893=	45%
North Carolina:		15	MarchOctober	30, 594 38, 037	e perei
January	26, 101 54, 918			7,443=	40%
	28, 817=	110%	Kansas: January	30, 461	
MarchOctober	31, 145 52, 077		December	35, 837	
	20, 932—	67%	-	5,376=	18%
South Carolina:			March October	35, 612 38, 037	100
January December	26, 155 41, 069			2, 425—	10%
	14, 914=	6%	Kentucky: January	41, 612	
MarchOctober	30, 115 56, 844		December	65, 927	1
-	26, 729 =	85%		24, 315= 48, 285	55%
Tennessee:			March JulyOctober	69, 558 70, 999	
January December	26, 155 54, 768		0.0000	21, 273=	45%
	28, 613 =	110%	as the same of the same of the	22, 714=	46%
March October	30, 990 48, 767		Michigan: ====================================	64, 749	bD.
	17, 777=	60%	December	80, 631=	1150
Texas:	64, 928		March	120, 156	115%
December	107, 288		November	154, 108	
	42, 360 =	65%		33, 952=	35%
March October	76, 330 106, 750		August November	203, 446 154, 108	
Charles 48 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	36, 420 =	45%		50, 662	ecrease 25%
Virginia: January	20, 137		Minnesota: January	42, 938	
December	28, 253		December	23, 238=	55%
	8, 116=	40%	March	57, 696	00 70
March October	22, 716 27, 794		November	68, 844	
	5, 078=	25%	Missouri:	11, 148=	20%
Total, region 3: January	333, 322		January	60, 888 108, 264	
December	606, 646			47, 376=	75%
	273, 324=	80%	March	85, 215	
March October	391, 745 642, 949		November	116, 384	00.00
The street of the second section of the second	251, 204=	62%		31, 169=	38%

REGION 4—continued		
Nebraska: January December	\$23, 247 27, 351	
	4, 104=	2%
March November	28, 202 30, 050	
	1, 848	5%
North Dakota: January December	14, 167 14, 618	
The second second	451=	5%
Ohio: January December	114, 305 262, 315	
(Anti-) (800 a)	148, 010=	130%
March	196, 168	
November	274, 712	
	78, 544=	40%
South Dakota: January December	16, 457 15, 483	
	1, 174=	= loss
Wisconsin: January December	44, 238 78, 460	
_		75%
MarchNovember	64, 390 85, 296	
		32%
Total, region 4:		
January December	663, 662 1, 182, 052	
	518, 390=	85%
Arizona:	2020	
January December	7, 911 10, 339	
Top Carrie	2, 428=	= 33 1/3 %
MarchOctober	8, 554 12, 112	
	3, 558=	= 40%
California:	0,000	10 /0
January	79, 980 111, 900	
	31, 920=	= 40%
MarchOctober	91,649 119,064	
bu +whole	27, 415=	= 30%
Colorado: January	00 404	
December	23, 484 28, 532	HCHOIA
	5, 048=	= 19%
MarchOctober	27, 171 31, 271	
to the state of th	4, 100=	= 20%
Idaho: January December	10, 488 10, 631	nanoger :
	143	
March	11, 426 9, 550	
de trade e ma de		Decrease

Montana: January	\$16, 265	
December	19,005	
Wish Co-money	3,740=	20%
March	18, 144	
October	21, 668	
	3,524=	18%
Nevada:	2, 268	
January December	2, 536	
	268	
New Mexico:	Teller	
January December	7, 231 11, 226	
1001 (AUXILIA	3,995=	30%
March	9, 858	
October	13, 398	
and the second second	3,540=	3%
Oregon:	15 170	
January December	15, 176 18, 358	
worth on an	3, 182=	2%
MarchOctober	16, 365 18, 393	
200 - 200 as	2, 028=	12%
Utah:		
January December	8, 407 12, 789	
and the state of t	4, 382=	50%
March	10, 386	
October	12, 887	
	2, 501=	20%
Washington:		
January December	39, 143 47, 937	
HIT HIS DO	8, 794=	20%
March	45, 556	
October	51, 458	
- 170 111	5, 902=	15%
Wyoming:	3, 482	
December	4, 100	
	618—	8%
MarchOctober	4, 592 4, 368	
But (30)	224 D	ecrease
Total, region 5:		
January December	213, 845 277, 353	
	63, 508=	30%
		_ 70

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President, I have given these figures—and they are official figures—in order to refute the suggestion that in any State, or in the Nation as a whole, Harry Hopkins, Franklin D. Roosevelt, or anybody connected with the W. P. A., was trying to increase the rolls in order to affect the result of an election. If anybody is responsible or blamable for the increase in the W. P. A. rolls, it is the Congress of the United States.

On the day of the primary in Kentucky there were 69,000 on the rolls. From 40 to 50 percent of them were Republicans, and are now Republicans. They have not been fired. They could not vote in the Democratic primaries. Taking a conservative figure of 40 percent, and subtracting that figure from 69,000, we have left 41,735. One-third of those who were left

were not registered, and therefore they could not vote in the primary election. That left 27,000. One-third of those who were registered did not vote, and when we deduct that number from the figure we have, the number left is 18,525.

I have no way of knowing how many of those left voted for me. I assume a majority of them would have done so as a matter of course, because they were working on a program which I as a Senator had helped to bring about. However, I never electioneered with a single one of them. I never visited any of them. I did not go into the W. P. A. headquarters of the State in Kentucky, nor in any region, nor in any county.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Chair informs the Senator that his time has expired, unless he requests an extension.

Mr. BARKLEY. I do not want to ask for an extension. However, if there is no objection, I should like to have the 5 minutes which was taken out of my time by yielding a moment ago.

Mr. McKELLAR. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senator from Kentucky may have 5 minutes additional.

Mr. REED. I ask unanimous consent that the Senator from Kentucky may continue for 5 minutes.

Mr. McNARY. Mr. President, I am sorry, but I follow the old democratic principle of special privileges to none. Although I know the occasion is a very important one for my distinguished friend, I shall have to object to any extension at this time.

Mr. BARKLEY. Let me conclude with one sentence: The majority which I received in the primary was 72,000. If I had not received a single vote from W. P. A. workers in Kentucky, my majority would have been at least 60,000; so, though I am grateful for the W. P. A. votes I received as for all the votes that I received, the result was not determined by that vote.

Mr. President, I thank the Senate for the patient attention it has given me. I am sorry I have not a little more time. However, I am content to leave the verdict with the Senate.

I now suggest the absence of a quorum in order that Senators who are absent may be called to the Chamber before this vote.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The point of no quorum being made, the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Adams	Frazier	Lee	Reynolds
		Lewis	Russell
Andrews	George		
Ashurst	Gerry	Lodge	Schwartz
Austin	Gibson	Logan	Schwellenbach
Bankhead	Gillette	Lucas	Sheppard
Barbour	Glass	Lundeen	Shipstead
Barkley	Green	McCarran	Smathers
Bilbo	Guffey	McKellar	Smith
Bone	Gurney	McNary	Stewart
Borah	Hale	Maloney	Taft
Bridges	Harrison	Mead	Thomas, Okla.
Bulow	Hatch	Miller	Thomas, Utah
Byrnes	Hayden	Minton	Tobey
Capper	Herring	Murray	Townsend
Caraway	Hill	Neely	Truman
Clark, Idaho	Holman	Norris	Tydings
Clark, Mo.	Holt	Nye	Vandenberg
Connally	Hughes	O'Mahoney	Van Nuys
Danaher	Johnson, Calif.	Pepper	Wagner
Davis	Johnson, Colo.	Pittman	White
Downey	King	Radcliffe	Wiley
Ellender	La Follette	Reed	

Mr. LEWIS. I beg again to announce the absence of certain Senators, and the reasons therefor, as given by me on a previous roll call.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Eighty-seven Senators have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Harry L. Hopkins, of New York, to be Secretary of Commerce?

Mr. BARKLEY. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered, and the legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. TYDINGS. Mr. President, I ask to be excused from voting.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and the Senator from Maryland is excused from voting.

Mr. LEWIS. I am authorized to announce that the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Overton], who is absent because of illness, the Senator from Michigan [Mr. Brown], the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. Chavez], and the Senator from Montana [Mr. Wheeler], who are absent on important public business, if present and voting, would vote "yea."

The Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. Walsh] is detained in the State of Massachusetts attending a conference of New England Governors on the New England flood-control program. I am advised that if present and voting he would vote "yea."

The result was announced—yeas 58, nays 27, as follows:

	YE.	AS-58	
Adams Andrews Ashurst Bankhead Barkley Bilbo Bone Bulow Byrnes Caraway Clark, Idaho Clark, Mo. Connally	Frazier Gillette Green Guffey Harrison Hatch Hayden Herring Hill Hughes Johnson, Colo. La Follette Lee	Lucas Lundeen McCarran McKellar Maloney Mead Miller Minton Murray Neely Norris Nye O'Mahoney	Radcliffe Reynolds Russell Schwartz Schwellenbach Smethers Smith Stewart Thomas, Okla. Thomas, Utah Truman Wagner
Downey Ellender	Lewis Logan	Pepper Pittman	
Similar Sylis		YS—27	
Austin Barbour Borah Bridges Capper Danaher Davis	George Gerry Gibson Gurney Hale Holman Holt	Johnson, Calif. King Lodge McNary Reed Shipstead Taft	Tobey Townsend Vandenberg Van Nuys White Wiley
	NOT VO	OTING—11	Lauren Mad
Bailey Brown Burke	Byrd Chavez Donahey	Glass Overton Tydings	Walsh Wheeler

So the nomination of Harry L. Hopkins, of New York, to be Secretary of Commerce was confirmed.

#### EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations, which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(For nominations this day received, see the end of Senate proceedings.)

#### ORDER OF BUSINESS

Mr. BARKLEY. I ask that the remainder of the nominations on the calendar be called, and in that connection I wish to say to the Senate that as soon as that is done it is expected to resume legislative session and make House Joint Resolution 83, the relief appropriation measure, the unfinished business, and then recess until tomorrow.

## EXECUTIVE REPORTS OF A COMMITTEE

Mr. McKELLAR, from the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, reported favorably the nominations of sundry postmasters, which were ordered to be placed on the Executive Calendar.

## POSTMASTERS

The VICE PRESIDENT. If there be no further reports of committees, the clerk will state the nominations remaining on the calendar.

The legislative clerk proceeded to read sundry nominations of postmasters.

Mr. McKELLAR. I ask unanimous consent that the nominations of postmasters be confirmed en bloc.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the nomination of postmasters are confirmed en bloc.

### IN THE ARMY

The legislative clerk proceeded to read sundry nominations for appointment in the Army.

Mr. SHEPPARD. I ask unanimous consent that the Army nominations be confirmed en bloc.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the Army nominations are confirmed en bloc.

#### LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. BARKLEY. I move that the Senate resume the consideration of legislative business.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate resumed the consideration of legislative business.

## HEALTH OF THE NATION (H. DOC. NO. 120)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States, which was read:

## To the Congress of the United States:

In my annual message to the Congress I referred to problems of health security. I take occasion now to bring this subject specifically to your attention in transmitting the report and recommendations on national health prepared by the Interdepartmental Committee to Coordinate Health and Welfare Activities.

The health of the people is a public concern; ill health is a major cause of suffering, economic loss, and dependency; good health is essential to the security and progress of the Nation.

Health needs were studied by the Committee on Economic Security which I appointed in 1934, and certain basic steps were taken by the Congress in the Social Security Act. It was recognized at that time that a comprehensive health program was required as an essential link in our national defenses against individual and social insecurity. Further study, however, seemed necessary at that time to determine ways and means of providing this protection most effectively.

In August 1935, after the passage of the Social Security Act, I appointed the Interdepartmental Committee to Coordinate Health and Welfare Activities. Early in 1938 this committee forwarded to me reports prepared by their technical experts. They had reviewed unmet health needs, pointing to the desirability of a national health program, and they submitted the outlines of such a program. These reports were impressive. I therefore suggested that a conference be held to bring the findings before representatives of the general public and of the medical, public health, and allied professions.

More than 200 men and women, representing many walks of life and many parts of our country, came together in Washington last July to consider the technical committee's findings and recommendations and to offer further proposals. There was agreement on two basic points: The existence of serious unmet needs for medical service; and our failure to make full application of the growing powers of medical science to prevent or control disease and disability.

I have been concerned by the evidence of inequalities that exist among the States as to personnel and facilities for health services. There are equally serious inequalities of resources, medical facilities, and services in different sections and among different economic groups. These inequalities create handicaps for the parts of our country and the groups of our people which most sorely need the benefits of modern medical science.

The objective of a national health program is to make available in all parts of our country and for all groups of our people the scientific knowledge and skill at our command to prevent and care for sickness and disability; to safeguard mothers, infants, and children; and to offset through social insurance the loss of earnings among workers who are temporarily or permanently disabled.

The committee does not propose a great expansion of Federal health services. It recommends that plans be worked out and administered by States and localities with the assistance of Federal grants-in-aid. The aim is a flexible program. The committee points out that while the eventual costs of the proposed program would be considerable, they

represent a sound investment which can be expected to wipe out, in the long run, certain costs now borne in the form of relief.

We have reason to derive great satisfaction from the increase in the average length of life in our country and from the improvement in the average levels of health and wellbeing. Yet these improvements in the averages are cold comfort to the millions of our people whose security in health and survival is still as limited as was that of the Nation as a whole 50 years ago.

The average level of health or the average cost of sickness has little meaning for those who now must meet personal catastrophes. To know that a stream is 4 feet deep on the average is of little help to those who drown in the places where it is 10 feet deep. The recommendations of the committee offer a program to bridge that stream by reducing the risks of needless suffering and death, and of costs and dependency, that now overwhelm millions of individual families and sap the resources of the Nation.

I recommend the report of the Interdepartmental Committee for careful study by the Congress. The essence of the program recommended by the Committee is Federal-State cooperation. Federal legislation necessarily precedes, for it indicates the assistance which may be made available to the States in a cooperative program for the Nation's health.

Franklin D. Roosevelt.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 23, 1939.

Mr. WAGNER. I ask that the President's message with the Interdepartmental Committee report which accompanies it be printed as a document and referred to the Committee on Commerce.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### RESEARCH-A NATIONAL RESOURCE

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States, which was read, and, with the accompanying report, referred to the Committee on Education and Labor:

To the Congress of the United States:

I transmit herewith for the information of the Congress, a report entitled "Research—A National Resource," compiled by the National Resources Committee.

This report deals with the relation of the Federal Government to research. Subsequent reports in this field will cover research by colleges, universities and foundations, by business organizations, by the industrial laboratories, and by the State and municipal governments.

The dependence of civilization on science is universally recognized, but the extent of the activities of private and public agencies carrying on scientific inquiry is not generally known. It is unlikely that large numbers of our people have any adequate realization of the services which are being rendered by the executive agencies of the Federal Government through scientific researches in medicine, agriculture, economics, public administration, and the other natural and social sciences.

This report indicates the new emphasis in recent years on activities in the social science fields and stresses the need for effective coordination of all agencies engaged in research in order to achieve the solution of many of our more difficult problems.

I commend the report to the consideration of the Congress.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 23, 1939.

### FOREIGN SERVICE RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY FUND

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States, which was read, and, with the accompanying report, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations:

## To the Congress of the United States:

I transmit herewith a report by the Secretary of State showing all receipts and disbursements on account of refunds,

allowances, and annulties for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1938, in connection with the Foreign Service retirement and disability system, as required by section 26 (a) of an act for the grading and classification of clerks in the Foreign Service of the United States of America, and providing compensation therefor, approved February 23, 1931.

FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 23, 1939.

[Enclosure: Report concerning retirement and disability fund, Foreign Service.]

CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES TO PRIVATELY OWNED PROPERTY (S. DOC. NO. 9)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting estimates of appropriations to pay claims for damages to privately owned property, amounting to \$33,665.29 (considered and adjusted pursuant to law), which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

CLAIMS ALLOWED BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (S. DOC. NO. 10)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting an estimate of appropriation for payment of claims allowed by the General Accounting Office, in the sum of \$1,122,354.01, under appropriations the balances of which have been carried to the surplus fund under the provisions of law, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

JUDGMENTS RENDERED BY THE COURT OF CLAIMS (S. DOC. NO. 11)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, an estimate of appropriation to pay certain judgments rendered by the Court of Claims, amounting to \$131,236.89, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

JUDGMENTS RENDERED AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT BY DISTRICT COURTS (S. DOC. NO. 12)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, records of judgments rendered against the Government by United States district courts, amounting to \$27,918.62, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

CLAIMS ALLOWED BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (S. DOC. NO. 13)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, a schedule of claims allowed by the General Accounting Office, amounting to \$7,467.45, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES DUE TO MILITARY OPERATIONS (S. DOC. NO. 14)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting an estimate of appropriation to pay a claim for damages due to military operations, amounting to \$785 (considered and adjusted under the provisions of law), which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES INCIDENT TO OPERATIONS OF NAVAL VESSELS (S. DOC. NO. 15)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting estimates of appropriations to pay claims for damages from collision or damages incident to the operation of vessels of the Navy, amounting to \$9,474.92 (considered and adjusted under the provisions of law), which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

DAMAGE CLAIMS CAUSED BY EMPLOYEES OF BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (S. DOC. NO. 16)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting an estimate of appropriation for damages to any person or damages to or loss of privately owned property caused by employees of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, amounting to \$215.47 (considered and adjusted under the provisions of law), which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

CLAIMS ALLOWED BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (S. DOC. NO. 17)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting, pursuant to law, a claim allowed by the General Accounting Office, amounting to \$1,327.44, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

DAMAGE CLAIMS UNDER RIVER AND HARBOR WORK (S. DOC. NO. 18)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting estimates of appropriations to pay claims for damages amounting to \$1,047.22, adjusted under the provisions of the River and Harbor Act of June 5, 1920, which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

DAMAGE CLAIMS ON ACCOUNT OF OPERATIONS OF COAST GUARD VESSELS (S. DOC. NO. 19)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting an estimate of appropriation to pay claims for damages on account of operations of vessels of the Coast Guard, amounting to \$549.58 (considered and adjusted under the provisions of law), which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

APPROPRIATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE ESTABLISHMENT (S. DOC. NO. 20)

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the President of the United States, transmitting deficiency and supplemental estimates of appropriations for the legislative establishment, Senate, amounting to \$265,-000, which, with the accompanying paper, was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed. OPERATIONS UNDER SOIL CONSERVATION AND DOMESTIC ALLOTMENT

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Secretary of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on operations under the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act, as amended, for the fiscal year

ended June 30, 1937, which, with the accompanying report, was referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry.

VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION FACILITY PROPERTY, TUSKEGEE, ALA.

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to authorize the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs to exchange certain property located at Veterans' Administration facility, Tuskegee, Ala., for property of the Tuskegee Normal and Industrial Institute, which, with the accompanying paper, was referred to the Committee on Finance.

## PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a concurrent resolution of the Legislature of the State of Iowa, favoring the enactment of legislation making the 3½-percent interest rate permanent to farmers borrowing from the Federal Land Bank and the Land Bank Commissioner and extending all principal payments of Land Bank Commissioner loans over a period of 34 years, which was referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

(See concurrent resolution printed in full when presented today by Mr. GILLETTE, p. 633.)

The VICE PRESIDENT also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the board of directors of the Producers' Council, of New York City, favoring the enactment of legislation to extend the operation of title 1 of the National Housing Act, which was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor.

He also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the executive board of the International Union, United Automobile Workers of America, Detroit, Mich., favoring the reappointment of Donald Wakefield Smith as a member of the National Labor Relations Board, which was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor.

He also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the executive board of the International Union, United Automobile Workers of America, Detroit, Mich., favoring retention of the National Labor Relations Act, and protesting against any alteration or amendment of that Act, which was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor.

He also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the executive board of the International Union, United Automobile Workers of America, Detroit, Mich., favoring an appropriation of \$5,225,000 to be expended by the National Labor Relations Board during the coming fiscal year in effectuating the purposes of the National Labor Relations Act, which was referred to the Committee on Education and Labor.

He also laid before the Senate a petition of several citizens of the State of Maryland, praying for retention of the principle of the present neutrality law and extension of the law to include civil as well as international conflicts, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the South Jersey Port Commission, an agency of the State of New Jersey, at Camden, N. J., favoring the enactment of legislation exempting vessels of less than 200 registered tons from the requirements of Draft Convention No. 53, concerning the minimum requirement of professional capacity for masters and officers on board merchant ships, adopted by the International Labor Conference at Geneva and ratified by the United States as a treaty June 13, 1938, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also laid before the Senate a resolution adopted by the Ray Current Events Club, Inc., of New York City, favoring a constitutional amendment making the Presidential term 6 years without a reelection, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also laid before the Senate the petition of the Philadelphia Joint Board Waist and Dressmakers' Union, I. L. G. W. U., of Philadelphia, Pa., favoring an appropriation of \$875,000,000 for the Works Progress Administration, as proposed by the President, which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also laid before the Senate a petition signed by W. P. A. workers, and Workers Alliance members, of Corcoran, Calif., praying for an appropriation by Congress sufficient to continue the Works Progress Administration and provide necessary work for the unemployed, which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also laid before the Senate resolutions adopted by Local No. 6, Rural Electrification Administration Union, Washington, D. C., and Local No. 5, Workers Alliance of Utah, of Sandy, Utah, favoring an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000, so as to continue the W. P. A. to the end of the present fiscal year with its existing quota of workers, which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also laid before the Senate the petition of sundry members of President Local No. 80, United Federal Workers of America, of Alexandria, Va., praying for an appropriation of \$1,000,000,000 for the W. P. A., to provide work for the unemployed until the end of the present fiscal year, which was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. TYDINGS presented a memorial of sundry citizens of Baltimore, Md., remonstrating against the shipment of arms and munitions to Japan, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. REED presented a petition of 75 citizens of Ford and Edwards Counties, Kans., praying that the Spanish arms embargo be not lifted, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a petition of 59 citizens of Hutchinson, Kans., praying for the retention of the existing embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a petition of 20 citizens of Parsons, Kans., praying for retention of the principle of the present neutrality law and extension of the law to include civil as well as international conflicts, and also praying for an investigation of leftist groups sponsoring propaganda favoring the lifting of the embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a petition of 73 citizens of Barton, Kingman, Rice, McPherson, and Russell Counties, Kans., praying for retention of the principle of the present neutrality law and extension of the law to include civil as well as international conflicts, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented petitions of 39 citizens of Osborne, 52 citizens of Marion, 57 citizens of Atwood, and 158 citizens of Bourbon and Sumner Counties, all in the State of Kansas, praying that the United States stop the shipment of such goods and munitions as may be used by the military forces of Japan in their present Chinese operations, which were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. MALONEY presented a telegram in the nature of a petition from Local No. 320, Bridgeport Brass Workers Union, of Bridgeport, Conn., praying for an appropriation of \$875,000,000 for the Works Progress Administration, as proposed by the President, which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented the petition of Mrs. Patrick McNally and 34 other citizens of New Haven, Conn., praying for the preservation of peace, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a resolution adopted by the Bridgeport (Conn.) Council of Catholic Men, protesting against lifting the embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented a resolution adopted by the legislative committee, Catholic Daughters of America for the State of Connecticut, at Bridgeport, Conn., protesting against lifting the embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented the petitions of Michael J. Riley, John L. Robinson, Joseph P. Mulcunry, and 21 other citizens, and Anthony Castaldi and 118 other citizens, all of Waterbury, Conn., praying for retention of the principle of the present neutrality law and extension of the law to include civil as well as international conflicts, which were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also laid before the Senate the petition of the Greek American Educational Society, Philomathia, of New Britain, Conn., praying that the embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain be lifted, which was referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

He also presented telegrams and letters in the nature of petitions from Arthur J. Rinaud and 37 other citizens and George Varriale and 5 other citizens of New Britain; Court Reina Christina, No. 51, Catholic Daughters of America, of Bridgeport; Elizabeth A. Martin and 23 other citizens of Derby; P. H. Regan and 107 other citizens of Naugatuck; James C. Sullivan and 4 other citizens, and Rev. William F. Odell, pastor, and members of St. Mary's Parish, of New London; Rev. Lawrence W. Doucette, director of the Study Clubs of Moosup, South Covehtry, Danielson, Norwichtown, Colchester, Stafford Springs, Willimantic, Jewett City, Taftville, North Grosvernor Dale, Versailles, Norwich, Pomfret, Plainfield, Putnam, Wauregan, and Montville; Carl

Storek, of Norwich; the Hartford Council of Catholic Women, by Elizabeth F. Bagley, secretary, of Hartford; Richard Walling and 42 other citizens, and Harold E. Nagle and 125 other citizens, of Stamford; Mrs. E. Connell and James Connelly, of Stratford; Lorene G. Doyle and 35 other citizens, of Fairfield; Windhorst Society of St. Mary's Parish, by Frank Rewinkel, president, of Meriden; Thomas A. Foley and 4 other citizens, Mr. and Mrs. George L. Sills and 122 other citizens, and the Waterbury Council of Catholic Women, by Kathryn W. Hayes, president, of Waterbury; and the Catholic Daughters of America, by Mary Hughes Schread, chairman of State legislative committee, all in the State of Connecticut, praying that the embargo on the shipment of arms and munitions to Spain be not lifted, which were referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Mr. GILLETTE presented the following concurrent resolution of the Legislature of the State of Iowa, which was referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency:

#### House Concurrent Resolution 3

Whereas the welfare of the great Commonwealth of Iowa de-

Whereas the welfare of the great Commonwealth of Iowa depends upon the stabilization of agriculture; and Whereas long-term loans and low interest rates help materially to stabilize the agricultural industry; and Whereas the emergency farm-loan interest rate of 3½ percent, made possible by special action of the National Congress to the farmer borrowers having Federal land-bank loans, expires July 1, 1940, and the emergency farm-loan interest rate of 4 percent, made possible by a special act of the National Congress to the farmer borrowers having Land Bank Commissioner loans, expires July 1, 1940; and

Whereas the Land Bank Commissioner loans provide for principal payments of 5 percent or 10 percent per annum in addition to the interest payments; and
Whereas thousands of farmers in the State of Iowa have Federal land-bank and/or Land Bank Commissioner loans, and because eral land-bank and/or Land Bank Commissioner loans, and because of the fact that the interest rates are not definitely fixed at a low rate and because of the fact that principal payments of at least 5 percent or 10 percent per annum are required on Land Bank Commissioner loans, there is much uncertainty and indefiniteness in the plans of such farmer borrowers; and Whereas it appears to be possible to extend lower interest rates to the farmers without an excessive burden to the taxpayer and with almost no administrative costs and that interest rates on Government loans to other industries is considerably less than that fixed for the farmer of the Middle West; and Whereas it is our opinion that low interest rates and small principal payments accorded to the farmers of Iowa through the Federal land-bank system would contribute much to the stabilization of agriculture: Therefore, be it

Resolved by the house (the senate concurring), That the Legislature of Iowa, duly assembled in Des Moines, Iowa, respectfully petitions the Members of the National Congress to use every possible effort to secure the passage of a law making 3½-percent interest rate permanent to the farmer borrowers of the Federal land-bank and the Land Bank Commissioner and that this legislature urges the Members of the National Congress to secure the lature urges the Members of the National Congress to secure the passage of a law extending all principal payments on Land Bank Commissioner loans over a period of 34 years as provided for Federal land-bank loans, and that the said be made permanent and that a copy of this resolution be sent to each United States Senator and Representative from the State of Iowa, to the Speaker of the National House of Representatives, to the President of the United States Senate, and to the President of the United States

PHOSPHATE RESOURCES OF THE UNITED STATES (S. DOC. NO. 21) Mr. PEPPER. Mr. President, I send to the desk, to be filed on behalf of the Joint Committee on Phosphate Resources appointed at the last session, the report of that committee.

Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, the Senator from Florida has just made a report on behalf of the joint committee appointed at the last session of Congress to investigate the phosphate question. I should like to ask the Chair what was done with that report?

The VICE PRESIDENT. It will be printed as a Senate

document.

Mr. NORRIS. How many copies will be printed?

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair is informed that the usual number of copies is about 1,100.

Mr. NORRIS. It will be printed in pamphlet form, as Senate documents are usually printed?

The VICE PRESIDENT. It will be.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, to which was referred the bill (S. 92) to au-

thorize the Secretary of the Interior to issue patents for certain lands to certain settlers in the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, Nev., reported it without amendment and submitted a report (No. 5) thereon.

AUTHORIZATIONS FOR HEARINGS, FUNERAL EXPENSES, ETC.

Mr. BYRNES. From the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, I report back favorably, without amendment, a number of resolutions, and ask unanimous consent for their consideration at this time.

There being no objection, the resolutions were considered by unanimous consent, and severally agreed to, as follows:

ASSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON INTEROCEANIC CANALS

Senate Resolution 14, submitted by Mr. CLARK of Missouri, on January 4, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Interoceanic Canals hereby is authorized to employ during the Seventy-sixth Congress an assistant clerk to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$2,000 per annum.

#### ASSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON CLAIMS

Senate Resolution 18, submitted by Mr. Logan on January 4, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Claims hereby is authorized to employ an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$1,800 per annum and \$1,800 addi-tional so long as the position is held by the first incumbent appointed under this authority.

ASSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

Senate Resolution 30, submitted by Mr. Connally on January 5, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 111, Seventy-third Congress, agreed to January 19, 1934, and continued by subsequent resolutions through the Seventy-fifth Congress, authorizing the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds to employ an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$2,000 per annum, hereby is continued in full force and effect until the end of the Seventy-sixth Congress.

## TANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON ENROLLED BILLS

Senate Resolution 33, submitted by Mrs. Caraway on January 5, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Enrolled Bills hereby is authorized to employ for the remainder of the present session an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$1,800 per annum.

#### SSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Senate Resolution 46, submitted by Mr. ASHURST on January 9, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Judiciary hereby is authorlzed to employ an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$960 per annum until the end of the Seventy-sixth Congress.

## ASSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON IMMIGRATION

Senate Resolution 47, submitted by Mr. Russell on January 9, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 57, Seventy-fifth Congress, agreed to February 10, 1937, and continued by subsequent resolutions through the Seventy-fifth Congress, authorizing the Committee on Immigration to employ an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$2,400 per annum, hereby is continued in full force and effect until the end of the Seventy-sixth Congress.

## FUNERAL EXPENSES OF THE LATE SENATOR COPELAND

Senate Resolution 48, submitted by Mr. WAGNER on January 9, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate hereby is authorized and directed to pay from the contingent fund of the Senate the actual and necessary expenses incurred by the committee appointed by the Vice President in arranging for and attending the funeral of Hon. Royal S. Copeland, late a Senator from the State of New York, upon vouchers to be approved by the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate.

## HEARINGS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON COMMERCE

Senate Resolution 50, submitted by Mr. Bailey on January 10, 1939, as follows:

Resolved. That the Committee on Commerce, or any subcommittee thereof, is authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths, and to employ a stenographer at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had on any subject before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate, and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during any session or recess of the Senate.

HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON POST OFFICES AND POST ROADS

Senate Resolution 51, submitted by Mr. McKellar on January 10, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, or any subcommittee thereof, be, and hereby is, authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths, and to employ a stenographer at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words; to report such hearings as may be had in connection with any subject which may be before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during any session or recess of the Senate.

### HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON NAVAL AFFAIRS

Senate Resolution 55, submitted by Mr. Walsh on January 12, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Naval Affairs, or any sub-committee thereof, hereby is authorized, during the Seventy-sixth Congress, to send for persons, books, and papers; to administer oaths; and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had on any subject before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during the sessions or recesses of the Senate.

# HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON EXPENDITURES IN THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS

Senate Resolution 56, submitted by Mr. Lewis on January 12, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments, or any subcommittee thereof, is authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress, to send for persons, books, and papers; to administer oaths; and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had on any subject before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during any session or recess of the Senate.

#### ELIZABETH PINKETT

Senate Resolution 59, submitted by Mr. RADCLIFFE on January 16, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate hereby is authorized and directed to pay from the contingent fund of the Senate to Elizabeth Pinkett, widow of James F. Pinkett, late a laborer in the office of the Secretary of the Senate, a sum equal to 6 months' compensation at the rate he was receiving by law at the time of his death, said sum to be considered inclusive of funeral expenses and all other allowances.

## HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY

Senate Resolution 65, submitted by Mr. Smith on January 19, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, or any subcommittee thereof, is hereby authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths, and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had on any subject before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during any sessions or recesses of the Senate.

# HEARINGS BEFORE COMMITTEE ON IRRIGATION AND RECLAMATION Senate Resolution 66 submitted by Mr Bankhead on Janu-

Senate Resolution 66, submitted by Mr. Bankhead on January 19, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation, or any subcommittee thereof, be, and hereby is, authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths, and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not to exceed 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had in connection with any subject which may be before said committee, the expenses thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during the sessions or recesses of the Senate.

### HEARINGS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON THE LIBRARY

Senate Resolution 67, submitted by Mr. Barkley on January 19, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Library, or any subcommittee thereof, hereby is authorized during the Seventy-sixth Congress to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths,

and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had in connection with any subject which may be before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during the sessions or recesses of the Senate.

# HEARINGS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS

Senate Resolution 68, submitted by Mr. Connally on January 19, 1939, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, or any subcommittee thereof, hereby is authorized, during the Seventh-sixth Congress, to send for persons, books, and papers, to administer oaths, and to employ a stenographer, at a cost not exceeding 25 cents per hundred words, to report such hearings as may be had on any subject before said committee, the expense thereof to be paid out of the contingent fund of the Senate; and that the committee, or any subcommittee thereof, may sit during any session or recess of the Senate.

#### ASSISTANT CLERK, COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR

Mr. BYRNES. From the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, I report back favorably, with an amendment, Senate Resolution 29, submitted by the Senator from Utah [Mr. Thomas] on January 5, 1939, authorizing the employment of an assistant clerk by the Committee on Education and Labor, and I ask unanimous consent for its present consideration.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution.

The amendment was, on page 1, line 5, after the words "per annum", to strike out "and an assistant clerk to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$1,800 per annum."

The amendment was agreed to.

The resolution, as amended, was agreed to, as follows:

Resolved, That the Committee on Education and Labor hereby is authorized to employ, beginning January 3, 1939, for the duration of the Seventy-sixth Congress, an assistant clerk, to be paid from the contingent fund of the Senate at the rate of \$2,000 per annum.

# JOINT CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATING TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Mr. BYRNES. From the Committee to Audit and Control the Contingent Expenses of the Senate, I report back favorably, without amendment, Senate Joint Resolution 38, providing additional funds for the expenses of the Special Joint Congressional Committee Investigating the Tennessee Valley Authority, and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent for its present consideration.

Mr. KING. I ask that the joint resolution go over. I should like to inquire into it.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The joint resolution will be placed on the calendar.

### BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED

Bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first time, and, by unanimous consent, the second time, and referred as follows:

## By Mr. O'MAHONEY:

S. 878. A bill to amend the act of August 26, 1937; and S. 879. A bill to encourage the employment of local capital in the mining of oil and gas on the public domain; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys.

By Mr. MILLER:

S. 880. A bill for the relief of W. B. Martin; to the Committee on Claims.

# By Mr. SCHWELLENBACH:

S. 881. A bill for the relief of Dr. Hugh G. Nicholson; to the Committee on Claims.

S. 882. A bill to authorize the Postmaster General to contract for certain powerboat service in Alaska, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads.

By Mr. TRUMAN:

S. 883. A bill for the relief of Joseph J. McMahon; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. LOGAN:

S. 884. A bill for the relief of disbursing officers and other officers and employees of the United States for disallowances and charges on account of airplane travel; and

S. 885. A bill to authorize and direct the Comptroller General of the United States to allow credit for all outstanding disallowances and suspensions in the accounts of the disbursing officers or agents of the Government for payments made to certain employees appointed by the United States Employees' Compensation Commission; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. CAPPER:

S. 886. A bill granting a pension to Charles G. Hostutler (with accompanying papers); to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. SHEPPARD:

S. 887. A bill for the relief of Richard L. Byrd; to the Committee on Claims.

S. 888. A bill to amend the Federal Credit Union Act (June 26, 1934, ch. 750-1, 48 Stat. 1216, sec. 1759), in order to facilitate the organization of rural credit unions; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. SHIPSTEAD:

S. 889. A bill authorizing a per capita payment of \$15 each to the members of the Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians from the proceeds of the sale of timber and lumber on the Red Lake Reservation; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

By Mr. BARBOUR:

S. 890. A bill to prohibit discrimination on account of maximum age in employment directly and indirectly under the United States; to the Committee on Civil Service.

By Mr. REYNOLDS:

S. 891. A bill for the relief of J. C. Grice; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. ASHURST:

S. 892. A bill to change the designation of the Petrified Forest National Monument, in the State of Arizona, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys.

By Mr. BYRD:

S. 893. A bill relating to buildings to be erected for use by the District of Columbia for inspection stations of motor vehicles, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

S. 894. A bill to create a World War Memorial Commission to prepare plans and estimates for the erection of suitable memorials, monuments, or buildings at ports or cities in the United States from which American forces embarked for World War service in foreign countries and to which American forces returned after such service, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. RUSSELL:

S. 895. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to standardize the amount to be contributed by the United States for oldage assistance; to the Committee on Finance.

By Mr. SCHWARTZ:

S. 896. A bill to authorize the coinage of 50-cent pieces in commemoration of the fiftieth anniversary of the admission of Wyoming into the Union as the first State guaranteeing equal suffrage to women; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

S. 897. A bill to correct the military record of Walter Ballhaus; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. KING:

S. 898. A bill for the relief of Robert D. Ashton; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. SHEPPARD:

S. 899. A bill to promote the efficiency of the Air Corps; S. 900. A bill to authorize the loan of aircraft and aeronautical equipment to civilian aviation schools; and

S. 901. A bill to authorize appropriations for construction and rehabilitation at military posts in the Panama Canal Department, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. PITTMAN:

S. 902. A bill to amend the act entitled "An act authorizing the temporary detail of United States employees, possessing special qualifications, to governments of American Republics and the Philippines, and for other purposes," ap-

proved May 25, 1938; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. TYDINGS:

S. 903. A bill to authorize the Chief of Engineers of the Army to enter into agreements with local governments adjacent to the District of Columbia for the use of water for purposes of fire fighting only; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

S. 904. A bill to constitute the master-at-arms force and the guards (watchmen) force at the United States Naval Academy the "United States Naval Academy Police," to fix their compensation, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. MALONEY:

S. 905. A bill for the relief of Harry G. Franklin;

S. 906. A bill authorizing the appointment and retirement of Lucius Hudson Holt as a colonel, United States Army; and

S. 907. A bill to authorize the award of the Purple Heart decoration to Maj. Charles H. Sprague; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

S. 908. A bill granting an increase in pension to Martha J. Griffin; and

S. 909. A bill granting a pension to Elizabeth D. Dunavent; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. WAGNER:

S. 910. A bill to extend the time within which the powers relating to the stabilization fund and alteration of the weight of the dollar may be exercised; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. TOBEY:

S. 911. A bill for the relief of Roscoe C. Prescott; to the Committee on Claims.

S. 912. A bill for the relief of Joseph Kenney; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

(Mr. Tobey also introduced Senate bill 913, which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, and appears under a separate heading.)

By Mr. RUSSELL:

S. J. Res. 48. Joint resolution to amend the Public Works Administration Extension Act of 1937 with respect to grants or loans for certain school projects; to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. TOBEY:

S. J. Res. 49. Joint resolution authorizing the President of the United States of America to proclaim October 11 of each year General Pulaski's Memorial Day for the observance and commemoration of the death of Brig. Gen. Casimir Pulaski; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SALVAGE OF TIMBER IN NEW ENGLAND—REDUCTION OF FIRE HAZARD

Mr. TOBEY. Mr. President, I introduce a bill for appropriate reference, and ask to have it printed in full in the Record. I also ask to have printed in the Record a statement prepared by me regarding the bill.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the bill of the Senator from New Hampshire will be received, printed in the Record and properly referred, and the statement will be

printed in the RECORD.

The bill (S. 913) authorizing an appropriation for full payment of the approved schedules of prices for salvaged timber products purchased or to be purchased by the Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation, Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration, and for other purposes, was read twice by its title and referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, and ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

A bill authorizing an appropriation for full payment of the approved schedules of prices for salvaged timber products purchased or to be purchased by the Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation, Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration, and for other purposes

Whereas the hurricane of September 1938 left great areas in the New England States devastated and the forests in those areas a tangled mass of fallen timber, causing financial loss to more than 20,000 farm owners whose subsistence depended on their timber;

Whereas, in order to prevent suffering and give aid in restoration of financial stability to these farm owners, it became necessary for

the Federal Government to put into effect a program of work to reduce fire hazard and a timber-salvage operation to recover some part of the destroyed wealth of these States; and

Whereas the fire hazard now existing threatens a disaster to life and property which can be lessened by accelerating the salvage operation; and

Whereas the powers hitherto granted by the Congress to the executive branch of the Government are inadequate to meet the urgent needs of this stricken area: Therefore

\*\*Be it enacted, etc. That there is hereby authorized to be appro-

needs of this stricken area: Therefore

Be it enacted, etc., That there is hereby authorized to be appropriated the sum of \$5,000,000, to be immediately available and to continue available until expended, which shall be used for paying the difference between the approved schedules of prices for salvaged timber products purchased or to be purchased from the owners thereof by the Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation, Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration, and the amounts paid from funds loaned by the Disaster Loan Corporation to the Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation, Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration, and for necessary administrative expenses (including printing and binding) in connection with the timber-salvaging operations conducted by the Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration. tration.

SEC. 2. That there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$4,000,000, to be immediately available and to continue available until expended, for paying the owners thereof at the rate of \$2.50 per thousand board feet for logs heretofore or hereafter delivered to and accepted by the Northeastern Timber Salvage Administration, which payment shall be in addition to that provided for in section 1 of

The statement presented by Mr. Tobey is as follows:

I have introduced this bill after making a careful study of the timber disaster which affects New England and part of New York, feeling that prompt action by the Government is imperative, not only from the standpoint of fair treatment to the timber owners for losses they have already sustained, but more particularly with the view to taking definite action to aid in the necessary campaign to reduce the fire hazard which is now existing in this area and which is increasing with the passage of each week.

The United States Forest Service has reported that without adequate aid from the Federal Government to reduce the fire hazard it is well within the bounds of reason that such a serious holocaust might occur during the coming fire season as to far exceed the hurricane of September in resulting loss of life and property. Furthermore, says the report, a fire would cause irreparable damage to the forests of the future in elimination of the remaining live timber on extensive areas. I have introduced this bill after making a careful study of the

Irreparable damage to the forests of the future in elimination of the remaining live timber on extensive areas.

I have talked with officials in the Forest Service and have been advised that if such a devastation should occur it would take 50 years after replanting for pine to become of merchantable age, 60 years for spruce, and approximately 100 years for northern hardwoods.

In the office of Mr. Silver I have

hardwoods.

In the office of Mr. Silcox I have seen pictures of severe forest fires over large areas in other parts of the country and appreciate the seriousness of our New England situation.

At the present time the Federal Government is paying the timber owner 90 percent of the schedule of prices for timber delivered to the ponds or to the sawmills. The great practical difficulty has been lack of sufficient inducement to warrant the timber owner to accept the Federal proposal and operate the lumber for delivery to the places designated by the Government. This bill seeks to furnish that inducement.

Section I provides for the payment of 100 percent of the delivered value of the timber, purchase to be made at the point of delivery. Under that plan alone the timber owner would still stand to lose on his investment, for, according to estimates of the United States Forest Service, made after a survey of the tangled conditions of our timberlands as of last October, it would cost the timber owner approximately \$2 per thousand feet more to cut the timber and transport it to the point of delivery than it would under normal circumstances.

to cut the timber and transport it to the point of delivery than it would under normal circumstances.

Unless one has seen the condition of our timberlands with his own eyes it is impossible for him to visualize the picture. In the few hours of the hurricane at least half of the timber in New Hampshire became an ugly mass of crisscrossed waste, a mass of broken, twisted, and splintered wood, carrying with it the life savings of thousands of families and the wealth that was to have put children through college or provide funds for many of our older people in their last years.

Due to increased demand for trucks and labor as a result of the down-timber conditions, it has been estimated that this additional cost has now risen from \$2 to \$2.50.

Therefore, under section 2 of this bill, I have provided that the Federal Government pay the timber owner a bonus of two dollars and a half for each thousand board feet of logs which he brings to the designated point of delivery.

and a half for each thousand board feet of logs which he brings to the designated point of delivery.

The Forest Service has estimated that from one billion to one and six-tenths billion feet can be salvaged up to the end of June, the deadline of the period during which the timber is salvageable. Based upon these figures, the appropriation called for is \$4,000,000. I believe that this twofold plan would be an inducement sufficient to effect the acceptance by the timber owner of the Federal purchase plan, and will thereby greatly reduce the fire hazard.

The Forest Service has estimated that there are from two and a half to four billion feet of merchantable timber down, as of its report of January 14. It reports that as of January 14, 450,000,000

to 500,000,000 feet of timber had been contracted for delivery and that the logs actually received on that date totaled 30,000,000 to 32,000,000 feet.

It can well be seen from these figures that unless the Federal Government takes further action immediately for fire-risk reduction the hazard will increase and will threaten the loss of hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of property as well as loss of human

The Federal investment of the \$4,000,000 to provide for such payment of \$2.50 per 1,000 board-feet is a small one when we consider the potential future loss by fire of hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of property, which will result if this fire hazard is not materially reduced.

I urge that this bill be given immediate consideration by the committee and that the Congress act with the least possible delay in

#### CLAIM OF MEMPHIS, TENN.-AMENDMENT

Mr. McKELLAR submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill (S. 671) for the relief of the city of Memphis, Tenn., which, with the accompanying papers, was referred to the Committee on Claims and ordered to be printed.

#### FIRST DEFICIENCY APPROPRIATIONS-AMENDMENT

Mr. WHEELER submitted an amendment proposing to increase the appropriation for the control of incipient and emergency outbreaks of insect pests and plant diseases from \$2,000,000 to \$5,300,000, intended to be proposed by him to House bill 2868, the first deficiency appropriation bill, which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

#### ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION FOR WORK RELIEF AND RELIEF-AMENDMENT

Mr. O'MAHONEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 83) making an additional appropriation for work relief and relief for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, which was ordered to lie on the table and to be printed, as follows:

On page 2, line 15, after the figures "1939", to insert a colon and "Provided further, That not later than 30 days following the approval of this joint resolution the Works Progress Administration shall determine and fix the number of hours per month of workers employed upon works-progress projects that the monthly security wage for workers shall not be lower in any area than that paid to workers in that same occupation in areas of the same general type within the same security wage region regardless of variations in county population."

# NATIONAL REPRESENTATION FOR THE DISTRICT—ADDRESS BY SENATOR CAPPER

[Mr. NyE asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD an address on the subject of national representation for the District of Columbia, delivered by Senator CAPPER at a meeting of the General Federation of Women's Clubs at Washington, D. C., January 19, 1939, which appears in the Appendix.]

#### ARMAMENT PROGRAM AND FOREIGN RELATIONS-ADDRESS BY SENATOR TAFT

[Mr. Bridges asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD a radio address delivered by Senator TAFT on the American Forum of the Air on Sunday, January 22, 1939, on the subject Armament and Our Foreign Policy, which appears in the Appendix.]

## FOREIGN RELATIONS-ADDRESS BY ALFRED M. LANDON

[Mr. CAPPER asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD an address on the subject of foreign relations, delivered by Hon. Alfred M. Landon on January 21, 1939, at Topeka, Kans., before the Kansas Press Association, which appears in the Appendix.]

### NEUTRALITY-ARTICLE BY CHARLES A. BEARD

[Mr. NyE asked and obtained leave to have printed in the RECORD an article by Charles A. Beard on the subject of neutrality, published in the New Republic for January 18, 1939, which appears in the Appendix.]

#### SCRAP IRON

[Mr. Schwellenbach asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record an editorial from the Washington Sunday Star of January 22, 1939, under the headline "Scrap Iron," which appears in the Appendix.]

#### INVESTIGATION OF SILVER PROGRAM

[Mr. Townsend asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record excerpts from additional letters received by him with reference to Senate Joint Resolution 1, providing for the appointment of a special joint committee to investigate the silver program, which appear in the Appendix.]

THE WRIGHT AIRPLANE-EDITORIAL FROM MIAMI HERALD

[Mr. Reynolds asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record an editorial from the Miami Herald of January 6, 1939, relative to the Wright airplane, which appears in the Appendix.]

MUNICIPALLY OWNED POWER SYSTEM IN TACOMA, WASH.

[Mr. Bone asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record an article published in the Tacoma, Wash., Sunday Ledger on January 1, 1939, with reference to the Tacoma municipally owned power system, which appears in the Appendix.]

FOREIGN POLICY OF UNITED STATES-EDITORIAL FROM LIBERTY

[Mr. Lundeen asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record part of an editorial from Liberty for January 28, 1939, entitled "Shall We Be Forced Into War by Reckless Officials?" which appears in the Appendix.]

#### RELIEF-ARTICLE BY DR. GEORGE GALLUP

[Mr. Holt asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record an article by Dr. George Gallup on the subject of relief, published in the Washington Post of Sunday, January 22, 1939, which appears in the Appendix.]

GOLD: A BUSINESS RESTORATIVE-EDITORIAL FROM BALTIMORE SUN

[Mr. Bridges asked and obtained leave to have printed in the Record an editorial from the Baltimore Sun of January 18, 1939, entitled "Gold: A Business Restorative," which appears in the Appendix.]

ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION FOR WORK RELIEF AND RELIEF

Mr. BARKLEY. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of House Joint Resolution 83.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Kentucky.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 83) making an additional appropriation for work relief and relief for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1939, which had been reported from the Committee on Appropriations, with amendments.

#### RECESS

Mr. BARKLEY. I move that the Senate take a recess until 12 o'clock noon tomorrow.

The motion was agreed to; and (at 4 o'clock and 17 minutes p. m.) the Senate took a recess until tomorrow, Tuesday, January 24, 1939, at 12 o'clock meridian.

#### NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate January 23 (legislative day of January 17), 1939

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Thomas R. Amlie, of Wisconsin, to be an Interstate Commerce Commissioner for a term expiring December 31, 1945, vice Balthasar H. Meyer, term expired.

#### PROMOTIONS IN THE COAST GUARD

The following-named ensigns to be lieutenants (junior grade) in the Coast Guard of the United States, to rank as such from May 27, 1938:

John Montrello
Gilbert F. Schumacher
Charles Tighe
Fred L. Westbrook
Richard Baxter
Oscar D. Weed, Jr.
Ralph D. Dean
Joseph R. Scullion
William J. Conley, Jr.

Richard L. Mellen Glenn L. Rollins Ernest A. Cascini Frank V. Helmer Robert F. Shunk Donald W. Weller Justus P. White William J. Lawrence

#### CONFIRMATIONS

Executive nominations confirmed by the Senate January 23 (legislative day of January 17), 1939

SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

Harry L. Hopkins to be Secretary of Commerce.

APPOINTMENTS IN THE REGULAR ARMY

Brig. Gen. Henry Harley Arnold to be Chief of the Air Corps with the rank of major general.

Col. Walter Glenn Kilner (lieutenant colonel) to be Assistant to the Chief of the Air Corps with the rank of brigadier general.

TO BE MAJOR GENERALS

William Henry Wilson Robert McCandlass Beck, Jr.

TO BE BRIGADIER GENERALS

Edmund Leo Daley Jonathan Mayhew Wainwright Adna Romanza Chaffee Daniel Isom Sultan Maxwell Murray William Edgar Shedd, Jr.

APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY RANK IN THE AIR CORPS, REGULAR ARMY

TO BE WING COMMANDERS WITH THE RANK OF BRIGADIER GENERAL

Walter Hale Frank Herbert Arthur Dargue

Appointments in the National Guard of the United States Ralph Emerson Truman to be major general.

William Leslie Hornor to be brigadier general, Adjutant General's Department.

TO BE BRIGADIER GENERALS

Ralph Maxwell Immell Walter Braxton Pyron Alexander Edward Anderson Richard Eugene Mittelstaedt Diller Slyder Myers Edward Clark Rose Leonard Fish Wing

REAPPOINTMENTS IN THE OFFICERS' RESERVE CORPS OF THE ARMY

TO BE BRIGADIER GENERALS, INACTIVE RESERVE

Brig. Gen. John Ross Delafield. Brig. Gen. Samuel McRoberts.

POSTMASTERS

ARKANSAS

Deedy Newsome, Arkinda. Ocie E. Mathis, Hackett.

NEW MEXICO

Robert F. Fisher, Cuba. James C. Wyman, Loving.

NORTH CAROLINA

Jethro M. Rollins, Bostic. Eli H. Ange, Jamesville. Virginia D. Martin, Parkton. Derr L. Hines, Stony Point. Maude F. Cheatham, Youngsville.

VERMONT

Bert G. Peck, East Middlebury.

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, January 23, 1939

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.

Rev. John Compton Ball, pastor of the Metropolitan Baptist Church, Washington, D. C., offered the following prayer:

Unto Thee, O Lord, do we lift our souls for the activities of a new day, and with joy in our hearts and praises on our lips we acknowledge Thy holiness, wisdom, power, and